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(Call to the order of the Court.)
THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be seated.

We are here on Case Number 18-80176, Ira Kleiman, as the personal representative of the Estate of David Kleiman versus Craig Wright.

May I have counsel note their appearances for the record. Let me start with counsel for the plaintiff.

MR. FREEDMAN: Vel Freedman for the plaintiff, Your Honor.

MR. BRENNER: Andrew Brenner for the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

MR. ROCHE: Kyle Roche from the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
And on behalf of the defense.
MS. McGOVERN: Amanda McGovern for defendant, Dr. Craig Wright.

MR. RIVERO: Andres Rivero for Dr. Wright.
MR. KASS: Zalman Kass for defendant,
Dr. Craig Wright.
MS. MARKOE: Zaharah Markoe for Dr. Wright.
THE COURT: Thank you all very much. Good morning.
And I know Dr. Wright is present as well.
All right. Well, we're here for the show cause
hearing, and my plan is to go for about an hour and then break for a very quick lunch break and then come back and try to conclude at least the testimony this afternoon.

So Ms. McGovern, Mr. Rivero, I'll let you call your first witness.

And, actually, before we do that, I know each side has noticed that they're going to be calling some experts. I assume those people are in the courtroom. Is anyone asking that the expert witnesses be excluded from the courtroom during the testimony?

MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, we have removed our expert witness from the courtroom.

THE COURT: You have.
Are you asking that they -- if the plaintiff has an expert, that that expert leave, as well?

MS. McGOVERN: We've done so, and we've agreed to do so, and they have.

THE COURT: Great.
Okay. So the record is clear, that's been invoked, and the experts have left the room.

All right. Very well. Call your first witness.
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, we call defendant, Dr. Craig Wright.

THE COURT: Dr. Wright, if you could come forward, please.

Dr. Wright, before you're seated, if you'd face me and raise your right hand, please.

Craig Steven Wright, Defendant's witness, sworn.
THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
And Dr. Wright, the only thing I'll ask you, that bar in front of you is the microphone. So you don't to lean down, put your face against it, but if you could be sure it's close enough so that it can pick up your voice.

Ms. McGovern, whenever you're ready.
MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
Direct Examination
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Good afternoon, Dr. Wright.
A Good afternoon.
Q Could you please state your full name for the Court.
A Dr. Craig Steven Wright.
Q Where do you live?
A In the UK.
Q Where do you work?
A In the UK.
Q Do you work or live for any periods of time in the United States?

A $\quad$ No.
Q What do you do?
A I work as chief scientist of nChain, where I create
inventions, mathematics to describe Bitcoin and
Bitcoin-related patents.
Q Are you familiar with the Bitcoin system?
A I am familiar with the Bitcoin system I created. I have
less familiarity with the thing that many people call Bitcoin,
but I don't.
Q You invented the Bitcoin system; is that right?
A That is right.
Q And have you gone by the pseudonym of Satoshi Nakamoto?
A I use the pseudonym Satoshi Nakamoto.
Q You are Satoshi Nakamoto; is that correct?
A I would more say it's a characterization that I played.
Q I'd like to show you the document on the screen,
Dr. Wright. If you could please take a look at it.
THE COURT: Please, if you would, Ms. McGovern, how
is the document marked, and has it been -- before we show it
to the witness or the -- I'm sorry, before we show it on the
screen, is there objection to the admission of the document?
MS. McGOVERN: Well, I'd like to ask the witness if
he recognizes the document and then --
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q So, Dr. Wright, I've placed on the screen for your review
defendant's proposed exhibit number 1 and ask you to take a
look at it.

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize this document, Dr. Wright?
A I wrote it.
Q It says here: "Satoshi Nakamoto."
A Yes.
Q When you say you wrote this document, is that you?
A Yes, that was my pseudonym.
Q And what exactly was the purpose for this document?
A This was the white paper defining Bitcoin when it was released.

Q Okay.
THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. McGovern. How do we identify this document for the record?

MS. MARKOE: Your Honor, if I may, we have hard copies to make it easier for the Court.

THE COURT: No, I just mean for the record, is it
plaintiffs' 1, plaintiffs' 7?
MS. McGOVERN: Yes, I was just going to ask if I could introduce this document as defendant's exhibit 1.

THE COURT: Okay. So any objection to the admission of the document identified as defendant's 1?

MR. FREEDMAN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I'm admitting -- defendant's exhibit 1 is admitted without objection, and it's being published now to the witness and to the gallery.

(Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 entered into evidence.)
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright --
MR. FREEDMAN: Can we just get a hard copy of the document, please?

BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright, in its original design and creation, what was the purpose for the Bitcoin system?

A It was a peer-to-peer electronic cash system. It operated as a Mandela network, which is a form of ultrasmall small-world network. The methodology was effectively a series of peer-to-peer overlay networks. The mining network acted as a central core peer network, where miners that could scour to any size, would act commercially to validate transactions without knowledge of the source or what the transaction was about.

On top of that, there were peer layers, such as the IP-to-IP transfer that was removed in 2011, after I left, that would enable the direct peer exchange of messages. That was also noted in the section of the white paper on SPV.

SPV was simplified payment verification, which involved the exchange of messages between peer entities, with the recipient then sending it to the network to be mined for a fee.

Q Dr. Wright, are you familiar with or do you know why you're here today?

A Yes.
Q Do you understand that this Court has ordered you to provide a list of public addresses for the Bitcoin that you had an interest in, in whatever form, through December 31st, 2013? Do you understand that?

A I understand that there is a tone -- that people use public addresses.

Q My question, Dr. Wright, is whether you understand that that's the reason that you're here today is because the court has ordered --

A Yes.
Q -- you to produce that list, and you have not done so? Do you understand that?

A Yes, I do.
Q The questions that I'm going to ask you today are going to relate directly to the Court's order and to the circumstances surrounding, Dr. Wright, the fact that you have not provided the pub -- a list of the public addresses to the plaintiffs.

Dr. Wright, you are familiar, are you not, with the term "public address" as referred to in the Bitcoin system? A No, there are no public addresses in the Bitcoin system. Q I'm simply asking whether you are familiar with the fact that people have used that nomenclature, "public address," as
it relates to the Bitcoin system.
A I know people incorrectly use that term.
Q That's my next question.
Is the use of a public address an accurate use with
respect to the manner in which the Bitcoin system was originally intended to operate?

A No. That is how BitGold and eGold were derived. Bitcoin was exactly the opposite. There are no public addresses at all in Bitcoin. Bitcoin was derived such that a key would only be used once. And if you look at the section in the white paper later on, it states that as an additional firewall, keys should not be reused.

Q So what role in its original design and creation of the Bitcoin system did the public address, as it is known today, have?

A Public addresses don't exist.
Q So it would be irrelevant; is that right?
A Yeah. It's like saying: "How do unicorns relate to this Court."

Q You have stated, Dr. Wright, that you have mined, or through companies, and with the use of software, you have mined Bitcoin from January 2009 through August 2010, and that you are -- and that that Bitcoin is held in trust; is that right?

A The trust holds access to companies, and the companies
hold the legal rights to that Bitcoin.
Q Is the legal trust structure that was created as an overlay over that Bitcoin the only protective measure that you employed with respect to the Bitcoin that was mined during the relevant time period?

A No, it's not even much at all. It's just a way of having the tax office and lawyers understand a technical control that existed.

Q Did you hire lawyers to draft those trust documents?
A Some were initially done, but I couldn't keep paying them -- I was running out of money in 2011 -- and other lawyers were brought in later.

Q What was the other protective mechanism that was used for purposes of protecting the assets that were held in trust? A There's a combination of real world and technological solutions. The technological solution is the creation of a number of Shamir schemes. Each of those Shamir schemes is basically linked to a hierarchical system of public keys and private keys which are using AES.

We have a patent filed on the hierarchical
encryption scheme. Rather than having every single file encapsulated by a single key, as is standard practice now, I have invented a system where every single file, even down to partial aspects of files and disc fragments can be encrypted separately with a link to a related system.

THE COURT REPORTER: With a link to a related system?

THE WITNESS: Each key --

THE COURT: Doctor, he was just asking you to repeat what you said, because he didn't understand what your testimony was.

THE WITNESS: Yes, linked to a related system. My apologies. BY MS. McGOVERN:

Q So the legal structure that was implemented over the Bitcoin is separate and apart from the technical solution that you have referenced just now --

A Yes.
Q -- to protect against the Bitcoin; is that correct?
A Yes. The technical structure was what you would call a probably the first distributed autonomous corporation. It was effectively a technological solution, but technological solutions such as that aren't at present related. They're not understood by law, so a standard legal structure was placed around as a wrapper to the technological solution.

Q It has been stated, Dr. Wright, in connection with the reasons that we're here today, that it simply doesn't make sense that an individual whose beneficiaries would have the right to the amount of Bitcoin that we're talking about here, 821,050 Bitcoin, would simply not keep a list of the public
addresses and not be able to ultimately dictate the receipt of the necessary keys to access the coins. Those are the two premises on which we are here today, Dr. Wright.

I'd like to ask you about the first question. With respect to whether it is simply not credible that you would not hold a list of the public addresses at your disposal to easily access, do you believe that that is, in fact, an incredulous position to take?

A That's not how Bitcoin works. You don't hold public addresses, as they're known. It's the same as an analogy of having a safe full of 100-dollar notes and recording every serial number of every note. You don't actually do that. The modern analogy would be derived addresses, such as Electrum and other such wallets. You don't necessarily need to know what particular Bitcoin address, so to speak, you have.

The spending is not relevant to what people call public addresses at all. It plays no part. The address is only there as a template and script or predicate exchange mechanism to be used once and discarded.

Q So after the conclusion of the Bitcoin that was mined at the end -- or in August of 2010, did you believe it important or even prudent to make sure you had a list, an accessible list, of the public addresses identifying that Bitcoin?

A No, because the public addresses, as you say, which are listed on the public ledger, are irrelevant completely to the
nature of Bitcoin. The nature of Bitcoin is to do with the private keys. Only spending matters when you have the private keys. And the private keys, the way I had them, were actually derivative of a algorithm that $I$ had created and was testing. Those algorithms have now been patented in a better form that works without causing some of the problems that resulted from, or would have resulted if my algorithm was out in 2010. Q Dr. Wright, could you please tell the Judge whether or not, if you could provide the public addresses at this point, you would.

A If I could, I would have not given the first 70 addresses. I would have given every other address. The first 70 addresses associate me as Satoshi. I did not want to be associated with Satoshi.

I left Bitcoin, or started leaving, in August 2010.
I did not want to be associated with the public name Satoshi at all after that point. The problem occurred because in June 2010 people would start -- who had been working on Bitcoin, decided, when $I$ was pushing for a commercial application, to make the first commercial application as a heroin marker.

Martti Malmi set up the forum.
THE COURT: I'm sorry, could you spell that name for the court reporter?

THE WITNESS: M-a-r-r-t-i M-a-l-m-i, I think off the
top of my head. It's Norwegian.
THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. Sorry to interrupt you.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.
THE COURT: Please continue.
THE WITNESS: Theymos, $T-h-e-y-m-o-s$, who is known as Michael Marquardt, who was a college student at the time, he was also running the Bitcoin.org domain. He set up the forums for Silk Road.

Both of them, together with Ross Ulbricht, set up Silk Road, Hydra and a number of other darker websites. I protested this to them. I set up Bitcoin to be honest money. I set up Bitcoin to fix the problems of every other digital cash that had been, whether it be eGold, or Liberty Reserve Cash, or DG Cash, or Brands Cash, every single one had fallen to crime, and I thought by having an evidentiary trial, I would create the world's first digital cash that would not be linked to crime.

Between August and December of 2010, I pleaded, I said it was a bad idea with Martti and with Michael, and I finally left publicly as Satoshi in 2010 because they launched Silk Road. On top of that, they launched Hydro.

Silk Road was designed to sell heroin, MDMA, Fentanyl, weapons, et cetera. Martti also started working on a reputation system to allow assassination markets. They
started actually working on a system designed to allow people to fund terrorism and others who were involved, such as Amir -- I can't spell his name, sorry -- went to Syria to promote the idea of Bitcoin as a funding mechanism.

Hydra was worse than Silk Road. The nature of Hydra that Theymos wanted was as a mechanism to have children exchange hard drugs for pornographic photographs. They sought to alter Bitcoin to allow the distribution of encrypted child pornography that would be exchanged in schools for Fentanyl and other such drugs.

The -- I stopped mining because of that reason completely in August 2010. At that point, I brought in Dave, because he was a friend, and he knew who I was, and he was a forensic expert, and I wanted to wipe everything I had to do with Bitcoin from the public record. I had money put aside from operations concerning casinos that I was involved with and had a large amount of money overseas, and I spent that buying other assets in Bitcoin to work on fixing the problems that I'd created.

BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright, am I correct in understanding that one of the reasons you disassociated yourself with anything that would be Satoshi was because the invention that you made was being abused and used for reasons that were completely contrary to its original purpose? Is that what you're saying?

A Yes.
After I left, I stopped being a pastor, I stopped going to church. I couldn't face anyone I knew anymore. My first marriage fell apart. My life fell apart.

I had worked for police in a combination as an expert witness in taking down peer-to-peer networks is how I understood them, and I worked on anti-child grooming and antipornography for a long time. I have a number of cases where I've done that.

Q Dr. Wright, you've provided to the plaintiffs in this case, as you just testified, the first 70 addresses on the Bitcoin system; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q How is it that you were able to identify those addresses, and you are unable to identify the other addresses identifying the remaining Bitcoin mined during the relevant time period? A Those addresses are public. I know for the first day there was no one mining, and on the next day no one was mining. The first block that was not mined was around block 74, and then the next one was block 78. After that, I don't remember. So the first 70 addresses are definitively ones that I was involved with verifying.

After that, there was another party at 74, I don't know who that individual was. And then 78 I believe is Hal Finney.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Say that again? Seventy-eight is . . .

THE WITNESS: Hal, H-a-l, F-i-n-e (sic).
BY THE WITNESS:
Q Dr. Wright, other than the concerns that you've expressed with your association as Satoshi in providing the initial public addresses on the block system, did you have any concern or did you have any reservation in complying with the discovery order to provide that information to the plaintiffs in this case, other than the issues that you discussed regarding the association with Satoshi?

A If I could have, I would have. I locked everything away.
Q I'm referring to the first 70.
A Yes.
Q You provided the first 70, correct?
A Yes.
Q Other than the concerns that you expressed about the association with Satoshi and the initial blocks, did you have any concern in providing the plaintiffs with the first 70 addresses in this case?

A Yes.
Q What were they?
A I created a monetary system. Other people I've known, such as JVP, Joseph Vaughn Perling, who created the Liberty Dollar, which was a tokenized money here in the United States,
went to jail. Some of the people I'd been dealing with in the creation of DigiCash went to jail. Some of my professors faced sanctions in the past for the creation of electronic cash.

Q Dr. Wright, my question is directed to the fact that in response to the discovery order compelling the production of public addresses, as they're being used in this case, you provided the first 70, correct?

A Yes.
Q Is there any information, based upon your knowledge and expertise as the inventor of the Bitcoin system, that can be gleaned from the public addresses that have been provided that would be different from the public addresses that have not been provided because, as you state, you're unable to do so? A $\quad$ No.

Q Why is that?
A There is no information about IP address or any identifying information in any mined block in that version of Bitcoin at all.

Q So is it fair to say that an analysis that can be done by a layperson, or a forensic expert, or somebody that simply has a passion for it, any analysis that can be done on any of those blocks, one, two, three, all the way up to 70 , is going to provide the same information as information that can be gleaned from the addresses that you cannot provide? Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q And is one of the reasons for that, Dr. Wright, because the Bitcoin has not been transacted? It has not moved; is that right?

A It has never moved.
Q I'd like to ask you a question about what can be discerned from a public address. And I'm using the word "public address." I know that's not the word that is the correct word under the original creation. I'm simply using it because that's the word that's been used in this discovery proceeding, and that's why we're here. We are here in connection with a court order regarding a list of public addresses you cannot provide. So that's the context in which I'm using the word "public address." Okay?

Based upon your knowledge and expertise as the inventor of the Bitcoin system, can you determine who mined the Bitcoin identified by that public address during the relevant time period?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Excuse me?
A $\quad$ No.
Q Can you determine who owns the Bitcoin identified by that public address?

A No.

Q What can you determine for the Bitcoin that is held in the public addresses that are the subject of this hearing by knowing the public address?

A As the white paper, like you had up before, details in the section on privacy, what you will see is privacy is firewalled from the transaction. The identity part is separate. So it is a private transaction, because identity is completely firewalled and separate from the key. Each transaction should have a separate key. There's not one key to be used, there are many.

The new privacy model that I designed for Bitcoin, which would be -- stop there. Stop. Identities, that line is completely separate. So transactions are no longer connected to identity.

The way that this was designed, as it says, a new key pair should be used for each transaction to keep them from being linked to a common owner. Every single, every single one of those mined Bitcoins used a derivative key that was separate. There is no way to link them without knowledge of the formula that I used to create them on nodes.

Q Dr. Wright, sitting here today, you do not have a list of the public addresses that identify the Bitcoin mined during the relevant time period; is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q Does that concern you?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Why not?
A There are two reasons.
I don't care if I get that Bitcoin. And if I do, the reason I set up the trusts, which I discussed with my wife, is, one, I'm Wesleyan, which is the same as Andrew Carnegie, which is you earn as much as you can, and you spend as much as you can. And I see the only way that $I$ can save my soul is I make this worth as much as I can, and every one of those coins goes to an educational fund. We already talked about that. Those bit coin won't be -- they're out of my control. They were put into my wife and family's control, not mine, where we discussed when and if we get control -- and it's still an "if" -- every one of them will go to funding educational charities for the poorest 1 billion people on Earth.

Q So Dr. Wright, am I correct that it is impossible for you sitting here today to obtain a list of the public addresses that are the subject of this hearing?

A Yes.
Q If you could obtain a list, would you?
A I would.
Q It's been stated that it doesn't make sense on the surface that someone who could take steps, whatever steps they are, to obtain access to decrypt a file that ultimately allows
the spenditure (sic) of the coins wouldn't have the means to do so, that that simply, in our world as we understand it, doesn't make any sense, and so therefore your position's not credible, Dr. Wright.

Do you agree with that statement?
A No.
Q Why not?
A The physical control of the key slices was handed off to Dave, and he died without retaining information.

Q Dr. Wright, can you please explain to the Judge exactly what was done, what this technical solution is that prevents you from obtaining now a list of public addresses so that you can comply with the Court's order and provide them.

A The Bitcoin that was mined at the time was done as a series of derivative keys. The way this worked was the Genesis key was added to a hash chain. The hash chain is basically a hash of values that an index using a HMAC, H-M-A-C, form of function multiplied by the curve generated G. There is a separate secret for the Genesis key and for the access chain. The access chain acts as an index for each of these keys. This allows separation of mapping where the keys were generated and spending.

The access to gain knowledge of what was put in the access chain and to be able to generate those keys was given to Dave to distribute, and so that I wouldn't be in trouble, was set so that after a period, in January of next year, a bonded courier is meant to return key slices.

Q So the manner in which it was set up with Dave Kleiman potentially allows for the fragmented keys to come to you so that you can decrypt the file and obtain a list of the public addresses; is that right?

A That is correct.
Q What if it doesn't happen?
A Then I'll work to make more money to pay back the debt that I've caused by creating this.

Q So it's possible, if $I$ understand your testimony, Dr. Wright, that you may never access the coins for your beneficiaries at all.

A If I don't access them, I will keep inventing enough patents and other technology to fund what $I$ was going to do in my fund another way.

Q But my question is whether you agree that it is possible you may never gain access to the coins; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q It is possible that you may never be able to decrypt the encrypted file that would allow you to run the algorithm to produce not only the list of public addresses, but access the IP; is that right?

A That is correct.
Q It's a pretty big risk that you took.

```
A I didn't see it that way at the time.
Q Why?
```

A I was ashamed of my invention.
Q Dr. Wright, you are here under court order, and we are
here in an order to show cause, which is a serious thing.
A Yes.
Q You don't live here; you don't work here.
A Yes.
Q Has your inability to provide and to simply comply with
the Court's order to provide a list of public addresses that
are just going to give the same information they have with the
first 70, has your inability to provide that list created any
negative problems, just problems for you and your family?
A Immensely.
Q What?
A It has caused a lot of stress with my immediate family.
My wife is incredibly worried. My mother has been watching
false news, and I find it difficult to even talk to my mother
at the moment and explain.
Q I'd like to show you a tweet that you mentioned to me
before this hearing, Your Honor -- Dr. Wright. Do you
recognize this tweet?
A Yes.

THE COURT: How do we mark this for the record?
MS. McGOVERN: I'd like to present it to him. I was
going to put it --
THE COURT: He can see it.
MS. McGOVERN: Great.
I'd like to present this as proposed defendant's exhibit 2.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q And ask you, Dr. Wright, to take a look at it.
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize that document?
A I do. My mother showed it to me.
Q What is this document in your own words?
A It's a tweet from Vel Freedman, the opposing counsel's
lawyer, who was stating that I wasn't complying with the Court's order to list my Bitcoin when he was falsely telling the Court that public addresses matter.

Q Now, that's not specifically what this says, Dr. Wright, but you're telling me that your mother showed you this.

THE COURT: Hold on. Are you offering defense exhibit 2?

MS. McGOVERN: Yes, I'd like to offer defense exhibit 2 into evidence.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I object to this line of questioning as irrelevant to the issues before the Court.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright, have you taken any steps to ameliorate the situation or to try to recreate a list of the public addresses of the Bitcoin mined during the relevant time period in an attempt to comply with the Court's order and demonstrate that the noncompliance is not willful?

A Yes.
Q What have you done?
A I have taken high-end staff, including our CTO and others of nChain, who were working on projects, and have them instead analyzing how I told them I mined and what structure I used in the addressing, so that they can pull those addresses out with a slight probabilistic error at worst.

Q Would the list of public addresses generated include all of the public addresses that would identify the Bitcoin mined during the relevant time period?

A We believe so.
Q And why did you do that?
A Law is incredibly important to me. I'm doing a doctorate in law at the moment, I have a master's in law, and the whole creation of Bitcoin was to create a system, not where code is law, but where code and law work together, to create a system that enables people to interact rather than the Google/ Facebook world. I'm very focused on ensuring things fall within the rules, and if I'm ordered to do something by a
valid court, and I can do it, I will do whatever I have to to do it.

Q Dr. Wright, is there any reason -- and please tell the Judge -- is there any reason why you have not provided the public addresses other than that you cannot? Is there another reason, any reason, that you believe if you could provide the public addresses, would justify your willful noncompliance to this Court and refuse to do so?

A If there was any way at all that $I$ could, I would.
Q Have you discussed the possibility that the key fragments never arrive and you're unable to access the coins with your family?

A Yes.
Q Are they concerned?
A $\quad$ No.
Q Why not?
MR. FREEDMAN: Objection, Your Honor; irrelevant.
THE COURT: I'll allow it.
THE WITNESS: My wife and I consider it's too much money. I've got enough now. I own percentages of the companies I've founded. And we worry what that amount of money would do to the kids. We've ensured that it will never go to them. At best, what $I$ have from my shares and other aspects of my patents, they can inherit that. And the way that it will work is if this goes to them after I die, then
they can work on ensuring that my foundation fixes the problems I caused.

BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright, you refer to the information that would allow the algorithm to generate the public addresses as being in an encrypted file.

A Yes.
Q Do you have that encrypted file?
A Yes.
Q There's been a statement that you voluntarily encrypted the file and created this fragmented key system, where you've disseminated the risk with respect to the holdings of this, and since you did so voluntarily, you should be able to get those keys back.

Is that an accurate understanding?
A If certain other people were still alive, then I could approach them.

Q Who are you referring to, Dr. Wright?
A Dave Kleiman, for one.
Q Is it possible, Dr. Wright, that the information that Dave Kleiman utilized in creating this fragmented key system was contained in the encrypted thumbnail drive that was kept close to him and with which he was found deceased?

A It's very likely that it was within Dave's house.
Q We've gone over, and we've seen, as well, and you've been
deposed twice, part of which had to do with trust documents, trust documents and declarations that you've made with respect to trust documents.

Are you in a position, Dr. Wright, to be able to contact any of the trustees for those trust documents and demand that they give you the missing fragmented keys that you need to decrypt the file?

A No.
Q Dr. Wright, please tell the Judge in your own words, please explain in your own words why you cannot, why you have not obtained the necessary keys to decrypt the encrypted file that would allow you to comply with the Court's order and avoid the circumstances that we find ourselves in.

A Dave was tasked, as part of helping me destroy everything and hiding things, with making sure that there was a long time between any of this ever coming back to me, if it was ever worth anything. Part of that was I guess you'd call them a bonded courier over here. We call it DX in Australia. There were services that were only to be sent after certain events or times, the earliest time being in January ' 20.

Q And before that?
A Dave could have contacted people that he was involved with if the Court ordered him, but Dave died, so there's no way -- Dave didn't -- I made sure Dave didn't tell me who he used.

Q And how is it, Dr. Wright, that you were comfortable with disassociating yourself to such an absolute degree -- because you may never receive the fragmented keys -- at that time? How is it that you entrusted Dave with that information such that you have absolutely no control over the Bitcoin or the IP encrypted in the file?

A It was Dave who talked me out of destroying it utterly.
Back in 2011 it was not worth a lot of money, and I
thought it was a millstone that would drag my life to hell. It was already dragging other people's lives to hell.

Drug markets, terrorist markets, they're not about freedom. They're not honest. Dave talked me out of destroying it utterly. If I had my way, I would have put a hammer through the hard drive that held those.

Q Dr. Wright, have you willfully failed to comply with Judge Reinhart's order in this case to provide a list of the public addresses to Ira Kleiman?

A $\quad$ No.
MS. McGOVERN: I have no other questions. I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. It's 12:30. What's your pleasure, Counsel? Do we want to -- I can go for another 15 minutes or so, start cross-examination, or we can break now and just come back a little earlier. Mr. Freedman.

MR. FREEDMAN: I think it makes sense we just break
now, and we'll come back a little earlier.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's break now. We'll come back at 1:30 for cross-examination of Dr. Wright.

Dr. Wright, you are still in testimony mode, so you may discuss your test -- you may talk to your lawyers over the break, but you may not discuss your testimony with them. Do you understand?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. We'll be in recess 'til 1:30.
(A recess was taken from 12:33 p.m. to 1:29 a.m., after
which the following proceedings were had:)
THE COURT: Be seated, please. Thank you.
All right. We're missing Mr. Rivero, or no?
MR. RIVERO: No.
THE COURT: We're short one. Ms. Markoe.
MR. RIVERO: Ms. Markoe will be back, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you want me to wait until she get here?

MR. RIVERO: No, we're good. We can proceed.
THE COURT: Very good.
All right. Dr. Wright, if you could retake the witness stand, please.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

I'll just remind you that you remain under oath. Mr. Freedman, when you're ready and Dr. Wright is seated and comfortable, you may start.

MR. RIVERO: Judge, may I hand a bottle of water to the doctor?

THE COURT: Absolutely. Thank you.
So just so everyone knows, we're going to try to go straight through this afternoon so we can make sure we get everything done. So if you get to a point where you need a break, that's fine, just let me know. But my plan is right now not to take a scheduled break, but I'm happy to do it if somebody needs one.

All right. So Mr. Freedman, whenever you're ready.
MR. FREEDMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
Cross-Examination
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Good afternoon, Dr. Wright.
Dr. Wright you have a law degree; isn't that right?
A Yes.
Q Master's in international commercial law?
A Yes.
Q And you're working on a doctorate in law?
A Yes.
Q Given your legal background, Dr. Wright, do you understand the gravity of these proceedings?

A Yes.
Q And you understand you're under an oath to tell the truth today?

A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach the
witness?
THE COURT: You may.
Again, counsel, if you're going to be showing him anything, if you can just state for the record how you're identifying it and show it to him, and once it's admitted, I'll publish it for the rest of the courtroom.

Counsel should be able to see it on their monitors even if the gallery cannot.

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I'm marking as plaintiffs' exhibit 1. It's Bates Defense 2413 through 2416.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're marking this as plaintiffs' 1.

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, do you recognize these documents?
A Yes.
Q This is the e-mail Dave allegedly sent you on Friday, June 24th, 2011?

A Yes.
Q And Dave's e-mail attached the trust document you're
holding behind it?
A It held what we call the trust document, yes.
Q And a PGP signature page?
A Yes.
Q And you produced all of these documents pursuant to the Court's order to produce copies of the blind trust; isn't that right?

A All documents were given to my lawyers. I'm not sure what has been produced. I mean, this was produced.

Q Dr. Wright --
THE COURT: Are you moving this into evidence at this time?

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like to move plaintiffs' exhibit 1 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Okay. Without objection, plaintiffs' 1
will be admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 1 entered into evidence.)
THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, you may proceed.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q And the Court ordered you to produce these documents with
a sworn declaration of authenticity, Dr. Wright?
A I can only produce what $I$ have in my possession.
Q Did the Court order you to produce these documents
pursuant to a sworn declaration of authenticity?
A They are athletically what $I$ had in my possession.
Q Okay. And you've sworn these documents are authentic?
A Are what? Sorry.
Q Authentic?
A They're what were in my possession.
Q Dr. Wright, you said they were authentic. Are they authentic?

A Uh, they are authentically documents in my possession.
Q So are they real documents, Dr. Wright?
A They're real documents, but a document means many things.
They were basically files obtained, sent from Australia, sealed for many years, that $I$ held in my basement until they were requested.

Q So they were sealed in your basement until we requested them in this litigation?

A Correct.
Q And how long were they sealed in your basement for?
A Four and a half years, at least.
Q So tell me when you believe the date you believe they were sealed from.

A I don't know.
Q But you said at least four years. What were you counting from?

A I wasn't a director when I left from Australia to the UK.

Q So they were sealed from about 2015 until we requested them?

A I don't know when they were sealed.
Q All right. Dr. Wright, are these documents forgeries?
A Um, I don't know.
Q Dr. Wright, you're testifying directly before this court. You submitted these documents, you swore to their authenticity, and now you're saying you don't know if they're authentic, if they're not forgeries?

A Um, all I can say is they are documents that were -- that look similar to ones that I've seen in the past that I haven't had any access to that are in company files that have been in my basement for years.

Q Dr. Wright, your deposition, you told me you recall receiving this e-mail on or about June 24th, 2011?

A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q This is an electronic PDF document you produced in -- oh, sorry, Dr. Wright. I've given the wrong exhibit. I
apologize. Let me grab that back.
Let's try that one more time.
MS. McGOVERN: Can you please provide us with a copy?

THE COURT: So is this plaintiffs' 2?
MR. FREEDMAN: This is plaintiffs' 2, yes, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q And this has -- it's bearing the Bates label Defense 13189.

Dr. Wright, this is an electronic PDF document you produced in discovery. Do you recognize this e-mail?

A It's very similar to the other one, yes.
Q Ah, it's almost identical to the other one; isn't that right?

A Correct.
Q Dr. Wright, you're familiar with digital metadata?
A Yes; I'm a forensic expert.
Q Okay. So it's data about computer files?
A Yes.
Q And you're aware that PDFs, like many other computer files, have metadata associated with them?

A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, I'd like us to look at the metadata associated with the PDF e-mail that you're holding as plaintiffs' exhibit 2.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, have you offered --
MR. FREEDMAN: Can I offer into evidence plaintiffs'
exhibit 2, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You are offering exhibit 2.
Is there any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 2 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, as an officer of the court, I'm representing to you that this is a printout of the metadata that our expert pulled and formatted from the Dave Kleiman e-mail PDF you are holding as plaintiffs' exhibit 2.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, how do you -- you identify
this as plaintiffs' 3 ?
MR. FREEDMAN: That is plaintiffs' exhibit 3, and it
is the metadata associated with plaintiffs' exhibit 2.
THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Wright, do you see what he's looking at?

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: And do you understand the question?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, this PDF was created -- and I'm talking now about exhibit 2.

A Uh-huh.

Q -- in 2011; isn't that correct?
A The create date states 2011-07.

Q Okay. But on line 4, do you see that the Adobe program that created the PDF --

THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. If you're going to
talk about the content of 3 , are you offering 3 into evidence?
MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: And any objection to 3?
MS. McGOVERN: Yes, we object, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What's the objection?
MS. McGOVERN: The objection is it's a document that has -- they haven't laid the predicate for its connection to exhibit 2, and it's hearsay.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow it conditionally upon Mr. Freedman connecting it up at a later date, and if it turns out he can't connect it up, as the fact-finder I'll disregard it.

MS. McGOVERN: Okay.
THE COURT: So I'll admit it conditionally over the objection of the defense.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 3 entered into evidence.)
THE COURT: You may proceed no.
MR. FREEDMAN: And, Your Honor, Dr. Edman will
testify to these documents.
THE COURT: That's fine.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, do you see on line 4 the Adobe program that created the PDF has a date stamp of August 23rd, 2012?

A No; you're mischaracterizing this.
What you'll notice is that you have on line 13 a create date, but on line 12 there is a modify date. So this is actually a document that has been altered. You'll notice that this is actually PDF Maker 11 for Microsoft, but at line 4 it's separate.

So what you're talking about is not a create date, but like mail date, et cetera, is down there on line 21. So this is a document that has been updated, saved or otherwise modified after the initial create date.

Q So Dr. Wright, on line 4, that's Adobe telling you the software that created this program, this PDF, was released in 2012; isn't that correct?

A On line 4?
Q Yes.
A That is the marker for the software version.
Q And Dr. Wright, does it make sense to you that a document with a create date of 2011 contains an Adobe stamp from 2012?

MS. McGOVERN: Object to form; predicate.
THE WITNESS: Once again, you're
mischaracterizing --
THE COURT: Hold on.

I'll overrule the objection.
THE WITNESS: Once again, you're mischaracterizing this, because you're ignoring line 12.

So the date there isn't the create date. That will
actually be the date when it's last sort of accessed/modified. So line 4 will actually be updated because of line 12.

Now, what happens is if there's a modification, including saving additional information, whatever else, then the last version that was used will be put in.

So what you're actually conflating incorrectly is that the creation date and that the last software are related; they're not. The modify date and the software are, because you actually override the software when you are doing that. BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, can you look at line 12 for me like you were just a second ago? Do you see a metadata tag of modify date?

A That is correct.
Q And do you see there's a date in that field?
A Yes.
Q And can you please read that date for the Court?
A That is the 22 nd, 10 of 2014.
THE COURT REPORTER: Say that again? Excuse me.
The 22nd what?
THE WITNESS: The 22nd of October, 2014.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, did you modify this PDF in October of 2014?
A No; I wasn't available at that time.
Q Did you ever modify this PDF?
A No.
Q Did you ever instruct anyone to modify this PDF in
October 2014?
A No.
And what you will --
Q Dr. Wright, you've answered the question.
Did you ever instruct anyone to modify this PDF?
A I don't instruct people to modify PDFs.
Q Okay. We're going to come back to exhibit 3 in a moment, but can you please put it aside for now?

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, I'm handing you what's been marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 4. And as an officer of the court, I'm representing to you that this is a printout of an object PDF contained within the PDF e-mail file from Dave Kleiman that is plaintiffs' exhibit 2, which our expert has pulled and formatted.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
into evidence with the same caveat you provided for the
previous exhibit.
THE COURT: Any objection? Let me start with that. MS. McGOVERN: Yes, objection, Your Honor. There's been absolutely no predicate laid for this document. There's no connection from this document to the document that he's testifying. It appears as though Mr. Freedman's simply testifying in the hearing without providing any kind of predicate as to the relevance of the documents.

THE WITNESS: I'd like to talk.
MS. McGOVERN: Please.
THE COURT: Let her finish her objection.
Are you done, Ms. McGovern?
MS. McGOVERN: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll overrule the objection with the same caveat, that I'll admit it conditionally. Because otherwise, I have to potentially have Dr. Wright recalled after the witness raise the predicate. So I'll allow it in conditionally, and if they are not able to connect it up, I'll disregard.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 4 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, I'd like to direct your attention to line 45 of this exhibit. It starts with the word "from" in
parenthesis. Do you see it?
A I do.

```
Q And then on the next line it says: "ET." Do you see
    that?
A Yes.
Q And then there's a tag that says: "TouchUp TextEdit MP."
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, did you edit the from field of this PDF in
2014?
A What I'd like to say is --
Q I'd like you to answer the question, Dr. Wright.
A What you're trying to do is lead me into something that
is clearly false.
                            THE COURT: Dr. Wright --
                            THE WITNESS: You are creating --
                    THE COURT: Dr. Wright, excuse me. The question was
simple: Did you change the from field or not. That's a yes
or no question.
    THE WITNESS: This is not the document.
    THE COURT: I think his question was: Did you
    change the from field on exhibit 2; yes or no. It's a simple
    question.
                            THE WITNESS: This is not the e-mail, so, no.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Did you ever edit the from field of the PDF?
A This is a PDF of an e-mail, not the e-mail. No.
```

Q Did you ever have someone else edit the from field of the PDF?

A This is a PDF of the e-mail that someone has put in falsely as the e-mail and is trying to mislead the Court. This is proveably misleading by you.

Q Dr. Wright, let me make it clear. Did you ever edit the from field of exhibit 2?

A You are proveably misleading the Court.
Q Dr. Wright, answer the question. Did you edit the from field of exhibit 2?

A There is no -- this is a printout of a e-mail made at a later time than the e-mail that you are falsely leading the Court to believe is real evidence that you are misleading them on.

Q Yes or no, Dr. Wright. Did you edit the from field of exhibit 2?

A There is no from field to edit. This is a printed later document that he is now misleading the Court on.

Q Will you answer the question?
A I have.
Q Is the answer "yes"?
A You are committing perjury by falsely putting in a document. That is not real evidence. You have created something.

THE COURT: Dr. Wright, you throw another document
in my courtroom --
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- you will be in handcuffs so fast your
head will spin. Do you understand me?
THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Now --
THE WITNESS: I apologize.
THE COURT: -- answer his question, which is a simple question.

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Did you modify document plaintiffs' exhibit number 2?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Next question.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, if I may?
THE WITNESS: I apologize sincerely, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Let's --
THE WITNESS: Sorry.
THE COURT: -- move on.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, did you ever edit the from field of exhibit 2?

A No.
MS. McGOVERN: Asked and answered.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:

MS. McGOVERN: Objection; asked and answered.
THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer it one more time.

THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Okay. Will you drop down to line 86 for me? Do you see it says "date" in parenthesis?

A Yes.
Q And then at line 90 it has the TouchUp TextEdit tag again?

A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, did you ever edit the date field of this PDF in 2014?

A I have never been involved with this PDF in any way at any time on my server. So, no. "No" to every question. It is not my document, no.

Q Dr. Wright, you're a forensic examiner of documents. Are you familiar with what an e-mail transport header is?

```
A Yes; and I am familiar that this is not an e-mail.
Q Essentially an e-mail's metadata?
A Yes; and this is not an e-mail.
Q And are you familiar with what the return path field in
the e-mail transport header is?
A Yes.
Q And its primary purpose is to designate the address to
which messages indicating nondelivery or other mail system
failures are to be sent?
A Correct.
Q If delivery fails, the e-mail server will notify that
address?
A Yes.
Q It's like a modern day return to sender feature on
e-mails.
A That's not exactly correct.
Q All right. Usually, the return path equates to the
sender's e-mail address, right?
A No, it can be modified. It's generally set by the user.
Q And --
    MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, I'm just simply going to
object to this line of questioning. It is unclear to me what
this document even is, and I don't see how this document
relates to the ultimate question before the Court, which is
whether Dr. Wright can, in fact, decrypt the file to provide
```

public addresses to the plaintiff. It's a limited question before the Court, and I object to the line of questioning. It's gonna take us through the afternoon and prevent us from finishing if the hearing.

THE COURT: Well, your client doesn't seem to be having any trouble understanding the question. I'll allow a little bit of leeway at the beginning so that Mr. Freedman can lay whatever baseline he wants to lay, and then we'll go from there.

So I'll overrule the objection at this time.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q So the default of return path is usually the sender's e-mail address?

A It varies. I wouldn't say usually, no.
Q All right. Let's return to exhibit 3. Can you pull it back up for me? Let me know when you've got it.

A I have it.
Q Take a look at line 26. Do you see the metadata tag called mail transport header?

A Received.
Q That's where the PDF captured some of the underlying e-mail's transport header?

A Yes.
Q And then to line 28, there is the first one, it was broken over two lines. Do you see where it says "return path"

```
as the third sequence in that line?
A I do.
Q Can you please read the e-mail address that follows the
return path tag for the record?
A This isn't an e-mail, it is a modified document that you
are claiming, but it says Craig@panopticrypt.
Q And that's your e-mail address?
A No.
Q Craig@panopticrypt doesn't belong to you?
A Not anymore, no.
Q Did it belong to you in 2014?
A I stopped using it about 2013.
Q Dr. Wright, do you recognize that the face of this PDF
shows, of exhibit 2, shows that Dave Kleiman sent it, but the
hidden mail header shows that the return to sender address is
yours?
A No, because this is not an e-mail.
Q All right. Dr. Wright, do you see on line 26, where the
mail transport header contains the word "received"?
A I do.
Q And on line 28, do you see it lists the date and time it
was received?
A Yes.
Q Thursday, the 24th of June, 2011?
A Yes.
```

Q So that's the e-mail server saying the e-mail was received on Thursday, the 24 th of June, 2011?

A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: How do you mark this, Mr. Freedman?
MR. FREEDMAN: This is plaintiffs' exhibit 5, Your
Honor. This is a calendar for the month of June 2011.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, would you please read for the record what date of the week June 24th, 2011, was?

THE COURT: Well, hold on. Are you offering
plaintiffs' --
MR. FREEDMAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Can I offer
plaintiffs' exhibit 5 into evidence?
THE COURT: Any objection to plaintiffs' 5?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Without objection, plaintiffs' 5 is admitted.

> (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 5 entered into evidence.)
> THE WITNESS: It's a Friday.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q It's a Friday.
Dr. Wright, do computers often mistake the day of
the week?

A When someone has modified a file on a compromised server that was hacked, and is known to be hacked, then all sorts of funny things happen.

Q Did you edit the metadata on this PDF, on this e-mail?
A No. And you're collecting data from a known compromised server.

Q Did you ask anyone to edit the metadata?
A No.
MS. McGOVERN: Objection; asked and answered.
THE COURT: No, it's a different question, and he answered it.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. I think I can help us figure out why the e-mail says Thursday when it was actually Friday if, Your Honor, I can approach again?

THE COURT: I don't need the editorial comment. You can approach.

How do you mark this?
MR. FREEDMAN: I've marked this as plaintiffs' exhibit 6.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q It's a document you produced in discovery with Bates label Defense 13459.

Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer this
document into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Without objection, it's admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 6 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, do you agree that this document is very similar to the first page of exhibit 1 and 2 ?

A I agree the documents you obtained from a compromised server --

Q This came from you, Dr. Wright.
A No, it actually came from files that, where my staff, who were trying to put my company into liquidation at the time. Q Well, it also purports to be sent from Dave to you; is that right?

A It is a modified document that someone has badly modified at that time, yes.

Q And it has the same subject; is that right?
A Again, it is a modified PDF of an e-mail at the time when I had staff attempting to force my companies into liquidation. Q It has the same attachments listed?

A Again, it is a modified document which has gone before the ATO, because I had staff, and there are records of this, who wanted to force my companies into liquidation. It is well known --

```
Q So it has the same attachments listed?
A I can't tell. It's only a name. So there's no way of
actually determining this.
Q The bodies of the e-mail appear identical?
A These are not e-mails, they're PDFs.
Q The body of the text appears identical?
A It is not an original document, it is a modified PDF.
Q Does it appear identical, Dr. Wright?
A It is a modified PDF.
Q If you could answer the question so we could get out of
here today.
A No, it want.
Q It doesn't appear identical?
A No, it doesn't appear identical.
Q Where is the difference?
THE COURT REPORTER: Sir, slow down, please.
THE COURT: Re-ask your last question.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Can you show me the difference in the body of the PD --
of the body of exhibit 6 and exhibit 2 and 1?
A No, because I don't have the electronic documents. No, I
cannot.
Q The face of it appear identical?
A I don't make comments on the face of documents without
being able to analyze them.
```

Q Okay. But the date listed is different, isn't it, Dr. Wright?

A You want me to comment on a file from a server run by a person who was trying to force me into liquidation so that they could sell my intellectual property, which is well known. Q Dr. Wright, I just want you to tell me if the date on exhibit 6 matches the date on exhibit 1 and 2 .

A I am not going to make a comment about something that you're trying to use falsified evidence to mislead the Court into believing that something is from me that came from staff documents that you are misrepresenting intentionally with knowledge.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a five-minute break.

Ms. McGovern --
MS. McGOVERN: Yes.
THE COURT: -- Mr. Rivero, I suspect you need to have a conversation with your client about answering the questions --

MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- that are asked and not giving speeches.

MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll be in recess for five minutes. (A recess was taken from 1:55 p.m. to 2:02 p.m., after
which the following proceedings were had:)
THE COURT: Thanks everyone, have a seat.
Is everybody back?
THE WITNESS: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Hold on.
THE WITNESS: Sorry.
THE COURT: We're ready to proceed?
MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Court reporter, would you mind
reading back the last question and answer?
(The court reporter read back the requested portion of
the transcript.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, does the date on exhibit 6 match the date on exhibit 1 and 2?

A No.
Q Do you see the date this e-mail was sent?
THE COURT: Which one, sir?
MR. FREEDMAN: Exhibit 6.
THE COURT: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: I see the date on the PDF.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Okay. Can you read that date for the Court.
A The date on exhibit 6 as a PDF is Friday, 17th of

October, 2014.
Q Dr. Wright, Dave Kleiman died in April 2013; isn't that right?

A That is correct.
Q So he didn't send this e-mail to you a year and a half after he died, did he?

A That's a PDF.
Q Dr. Wright, do you know who sent this e-mail?
A Again, this is a PDF. There are multiple copies.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, it's a PDF of an e-mail?
A It's a PDF of a modified e-mail, that's correct. Q Dr. Wright, I have just handed you plaintiffs' exhibit 7, and as an officer of the court, I represent to you this is a printout of the metadata that our expert pulled and formatted from the e-mail you're holding, which is marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 6.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'd like to move
plaintiffs' exhibit 7 into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: Yes, Your Honor, we object.
THE COURT: Same basis as previously stated?
MS. McGOVERN: That is correct.
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me that are on lines 82 and 99?
A Craig@panopticrypt.com.
Q For both? Ninety-nine, as well?
A That is correct.
Q All right. Let's jump back up. Do you see the word "received" on lines 25, 39 -- let me know when you've found it on 25.

A Yes.
Q Thirty-nine?
A Yes.
Q Forty-three?
A Yes.
Q Forty-six?
A Yes.
Q And 49.
A Yes.
Q And you recognize this as a header field appended by each server that processes the message?

A It is a field, yes, of that type.
Q So let's track these in chronological order, which requires we start at the last "received" on line 49. Go down to line 49 for me, and let me know when you're there.

A I'm there.
Q This "received" shows that the e-mail server indicates it received the e-mail from PCCSWO1; isn't that right?

```
A There's something that says PCCSW01.
Q Doctor, your name is Craig Steven Wright?
A My PC is not called CSW.
Q Doctor, your name is Craig Steven Wright?
A Yes.
Q Your initials are CSW?
A Yes.
Q So the server is telling us it received this e-mail from
a computer named PCCSW number 1?
A At 14.1.18, yes.
Q And not just that, but from an authenticated sender,
Craig@panopticrypt.com on lines 49 and 50; isn't that right?
A Yes.
Q Let's jump to the "received" on line 39. That one also
says that the, quote, open paren envelope-from
craig@panopticrypt.com on line 41. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q So Dr. Wright, does this e-mail's metadata help you
remember that you were the one that sent this e-mail?
A No.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, I'm handing you what's been marked as
plaintiffs' exhibit 8, and as an officer of the court, I'm
```

representing to you that this is object code from the PDF marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 5, which is the 2014 Dave Kleiman e-mail that our expert has pulled and formatted. MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'd like to move
plaintiffs' exhibit 8 into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: Yes, objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Same objection previously state? MS. McGOVERN: Same objection. THE COURT: Same ruling. It's conditionally admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 8 entered into evidence.) BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, I'd like you to look at line 45. Do you see where it says "from" in parenthesis?

A Yes.
Q And then two lines down, on 47, there's a metadata tag TouchUp TextEdit MP?

A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, did you alter the "from" field of this e-mail from Craig@Panopticrypt.com to Dave Kleiman?

A No.
Q Let's go back to exhibit 7 again, if you could, Dr. Wright, and take a moment to review the "received" mail transport header metadata fields. Just so you know where I'm
going, I want to focus on when they were all received. So if you start at line 49 for me and let me know when you're there.

A I am there.
Q We see that the first e-mail, the e-mail was first received on Friday, the 17th of October, 2014, at 1:04 and 44 seconds plus 000 ; is that right?

A We see a marker saying that date.
Q Then if we move up to the next line, on line 46, we see that the next server received this e-mail exactly nine seconds later, on the 17 th of October, 2014, at $1: 04$ and 53 seconds, also plus 000, which is GMT time. Is that right, Dr. Wright? A That date is displayed.

Q And if we move up again on line 43, we see that the third server received that e-mail in less than a second, also on Friday, the 17th of October, 2014, at 1:04 and 53 seconds, also in GMT time; is that correct?

A That is the correct date displayed on this document. Q And if we move up to line 39, we see the fourth server to receive the e-mail received the e-mail exactly one second later, although we have to adjust the time, because the fourth server was in another time zone. So it received it on Thursday, the 16th of October, 2014, at 21:04:54, negative 400. Do you see that?

A I see that time is listed there.

Q And if we add four hours to 21:04:54, we'd get 1:04:54 GMT time; is that correct?

A About correct if you did that.
Q And if we move up to the very first "received" on line 25 , we see that the fifth server received the e-mail one second after the fourth server, but in another time zone, as well. It arrives on Thursday, the 16th of October, 2014, 20:04:55 seconds, minus 500. Do you see that there? A Yes.

Q And if we add five hours to 20:04:55, we'd get 1:04:55 GMT time?

A About that.
Q And if we convert that final time stamp into Australian eastern daylight time, the local time in Sidney in October, that would give us October 17th, 2014, at 12:04:55 p.m.? A Yes.

Q And assuming it took another two seconds to show up in your inbox, that matches the face of the PDF stating 17th of October, 2014, 12:04:57 pretty perfectly, now, doesn't it? A A time plus a number adds up to another time, if that's what you're saying.

Q Now, based on the metadata we've just reviewed, it seems pretty clear that you sent this e-mail to yourself on October 17, 2014, at 12:04 and 44 seconds, and it arrived back in your inbox approximately 13 seconds later. Would you agree
with that statement?
A No. I was locked out of the office, and I wasn't the person managing the server on that date.

Q Dr. Wright, let's get back to the Thursday-Friday mixup and look at the mail transport fields from plaintiffs' exhibit 7, and compare it with that field from plaintiffs' exhibit 3. So to do that, I'm going to ask you to put exhibit 3 and 7 side by side. Let me know when you've got that lined up.

A Sorry, just bear with me a second.
I have 3 and 7 .
Q On exhibit 3, can you go to line 26, and on exhibit 7, go to line 25.

A Yes.
Q So they both start off identical. Let me read this for the record. They both start off with PDF mail transport -sorry, strike that. PDFX mail transport header received from gate.ford.smtp.dfwla.e-mailsrvr.com(172.26.0.1), by ord2hub06.mex05.mlsrvr.com(172.26.1.36), with Microsoft SMTP server(TLS), ID 14.3.169.1; T-H-U, for Thursday.

Is that correct?
A That would appear to be so.
Q And then they diverge.
Exhibit 7, the e-mail dated 2014, says, 16th of
October, 2014, which was a Thursday; isn't that right? It's
at line 27.
A I don't know if it was a Thursday.
Q But exhibit 3, the e-mail dated 2011, says, 24 th of June, 2011, which was actually a Friday; isn't that right? It's at line 28.

A I believe from your calendar that it was.
Q And then they go back to being identical again, right?
They both conclude with 20:04:55, minus 0500, return path craig@panopticrypt.comx-spam-threshold:95; is that right?

A Yes.
Q But then the 2014 e-mail, which is exhibit 7, has the whole word, "X-spam-score," on line 30. Do you see that? A Yes.

Q But the 2011 e-mail, which is exhibit 3, is cut off after the letters "X-sp". Do you see that?

A I see the PDF, yes.
Q All right. Someone deleted the rest of the mail
transport header?
MS. McGOVERN: Object to form.
THE COURT: Overruled. He can answer if he can answer.

THE WITNESS: I see those -- that isn't in the other document.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q So this explains the Thursday-Friday mixup. If someone
started with the 2014 e-mail, which is exhibit 6, and edited the metadata from 16th of October, 2014, to 24 th of June, 2011, but forgot to change the day of the week, that's what it would show; isn't that correct?

A No.
Q No?
A It would be more complex than that.
Q Dr. Wright, let's do another comparison between the metadata for the e-mail PDF dated 2014 and 2011. That's exhibit 6 and 3. But I want you to look at the metadata, which is exhibit 7 and exhibit 3.

A Yes.
Q And I apologize. It's -- the e-mails are exhibit 6 and exhibit 2, and the metadatas are exhibit 7 and exhibit 3.

So you have exhibits 7 and 3 in front of you still?
A I do.
Q Do you see line 16 on both exhibits has a metadata tag of "document ID"?

A It has a document ID, yes.
Q Let's compare that document ID across both PDFs. Okay? They're identical; isn't that right?

A They would appear so.
Q Both documents have the document ID of
75d61eff-a590-4649-ba5c-b6bd9a047e2e; is that correct?
A Yes.

```
Q And go with me to the line 17 on both exhibits. Do you
see the metadata tag "instance ID"?
A Yes.
Q They're different across both PDFs; isn't that right?
A I would have to compare.
Q Go ahead.
A Yes, they do.
Q So these documents are different instances of the exact
same document?
A Not necessarily. They're different modified versions of
different documents.
Q Doctor --
A (Inaudible) the same document.
                    THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. What did you just
say? I'm sorry.
                    THE WITNESS: They're different documents containing
another document, but it's the same.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, this also solves why the PDF dated 2011 has
an Adobe stamp of August 23rd, 2012, right?
A They're multiple modified files.
Q I mean, if the e-mail was actually sent in October of
2014, as exhibit 6 shows, that would explain it, wouldn't that
be right?
A No, because these aren't e-mails, but . . .
```

```
Q Dr. Wright --
A The PDF is different.
Q Dr. Wright, did you edit exhibit 6 to turn it into
exhibit 2?
A No.
Q Because -- Dr. Wright, can you look at exhibit 3 for me,
the 2011 metadata? There was a modify date of October 22nd,
2014, on line 12, wasn't there?
A Yes.
Q You'd agree, would you not, that this modify date would
make sense if this PDF was created five days after you sent
the e-mail to yourself, on October 17th, 2014, and not in June
of 2011, right?
A No, because there's a create date, as well. So there's
actually a modified and created difference in the document.
Q Hmm. Dr. Wright, there's still some things that don't
make sense. Maybe you can clear them up for me.
                    Look at exhibit 7 for me. Can you read the date
next to the "create date" metadata tag of this PDF for the
record? It's on line 13.
A Yes.
Q What is that date?
A That is July 12th, 2011.
Q That's strange for the PDF of an e-mail that wasn't sent
until 2014, isn't it?
```

A These are both PDFs, not e-mails.
Q It's a PDF of an e-mail, Dr. Wright, that you provided.
A No; it is a PDF of documents from my staff and my server.
Q So exhibit 6, the 2014, e-mail, that's not an authentic document?

THE COURT: Well, hold on, Mr. Freedman. If I can clarify the question.

Are you asking him whether that is an accurate copy
of whatever he had in his possession, or whether that is an accurate copy of what it purports to be? In other words --

MR. FREEDMAN: I'm actually going to strike the question, if that's okay with the court.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, can you turn to line 12 of exhibit 7 for me, and can you please read the modify date for the Court when you get there.

A Seventh, 7th, 2011.
Q Dr. Wright, would you agree that the metadata for this PDF shows that it was modified before it was even created? A The dates on the machine would be before when it was -oh, the create date, yes.

Q And you'd agree that shouldn't be possible?
A No, it's not -- I wouldn't agree that it shouldn't be possible. It's actually very easy to do.

Q Someone could have manipulated the date to reflect that?
A It would look like someone has done a simple task of setting the date back on a PC and then printing.

Q And to the extent someone wanted to argue this document was created in 2011, that would conflict with the Adobe creation version we saw at line 4; isn't that right?

A Which one . . .
Q Exhibit 7 still.
A Because they're modified, yes.
Q Of course, if the PDF was created in 2014, that Adobe stamp would be unremarkable, right?

A No, that's incorrect. The version should actually be later than that.

Q Dr. Wright, did you purposely manipulate the metadata of the PDF exhibit 6?

A I do not manipulate metadata on things for any purpose.
Q Did you purposely manipulate the metadata of the
underlying e-mail?
A No.
Q Dr. Wright, do you still maintain that exhibit 1 is authentic?

A It is an authentic document. Of what is what you're trying to get at.

Q Is it a real e-mail?
A No, it's a PDF.

Q Did Dave Kleiman send you that e-mail on June 24th, 2011?
A This is a PDF, not an e-mail.
Q Did Dave Kleiman send you the e-mail that that PDF represents on June 24 th, 2011?

A Dave Kleiman sent me something similar to that, and there is a marker on Facebook where I can't access and change.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
Are we done with exhibit 8, I think?
MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, we maintain our objection
to the documents that have been --
THE COURT: Yes, understood, to 3, 4, 7 and 8, which were admitted conditionally. And like I said, at the conclusion of the hearing if you want to move to strike those, I'll entertain that motion.

MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes. And you've preserved your
objection.
Okay. How do we mark this, Mr. Freedman?
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, we've marked this as
plaintiffs' exhibit 9, bearing the Bates labels Defense 50985 to 50989.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, this is a copy of the Tulip Trust I document you authenticated under oath; is that correct?

A It is a copy of a document that $I$ was given. It's not a document I've created, so $I$ can only say that it is a copy I've been given.

Q It's an authentic copy?
A Of a document I've been handed. So I cannot actually say, because I'm not one of the creators or signatories.

THE COURT: But I think, Dr. Wright, if I could
clarify, I think when he says it's authentic, it means you didn't do anything to modify this document from the time you got it until you presented it to him.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct.
THE COURT: So when you use the term "authentic," is that my understanding of what you mean, you didn't modify the document? Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's -- yes.
THE COURT: I just want to make sure you and I are using the same word.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: So when you say it's authentic, you mean you didn't change it?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Somebody else might have changed it
before it came to you; you don't know that. But you certainly
didn't change it; is that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, with that understanding you can proceed.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q And Dr. Wright, does that also go for exhibit 1, the Dave Kleiman e-mail PDF that you produced, you never modified it?

A I have not modified any of these.
Q It's authentic in the same sense the Court's just asked you?

A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, as in your declarations, I'm gonna refer to this document at Tulip Trust I, is that okay?

A Yes.
Q And as we've just been through, you've sworn it's
authentic once in your May 13th declaration?
A Yes, I was handed that document.
Q Okay. And -- right. And just now directly to the Court you've sworn it's authentic?

A Yes. I was handed that document from other people, and I have no test to see whether it's not authentic or not.

Q Okay. When were you handed this document?
A I don't remember. Not that long ago when I asked for it.
Q It's dated -- this document is dated October 23rd, 2012;
is that correct?

THE COURT: Again, before we start to talk about the content, are you going to offer the document?

MR. FREEDMAN: Apologize. Yes, we'd like to
offer -- plaintiffs would like to offer plaintiffs' exhibit 9 into evidence.

THE COURT: Is there an objection?
MS. McGOVERN: No.
THE COURT: Without objection, plaintiffs' 9 is admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 9 entered into evidence.)
MS. McGOVERN: We don't have a copy of these just
for purposes of our having a complete set. I know I can see it, but . . . oh, is this it? Okay. Got it, sorry. Thanks. THE COURT: Got it? Okay.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, this is a copy of the trust document that controls the Bitcoin that's being held for your family; is that correct?

A No, it is not correct.
Q Did it at any point hold the Bitcoin for you? A $\quad$ No.

As I've said, there was a technical solution, and I asked for a legal solution to be put around that. The technical solution controls the Bitcoin.

Q And this is the legal solution?

```
A I'm led to believe so.
Q The legal solution that controls access to billions of
dollars-worth of Bitcoin?
A No, there is no legal access to be able to get access to
the Bitcoin.
Q And you haven't seen it until shortly before we requested
it?
A No. As I said, I didn't want anything to know about some
of these things.
    MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
    THE COURT: You may.
    MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, as an officer of the
court, I'm representing to you that this is the metadata
associated with one of the font files contained within Tulip
Trust I PDF that has been extracted by our expert.
    THE COURT: Okay.
    MR. FREEDMAN: And I'd like to move it into
evidence.
    THE COURT: All right. So, first of all, how do you
mark this document?
    MR. FREEDMAN: Exhibit 10.
    THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. McGovern, I assume the
same objection, but other than --
    MS. McGOVERN: Same objection, Your Honor.
    THE COURT: Okay.
```

MS. McGOVERN: I don't know what the predicate is for these metadata documents that are being used as exhibits to examine or cross-examine Dr. Wright on with respect to trust agreements.

THE COURT: All right. My understanding is that -I don't know what he's going to ask about it, but that he will have a witness who will testify that that witness conducted some sort of forensic examination of exhibit 9, and exhibit 10 has some connection to exhibit 9. If he connects it up, he connects it up. If he doesn't, he doesn't.

MS. McGOVERN: Okay.
THE COURT: I'll allow the questioning for now.
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, and I hate to be
difficult about this.
THE COURT: You're not being difficult.
MS. McGOVERN: But I also don't understand the connection between a show cause hearing that is attacking the authenticity of trust documents, when the issue before Your Honor is whether Dr. Wright has the ability to provide a list of the public addresses for the remaining Bitcoin that he hasn't been able to provide.

THE COURT: Well, Dr. Wright swore a declaration on May the 8th which says that he put something into this trust, and that that something could be used to regenerate the public addresses. So I think it's a direct connection, so I'll allow
the question.
MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Ten is now admitted over objection conditionally.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 10 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, can you tell me how a document that is dated 2012 contains a font file from Microsoft that was copyrighted in 2015?

A Because the update of Calibri --
THE COURT REPORTER: Say that again, please.
THE WITNESS: The update of Calibri -- it's spelled C-a-l-i-b-r-i -- was an update when the new version came out in 2015. Calibri actually dates to $I$ believe 1998 originally. So when the new version of Microsoft comes out, they issue a new copyright. So the font is exactly the same, whether you're talking about 2013, 2009 or 1998.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, that file came out of the Tulip Trust PDF that's dated 2012. How is it dated copyrighted 2015?

A Someone could have printed it at any time. This is, again, not a document that I created, so I don't know the history of it.

Q Dr. Wright, would it surprise you to learn that there are actually five font files in this PDF that have been digitally signed by Microsoft in 2015?

A Again, I don't know when this has been printed. It's -I mean, these are clearly digitally signed images of signatures. They're not a scanned piece of paper.

Q All right. Dr. Wright, font file copyrights aside, this trust was created and is dated clearly in 2012; is that right? A The file is dated that date, yes.

Q And you submitted a declaration on May 13th, saying: "In October 2012, a former trust document was executed;" is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And this is that trust document?
A This wasn't trust -- it wasn't executed by me. So I've been given it by the people at Abacus, and I can only say I received a document from the people at Abacus. Whether they updated it at any point, I don't know.

Q And you swore that it was executed in 2012 based on your personal knowledge; isn't that right?

A I swore that a trust was executed on 2012.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, this is plaintiffs' exhibit 11 and 12.

THE COURT: Right. Now, hold on. I want to make sure we're careful. I believe these were -- these documents had previously been filed with me under seal, or partially re -- and they were filed in full under seal, and then they
were redacted, and the redacted version was filed in the public record. But what's been handed to me are the fully unredacted copies, so I just want to make sure we're working off the documents that we want to be working off in the public record.

Maybe you want to talk to each other and just make -- I haven't heard an objection.

MS. McGOVERN: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I was
following and nodding, thinking that --
THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. McGOVERN: Yes, we agree. We object to the use of a non-redacted document in a public proceeding.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Freedman, we're just -- I haven't ruled on that, but where are we going with this? If you're just going to ask him questions about things that are in the redacted document, $I$ think we can use these as a working copy, but what we'll put in the public record is what's in the public record. If you're going to go into things that have been redacted in the public record, I just need to reconsider the basis for that. So if you could just be clear about that as you go.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I don't have the redacted copies in front of me, but all I intend to do is show that Dr. Wright swore on his personal knowledge: "In October 2012, a former trust document was executed," and then he then swore
in -- that's the May 13th declaration. Sorry, the May 8th declaration. And then the May 13th declaration, he then provided this document as the authentic copy of the document he referenced.

THE COURT: Okay. So just help me out. The first document -- how are these marked? I'm sorry, 11 and 12?

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. And I accidentally handed them up to you without marking which is which. Dr. Wright has the operative copies.

THE COURT: Dr. Wright, if you wouldn't mind telling me, which one is marked as 11? Is it the document dated May the 8 th or the document dated May 13th? Just look at the last page, sir. That will help you.

THE WITNESS: May the 8th is number 11.
THE COURT: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: And the 13th is number 12, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, first of all, Mr. Freedman, I can take judicial notice of the documents that have been previously submitted and filed with the court. So to the extent you just want to point out that the documents say what they say, $I$ can take judicial notice of that, and we can rely upon the documents that are already redacted in the public record.

MR. FREEDMAN: That's fine with me, Your Honor. THE COURT: If you have other questions, I'm not

MR. FREEDMAN: No, no.
THE COURT: -- but if that's all you're going for.
MR. FREEDMAN: No, that's all I would like to do.
THE COURT: Then I will take judicial notice. I don't know the docket numbers off the top of my head, but I will take judicial notice of the two declarations of Dr. Wright, which I believe are both filed in the record in redacted form.

MR. FREEDMAN: So do you want me to just take those exhibits down, we don't have to put them in the record, or . . .

THE COURT: Correct.
So I'm not -- you haven't offered 11 and 12; I'm not admitting 11 and 12. You can either reuse those numbers, or we'll just skip them in sequence.

And maybe my clerk will quickly look up what those docket entries are so we can put those in the record. BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Okay. Dr. Wright, when this trust was created it named two beneficiaries; is that correct?

A I would have to look at the document.
Q Okay. Well, can you take a look at exhibit 9 for me. Let me know when you're on exhibit 9.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Which page would you like me to
look at?
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q First page of exhibit 9, it says: "Deed of trust between Wright International Investments, LTD, and Tulip Trading, LTD," and those are defined as the parties.

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And then if you look on the second page, Bates labeled 50986, paragraph 1: "That we will act as holder and as nominee agent and trustee for the parties who are at all times the beneficial owners."

A Sorry, where am I looking? Sorry.
Q This is the second page of the exhibit, defense 50986, paragraph 1 at the top.

A Yes.
Q Okay. So, Dr. Wright, when this trust was created in 2012, it named two beneficiaries, Wright International Investments, LTD, IBC number 064409. Is that correct?

A That doesn't -- where does it say they're beneficiaries? Sorry.

Q Well, they're defined as the parties on page 1, correct?
A But that doesn't make them a beneficiary.
Q Okay. And then let's go to the second page, defense 50986, and look at 1, paragraph 1 at the top: "That we will act as holder and as nominee agent and trustee for the
parties, who are at all times the beneficial owners."
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: That's what it says.
THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on.
Again, Mr. Freedman, maybe I can save you some
effort.
In Dr. Wright's declaration dated May the 8th, he
identifies at paragraph 13 the two beneficiaries as Wright
International Investments, LTD, and Tulip Trading, LTD.
MR. FREEDMAN: That works.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright --
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, if I could just interrupt
very quickly. I believe this document, as well, Your Honor, was provided under seal.

THE COURT: Plaintiffs' 9 I don't believe has ever been filed with the Court.

MS. McGOVERN: Pardon me?
THE COURT: Plaintiffs' 9 you're talking about?
MS. McGOVERN: I believe so. I say it in an
abundance of caution. I can't confirm it right now, but because it does have the -- it has information regarding the -- the trustees.

THE COURT: Yeah, we -- I can tell you, I do not recall plaintiffs' 9 ever being admitted. To the extent there
is information in plaintiffs' 9 that the Court has previously ordered redacted --

MS. McGOVERN: We'll do the same.
THE COURT: -- we can agree, I hope all parties will
just agree that we'll redact this document.
Plaintiffs' 9 is admitted subject to redaction, to conform to the prior redactions stated by the Court, unless either party wants to use material that was previously redacted, in which case I'll have to entertain that separately.

Is that okay, Mr. Freedman?
MR. FREEDMAN: To redact the actual copy of the document?

THE COURT: The document that was admitted previously as plaintiffs' exhibit 9. To the extent it contains information in it that has previously been redacted from other documents, can we agree that it will be redacted from 9 before 9 is filed in the record? Unless you want to use that other information, in which case I'll hear you on that?

MR. FREEDMAN: The only thing I don't know, Your Honor, is I'd like to reference the document. I -plaintiffs -- defendant objected strenuously to me asking questions about the trust at the closed deposition, and I need to ask these questions now.

THE COURT: No, as I recall what was redacted -- and I don't have the redacted document in front of me, and $I$ can pull it up -- what was redacted are things like the equivalent of a corporate identification number.

MS. McGOVERN: That is correct.

THE COURT: The names of some minor children.

MS. McGOVERN: That is correct.

THE COURT: Things like that.

The names of the trustees were not redacted.

MS. McGOVERN: Right.
THE COURT: The names of the beneficiaries were not redacted.

So ask your questions.
Ms. McGovern, if you think he's going into something that has been redacted, you can point that out.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'll tell you straight out, I need to discuss the IBC numbers, which, I don't know, but $I$ can't imagine are confidential, but, I mean, maybe they are.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FREEDMAN: But I must use them for this hearing.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. McGovern, is there an
objection to the IBC numbers, or perhaps -- is there an objection to use the IBC numbers?

MS. McGOVERN: No.

THE COURT: Okay. See? Easy.
All right. Go ahead, Mr. Freedman.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q So Wright International Investments, LTD, IBC number 064409, is that right, Dr. Wright, that's identified as a party and a beneficiary --

THE COURT REPORTER: Sir, sir, slow down. Can you ask your question again?

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Let's go back to page 1 of plaintiffs' exhibit 9.
A I'm on that.
Q Okay. And the first party and beneficiary as identified in your declaration is Wright International Investments, LTD, IBC number 064409; is that correct?

A That's what this document says.
Q Okay. And IBC stands for International Business Company?
A I believe so.
Q And Tulip Trading, LTD, IBC number 093344?
A Yes.
Q And that's consistent with your deposition testimony today, Dr. Wright, also taken under oath, that you created Tulip Trading in 2011, after you had separated from Lynn, but before you divorced in 2012; is that correct?

A No, I didn't create it. A company called Abacus created
it, and it was held with bearer shares.
Q During that time period?
A This was created I believe in 2011 with bearer shares, yes.

Q And Dr. Wright, isn't it true that actually neither you
or Dave Kleiman had anything to do with Tulip Trading in 2012?
A No, that is not correct.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
Thank you.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, plaintiffs' exhibit 11
bearing Bates labels Defense 58790 through 58793 is offered into evidence.

THE COURT: Okay. So, wait, we're just going back and reusing number 11?

MR. FREEDMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: It's not the same 11 we looked at
before.
MR. FREEDMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: Ms. McGovern, any objection to number

MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Without objection, it's admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 11 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, this is an e-mail you produced that reflects an October 16, 2014, e-mail exchange between you and Dennis Mayaka, from Abacus Offshore; is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q And let's flip to the second to last page for me so we can follow the conversation in chronological order. That's defense 58792. The subject is "aged shelf company;" is that right?

A Yes.
Q And Denis' e-mail to you says on the second line, quote:
"We received your message requesting for an aged shelf company. Please find attached a list of our shelf companies. Kindly let us know which company you would like to take. Additionally, once you identify the company, please fill the attached form and e-mail it back to us."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And then if we move one e-mail up, we see that you respond selecting Tulip Trading, Limited, with a date of July 21st, 2011, and a number of 93344; is that correct? A Yes.

Q And we know from Tulip Trust I, which is exhibit 9, that 93344 number is actually Tulip Trading's IBC number; isn't that correct?

A It matches.

Q And if we move up to the next e-mail, we see that Denis responds telling you the price of Tulip Trading?

A It's a bit cheap, but anyway.
Q And one more e-mail up, we see you respond to Denis, still on October 16th, 2014, writing: "Yes, please reserve it. How do I pay?"

A Yes.
Q Do you see that?
One more e-mail up, Denis responds telling you: "Thanks, the company has been reserved for you"?

A Yes.
Q And then the next two e-mails, there's a back and forth about the cost of the -- and the bank details. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And if we come to the very last e-mail, we see that you e-mail Denis on October 17th, 2014, telling him that, quote: "Due to the limits on the account for new international transfers, this will be completed in parts," and then stating that: "Payment one is attached for 1650 American." Do you see that?

A I do.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
I'm sorry. If you're publishing this I didn't have
the screen on. I apologize.
MR. BRENNER: Yeah, I was going to ask you that.
THE COURT: The record should reflect the witness had a copy, I had a copy, counsel had a copy, but the public was not able to see the document. So I've now made it available to the public.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've handed the witness plaintiffs' exhibit 12, bearing the Bates label Defense 46670, a document Dr. Wright produced in discovery. I'd like to move this into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection, Ms. McGovern?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 12 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, this is the bank transfer receipt of the first payment of 1650 --

THE COURT: Hold on, I'm sorry. One thing before we publish this. Should we -- do we need to redact the account numbers or the SWIFT number?

MR. FREEDMAN: Do we need to, you're asking, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I think as a general practice in this court we do redact bank account numbers. I don't know if that's a sufficiently -- if that's a full bank account or not
a full bank account number, but $I$ just want to point out to the counsel before I publish this.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'm not going to discuss those exhibits, so -- and I don't mind if you just don't publish this to the public, and we can just have the counsel, the witness and the Court look at the document.

THE COURT: Well, if it's in evidence, I need to publish it to the public. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to redact the account number and just have you -- you can just cross it out on the document.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay.
THE COURT: And then when you -- at the close of the hearing, when you submit all the documents electronically, you can redact that line.

MR. BRENNER: Your Honor, do you want to redact where it says they bank with and that account number?

THE COURT: Where it says account number starting with a 2.

MR. BRENNER: Okay.
THE COURT: If you can just cross that out.
MR. BRENNER: I can.
And I'm not sure the ELMO is connected to the screen right now.

THE COURT: It should be on.
MR. FREEDMAN: I'm going to switch this one redacted
for the published one.
THE COURT: Very good.
It's admitted without objection with that minor redaction.

I don't know if the ELMO is connected to the projector. There it is. Now it's published.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, this is the bank transfer receipt of that first payment of 1650 that you referenced in your October 17th, 2014 e-mail to Denis that you sent at 4:21 p.m., isn't it?

A No.
Q Okay. The transfer receipt is --
A Abacus isn't in the United States. I still pay Denis now. Denis doesn't have a United States bank account. Denis has no dealings with the U.S. at all.

Q Dr. Wright, do you see right under recipient's details, you're transferring to Abacus Seychelles, Limited?

A I see name, Abacus Seychelles, Limited. I see New York.
Abacus have no dealings -- Denis has no dealings
with New York. Denis is not involved with America at all. Q Dr. Wright, this transfer receipt is likewise dated 17th of October, 2014?

A Yes.
Q And it has a time stamp of 4:15:12 p.m., which is about
six minutes before you sent the e-mail to Denis we were looking at in 11?

A It is a document saying that, yes.
Q And at the bottom, it references Invoice 393888, part 1. Do you see that?

A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've just handed the witness plaintiffs' exhibit 13, which is bearing Bates label Defense 00046664. This is a document Dr. Wright produced in discovery, and we'd like to offer it into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Without objection, plaintiffs' 13 is admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 13 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, you'll see this is Invoice 39888 that's referenced in your bank transfer?

A As I said, that's not a real bank transfer, because it has a company that doesn't deal with the U.S.

Q And this invoice is dated also October 17th, 2014?
A That document is dated that date.
Q This is the same date as your last e-mail to Denis?

A My last e-mail to Denis --
Q In October -- sorry, let me rephrase that.
This is the same date as your last e-mail to -- as
your e-mail to Denis in plaintiffs' exhibit 11.
A Yes, it's the same date.
Q And it's -- this invoice, it's for the purchase of a Seychelles 2011 shelf company. Do you see that?

A That's what it says.
Q And in the top right corner it says: "Company Details, Tulip Trading, Limited?

A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've just handed to the witness what's been marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 14, bearing the Bates label Defense 588811 through 588832. This is a document Dr. Wright produced in discovery, and it includes one native of the Bates, because it's easier to review the color copy.

Plaintiffs would like to offer this into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Plaintiffs' 14 is admitted without objection.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 14 entered into evidence.)

```
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, you'll recognize exhibit 14 as an e-mail from
Denis to you dated October 20th, 2014?
A No, I don't.
Q Do you see it has a "from" of Denis at
AbacusOffshore.com?
A Yes, I do.
Q And it's to Craig.Wright@HotwirePE.com?
A Yes, I see that.
Q And the e-mail says in the body, quote: "Please find
attached corporate documents for Tulip Trading, Limited"?
A Yes, I see that.
Q And it attaches Tulip Trading's Certificate of
Incorporation, Memorandum of Association and Articles of
Association?
A Yes, I see that.
Q Well, let me get this straight. A company you didn't buy
until 2014 is listed as a beneficiary of a trust document you
claimed was formed in 2012?
A No. You have put documents I don't recognize.
Q Documents you produced in discovery?
A Yes, from other machines in my organization.
Q Dr. Wright, can you turn back to exhibit 6 for me?
You'll remember that's the 2014 e-mail from Dave Kleiman.
A Uh-huh.
```

Q The one that was dated a year and a half after he died.
A I see the PDF, yes.
Q And the date is October 17th, 2014?
A That is correct.
Q And that's the exact same day you purchased Tulip Trading from Denis, at Abacus?

A No, it's not.
Q Dr. Wright, you forged this document in 2014 to try and create evidence that Dave transferred Bitcoin into a trust under your control, didn't you?

A No, I did not.
Q Dr. Wright, let's return to the Tulip Trust I document
that you swore was exe -- sorry.
Doctor, let's return to the Tulip Trust I document
that you swore was executed in October 2012.
A Which number was that?
Q That's exhibit 9.
A Which I was told was, yes. I was given that document.
Q Okay. On the last page of that exhibit there's another purported trustee of Tulip Trust I.

A What number is this again? Sorry, 9.
Q It's exhibit 9.
A Yes, I've got it.
Q And the last page of that exhibit for me.
MR. BRENNER: The last page?

MR. FREEDMAN: Second to last page?
THE COURT: Last page.
MR. FREEDMAN: The last page of the document.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Another purported trustee of Tulip Trust I is, and I
quote: "The company in the UK registered by number 08248988"?
A Yes.
Q And in your May 8th declaration, you swore that this company is Coin LTD, UK; is that correct?

A It isn't anymore, but it was for a time.
Q Dr. Wright, isn't it true that, just like Tulip Trading, you didn't own this company until 2014?

A That one was fixed up later when we found out that -when Uyen told me it wasn't purchased correctly. We had to go back to the company and pay fees.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, with respect to
exhibit 9, there's information that's personal to Dr. Wright. I don't believe it should be published until it's properly redacted.

THE COURT: If you would give me sort of page and location of what you think should be redacted.

MS. McGOVERN: Yeah, if you could look at page 5, Your Honor.

THE COURT: One second.
Okay. And where on page --
MS. McGOVERN: Page 5 of exhibit 9, which contains information regarding Craig Steven Wright, passport number.

THE COURT: Oh, passport number. Absolutely, we can redact the passport number.

Anything else on that page, Ms. McGovern -MS. McGOVERN: No.

THE COURT: -- that you believe should be redacted?
Okay. I'm going from memory, but I thought when we redacted the declaration, we did redact the PGP key ID that's listed there, as well, the two PGP key IDs.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, those are publicly available.

THE COURT: They are? Okay. Never mind.
MS. McGOVERN: I'm sorry, what is this?
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've handed to the witness what has been marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 15 bearing the Bates label Defense 54388 through 54442.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: This is a document Dr. Wright produced in discovery, and plaintiffs would like to offer it into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, we've produced this
document under seal. This contains information concerning a completely separate proceeding before the Australian Tax Authority.

THE COURT: Let me see counsel at sidebar so we can discuss this more fully.
(The following proceedings were held at sidebar:)
THE COURT: All right. So Ms. McGovern, you have an objection. Is it that the -- there are -- there's information in this document that is sensitive and irrelevant?

MS. McGOVERN: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, before you -- let me -Mr. Freedman, are you going to talk about the entire document or just a discrete portion?

MR. FREEDMAN: Just discrete portions. And I don't think they'll object to that portion, but, I mean, the document shows that the Australian tax office --

THE COURT: Hold on. Let's do this. It's a little before 3:00 o'clock. Why don't we take a five-minute break. Why don't you sit down with Ms. McGovern during the break, and Mr. Rivero, show them the pages in the document that you would like to use, and maybe we can just extract the actual pages, rather than putting in a multi-hundred-page document --

MS. McGOVERN: Agreed.
MR. FREEDMAN: Sure.
THE COURT: -- that contains a lot of irrelevant
information.
So we'll take a five-minute break.
(Sidebar conference concluded.)
THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a five-minute break.

Dr. Wright, you may step down. Remember, you can't discuss your testimony with counsel.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(A recess was taken from 2:48 p.m. to 3:04 p.m., after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Rivero, you wanted to . . .
MR. RIVERO: Judge, the only thing I was saying was we don't have a confidentiality issue as to those three pages. Ms. McGovern wants to address the evidentiary questions.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RIVERO: So we don't object to using it without redaction.

THE COURT: Okay. And so hold on. Just, again, so my record is clear, have we recast plaintiffs' 15 as just three pages from the larger document?

MR. RIVERO: Oh, yes. Just to make the record clear, Judge, the three pages --

MR. FREEDMAN: Plus the cover page? Just the cover page.

MR. RIVERO: Judge, we have it marked as plaintiffs' 15, and what Mr. Freedman has showed you is he's now said the cover of 15 ; what is marked at the bottom as ending in 4392, which is page 5 of 55.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RIVERO: Then the page that is 17 of 55 , which ends in Bates 4404.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RIVERO: And finally, Judge, the fourth page that Mr. Freedman has shown us is page 19 of 55, ending 4406.

And to be clear, we don't have an issue with using those pages, because they were previously sealed. So on confidentially, it's not an issue; however --

THE COURT: There's an evidence --
MR. RIVERO: -- there's an evidentiary issue.
THE COURT: Okay. Now, just again, so my record is clear, plaintiffs' exhibit 15 is now a composite exhibit comprising five pages?

MR. RIVERO: One request, Judge. Could we request the file number on the cover sheet, which is File Ref, File reference, on the right-hand side top third?

THE COURT: Oh, I see that.
MR. RIVERO: Yep.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. RIVERO: If we could have that redacted.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Freedman, any objection to redacting the file reference number?

MR. FREEDMAN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll grant that request.
Okay. So now we've identified exhibit 15 is a composite exhibit of five pages.

Ms. McGovern, you have an evidentiary objection. I'll hear that.

MS. McGOVERN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

We object because -- on the basis of hearsay. This is a document which contains actually multiple layers of hearsay. It's a document that deals with the decision for a nonparty to this proceeding, and it involves out of court statements, which presumably Mr. Feldman (sic) is going to be introducing for the truth of the matter asserted.

THE COURT: Mr. Freedman.
MR. FREEDMAN: It's an impeachment exhibit, Your
Honor. I asked the witness a question, he denied it, and I'm using this to impeach him.

THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Freedman, you're saying you're only trying to introduce this to show that it's inconsistent with something else that Dr. Wright said, not for the truth of what's asserted in it? Is that -- you say you want to impeach him because it's inconsistent with a prior

```
statement that he's made?
```

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, could I take one minute?
THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, if I could also just add for the record to our objection, that because the document can't be admitted for the truth of the matter asserted because it's hearsay, it also can't be used to impeach, particularly since, in the pages that are proposed to be introduced, these are not statements by Dr. Wright. These are statements by other parties, presumably made related to a particular subject matter, but it isn't technically a correct impeachment sufficient to overcome the hearsay objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I'll let Mr. Freedman respond.

Okay. Mr. Freedman?
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to withdraw the exhibit for now, but I'm going to -- I may use it again in a moment.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. You may proceed. BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, isn't it true that you were under investigation by the Australian tax office in 2014.

A That is not true.
Q Rephrase that, Dr. Wright. Is it true that the Australian tax office conducted an audit of some of your

A Yes.
Q And during that audit process they asked you questions?
A Of course.
Q And you gave answers?
A That's what an audit is, yes.
Q And as part of that investigation, did the Australian tax office accuse you of purchasing Coin UK, 08248988, after 2014? A I have no idea. I resigned as a director partway through this. So, like this document, I wasn't there at that stage at the end.

Q Isn't it true --
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
this line of questioning to the extent that it has to do with a nonparty in a separate proceeding on relevance grounds, Your Honor. It's . . .

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think as to the last question, Dr. Wright says he doesn't know. So let's see the next question. If there's an objection, I'll rule on it. BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, isn't it true that there are public Companies House records from the United Kingdom showing that you didn't acquire control over 08248988 until January of 2014?

A I don't know. I don't do company secretarial. Back in that time you're talking about here. I didn't do it; we had
other people doing it. I had secretarial services later take over. We had a CFO at one stage. So I can't comment on company secretarial, because it wasn't my job.

Q Dr. Wright, when did you purchase Coin -- sorry, let me strike that.

Dr. Wright, when did you purchase 08248988?
MS. McGOVERN: Same objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'll allow it.
He references this in his declaration and is
identified as one of the trustees of the trusts, which there's
been testimony may have had some of the keys that would be necessary to decrypt. So I'll allow the question.

THE WITNESS: I didn't.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q I'm sorry?
A I didn't purchase it.
Q Dr. Wright, you've stated that there is a legal solution and a technical solution to preventing you access to the trust; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And you've split -- you've~-- the technical solution is based on Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme; is that correct?

A In part, yes.
Q And that means that there is a minimum amount of key slices that are required to access the encrypted file; is that
correct?
A There are separate slices for each part of the file. So there's not one set of Shamir keys.

Q How many parts of the file are there?
A Of which file? Sorry.
Q The file that you're saying you can't get access to.
A Okay. So there is a file, and that consists of many files inside, so a compressed file. Each of those files has a differently calculated encryption key, as I've been saying. It's a hierarchical system, where, based on a combination of the file hash and the original encryption key -- there are a variety of those -- there are multiple Shamir secrets. So there is one for the Genesis block, there is one for a first-level file, and there is one for other files.

So all up, there -- I think there are four or five -- I can't remember off the top of my head -- different versions of this. If it was five, though, the fifth one is derived from the fourth anyway. So there's only effectively four different versions of key I need to get.

Q And which one do we need access to to recreate the list of Bitcoin you've mined?

A There's not really a number. But one of these is a eight of 15 scheme, where I have some keys, Denis has some keys, and other people have keys. Dave had keys, and he was told to arrange to have them stored with bonded courier. He could
have had some of them written down at home, and it's possible that he had some on his hard drives.

Q $\quad$ So it's an eight of 15 scheme?
A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, can I approach?
THE COURT: Yes.
So let me just, if we could ask Dr. Wright. You say an eight of 15? Was that --

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. So eight slices of 15 that have total. So if you get --

THE COURT: There are 15 total keys, but you need eight of them to decrypt the file?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And you need it in special orders, as well.

THE COURT: Okay. Understood.
But you don't need all 15, you need eight of them.
THE WITNESS: No; you need the right eight. If you get them in the wrong order it won't work. It's a one of -so you have about 32 million possible combinations, even if you have the eight. So you need to know which order they go in, as well.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:

Q Dr. Wright, you know the order that they go in?
A Not off the top of my head, no.
Q How would you find out the order that they go in?
A It was written down.
Q Where?
A On a document.
Q Where is that document?
A It would be on a server somewhere.
Q Which server?
A I couldn't tell you without looking.
Q Have you looked?
A Other people have copies.
Q Have you looked?
A I have. I don't have a copy at the moment, as far as I know. It's possible that there could be on one of those hard drives. Other people -- I haven't looked at any of those hard drives. Other people have copies.

Q So the key to billions of dollars-worth of Bitcoin, you have no idea where the key to that exists?

A No, I have ideas of where it exists.
Q And you are under a court order to do everything you could to produce those keys, and you have not even produced the list of the order of the keys?

A They're not keys.
Q The order of the slices?

A The slices would be giving up information. So, Your Honor, it's the equivalent of giving over your private key to spend money. So I don't believe I was ordered to hand over information that would help you in intersecting money.

Q Well, how hard could you have looked if you didn't even locate the instruction sheet for this $\$ 12$ billion secret?

MS. McGOVERN: Objection; foundation.
THE COURT: It's argumentative. You can rephrase. MR. FREEDMAN: Never mind, Your Honor. I'm going to move on.

Your Honor, I have just handed to the witness plaintiffs' exhibit 16, which bears the Bates label Defense 56403 through 56407. It's a document that Dr. Wright produced in discovery, but since it's incredibly difficult to read in its Bates format, I have attached the natives in color to the back of the exhibit, and I -- plaintiffs move to move this exhibit into evidence.

THE COURT: Is there an objection to plaintiffs' 16?
MS. McGOVERN: I'm sorry, I'm just trying to understand what exhibit 16 is. This is -- I have the June -the June 24th, 2011, e-mail.

THE COURT: Ms. McGovern, just take a second and look at it, and then if you have an objection, I'd be happy to hear you. But take your time and look at it.

MS. McGOVERN: I object to this document to the
extent that it is offered for the proposition that it is a complete document or represents a complete document. It appears to be a compilation of several things.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, this is how it was produced to us from the defendant, as one document, Bates labels 56403 through 56407.

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection on that basis.

MS. McGOVERN: If I could just make one more
statement for the record for purposes of this objection. THE COURT: Yes.

MS. McGOVERN: I apologize. Just that Mr. Rivero wanted to add something. I actually think it's important, as well.

Just because we've produced it in such a manner, Your Honor, doesn't mean that it actually was meant to represent a complete document. So I -- I'm just objecting to the extent that it is being presented as a complete document.

THE COURT: I don't know that it is or not, and I haven't heard the testimony, but you will certainly have a chance to redirect, and Dr. Wright can explain if he is -needs to explain, and I'll -- it may go to the weight of the document, how it was produced, and whether it's part of a single document. I may not need to make that finding. But I
note your objection. I'll overrule the legal objection that it should not be admitted, but the issues you're raising may go to the weight that I give it.

It's admitted over objection.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 16 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, can you please flip to the third to last page of that exhibit for me, which is the demonstrative of native Defense 56405. Third to last page.

A This one?
THE COURT: It's on the screen in front of you, as well, Dr. Wright.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, this purports to be a Bitmessage from Dave sent on November 6, 2012; is that correct?

A I'm sorry, I can hardly read it.
THE COURT: If you look at the hard copy,
Dr. Wright, the third one from the back is another printout.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
THE COURT: It's okay.
THE WITNESS: It's very small, and I'm getting old.
THE COURT: We all are.
THE WITNESS: I don't have any glasses with me, I'm
sorry. Does anyone have any reading glasses? I apologize.

MR. RIVERO: I don't know that they're going to help, Dr. Wright, but then remember, I'm disabled.
(Laughter.)
THE WITNESS: I apologize.
THE COURT: See what you can do.
THE WITNESS: I'm not as young as I used to be.
Actually, these work. Thank you.
THE COURT: Because the pending question is simply, Dr. Wright, do you recognize this to be a Bitmessage from Mr. Kleiman to -- it purports to be a Bitmessage from

Mr. Kleiman to you dated November the 6th, 2012.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that what you believe it to be?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Freedman.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Okay. And in it, Dave tells you that there are 15 key segments; it is divided by a threshold of 12 . Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Do you remember receiving this Bitmessage from Dave Kleiman on or about November 6, 2012?

A I can't remember the exact date, but, yes, I've seen it.
Q It was about that date, right?
A Yes.

Q So is it eight of 15 , Dr. Wright, or 12 of $15 ?$
A As I said, there were different Shamir secret schemes.
There were four of them all up. This is one of them. Q Well, which one is eight of 15, and which one is 12 of 15?

A The eight of 15 is the key that we're talking about to regenerate all of the addresses. This one -- if I can have a look. Just bear with me a second. This one concerns the Genesis key.

Q Dr. Wright, it starts off with: "Craig, as you wanted, the trust will offer the loan to you." How is the trust loaning you the Genesis block?

A The trust wasn't loaning me the Genesis block. The trust was loaning Bitcoin that was held initially in Liberty Reserve and then moved to a company in Panama. That is not the same thing.

So there's two different things. There's a loan that was set up, and then there's the keys that were being protected.

Q Dr. Wright, the document says: "The process is defined as follows: The keys are divided using SSSS, Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme, you had us work on. There are 15 key segments. It is divided by a threshold of 12."

A Yes.
Q "The process is defined as follows" refers to the loan of
the trust. So by your testimony, it seems to me the trust is loaning you the Genesis block; isn't that right?

A No, that's not correct.
Sorry, where are you saying about -- this is a
separate trust. So the loan is a comment to the first line, and then it's commenting about a Shamir scheme. I don't see how they're the same.

Q Okay. But then drop down to the one, two, three, fourth paragraph up from the bottom, it says: "Please note that you have made me promise this against my wishes, but as you have set these conditions, you need to live with them. The paper wallets I return to you will be included in the total for the loan. If you do anything on the block chain, please remember your promise."

What were you going to do to the block chain with the Genesis block, Dr. Wright?

A Again, you're confounding two different things. There's a loan of Bitcoin held on a separate organization and the key controlling the Genesis key. They're not the same thing.

Moving from Liberty Exchange could have meant moving out of the exchange and actually putting things on the block chain itself.

Q All right. Dr. Wright, let's go down to the third to last paragraph: "You funded the trust when Bitcoin was worth hardly anything, but we have all put all we have into this.

So the gain is not yours, it remains with the trust, and in time the foundation, remember that."

Why would the Genesis block be for the foundation?
A As I said earlier, the Genesis block controls the initial Bitcoin I mined and everything else. The foundation is where I'm origin -- where I plan to give this to. Where I said the foundation, Dave was similar to me. Dave's a good guy. We didn't plan on spending it. I didn't plan on spending it. Not for me to get a jet or a yacht, not for me to buy a big house, for us to do something to make up for what I saw as my fuck up.

Q So the way this document starts is: As you wanted" -THE WITNESS: Excuse me language, sorry. THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q The way this document starts is: "As you wanted, the trust will offer the loan to you. The process is defined as follows." It then discusses Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme for the Genesis block, and then Dave comes back to tell you that you made a promise about the trust, not talking about the Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme anymore, and then talking about funding of the trust. So he literally just dropped the Genesis block, Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme, in the middle of a random message about the trust? Is that what your testimony is?

```
A To me it makes perfect sense.
Q Okay.
A But then that's why many people don't understand me.
Q Dr. Wright, this message is a fake, isn't it?
A No.
Q Dr. Wright, are you aware that Bitmessage wasn't made
publicly available until November 19th, 2012?
A That's actually incorrect.
Q Dr. Wright, who forged this message?
A So what day were you saying?
Q November 19th, 2012.
A That's actually incorrect.
Q Okay.
A If you look at the GitHub, you will find that Bitmessage
actually came out originally in July.
Q Of 2012?
A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, could I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've just handed the
witness what's been marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 17 bearing
the Bates labels Defense 360 through 376. This is an article
that Dr. Wright has produced in discovery that relates to the
Tulip Trust, how it works, and plaintiffs are offering it into
evidence.
```

THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: I'm going to object to the characterization by Mr. Freedman of the document, but I otherwise do not object to its admission.

THE COURT: So as to the document itself there's no objection?

MS. McGOVERN: None.
THE COURT: Okay. Admitted without objection as to the content, the admissibility of the document, but no agreement as to the characterization.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 17 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q And Dr. Wright, on the fourth page of this exhibit, Bates labeled 363, it says that, and I quote: "In the Tulip Trust, a scheme of 3 of 5 keys has been created."

Do you see that?
A I see that.
MR. RIVERO: Your Honor, if I may?
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q So Dr. Wright --
THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Freedman.
Do you have an objection?
MR. RIVERO: No, Judge, just a point of order. Not to interrupt. I was trying to get in before the document. We've been going about two hours on cross. We had noticed and
brought another witness who's from the UK, and I just wanted to point that out to the Court while there was still time to address it in the course of today.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's see how much longer we have with Dr. Wright. I'm trying to accommodate Dr. Wright, because I know he doesn't want to come back either. So I'll let --

Mr. Freedman, do you have a sense of how much longer
you have on cross?
MR. FREEDMAN: I mean, twenty minutes, max; 25.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's --
MR. FREEDMAN: Could be less.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's keep moving.
And Mr. Rivero, we can take that up. Thank you for reminding me.

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Court Reporter, do you mind reading the question and answer back, please.
(The court reporter read back the requested portion of the transcript.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q So Dr. Wright, is it eight of 15,12 of 15 , or 3 of 5 ?
A This --
MS. McGOVERN: Objection; argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: This is not a Tulip Trust document.

This is a white paper that was used for a patent, where we took some of the processes involved with the Tulip Trust and created a patent document.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q So it's just a misrepresentation of what the Tulip Trust really requires?

A No. It's not the Tulip Trust, it's a patent white paper.
Q Says: "In the Tulip Trust, a scheme of 3 of 5 keys has been created."

A I called everything the Tulip Trust.
Q Dr. Wright, do you see in the one, two, three, four lines down from the top: "The prototype implementation: The Tulip Trust is an $M$ of $N$ implementation that can work off block to distribute keys securely?"

A Yes, I see that.
Q And then again it says, dropping down to the second to last: "In the Tulip Trust," in quotes, "a scheme of 3 of 5 keys has been created."

And then the last sentence: "A time release of 2020 has been deployed. This will end the trust -- it says, "ned," but I believe it's a typo. "This will end the trust and release the remainder in December 2020. See external state." So you're telling me even though this is talking about the past tense referencing a prototype implementation, none of it's talking about the Tulip Trust we're talking
about, it's a totally different Tulip Trust?
A If you look at page 336, you see examples, as it said, if 18 years, et cetera, today equals whatever else. Yes, this was used in filing and creation of a patent. That patent has been lodged.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Dr. Wright. You said 336. I think that's the wrong number. What was the page you were looking at?

THE WITNESS: D-e-f, a whole lot of zeros, 366, sorry.

THE COURT: Sixty-six. Okay. Thank you very much for clarifying that.

All right. Mr. Freedman.
THE WITNESS: After taking off the glasses, I'm sorry, Your Honor, now it's blurry the other way.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach again? THE COURT: You may.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've handed the witness what's been marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 18 bearing Bates labels Defense 23291 through 23292.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: This is a document that Dr. Wright produced in discovery, and I've also attached the native version of the file, because it's extremely difficult to see the Bates labeled one.

Plaintiffs move this into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Without objection, plaintiffs' 18 is admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 18 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, would you mind flipping to the third page of this exhibit, which is native Defense 23291, and right below the bullet points the e-mail says: "There are 15 key slices, and the distribution is listed above, 1 through 15. Eight slices are required to reconstruct the main files. This is any of the eight."

Do you see that?
A Which line are we on? Sorry.
Q It's right under the bullet points.
A Yes.
Q You see that?
A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, this is an e-mail from you to Robert MacGregor dated April 18th, 2016. You've told Mr. MacGregor that there is no order to the eight slices required to unlock the trust?

A This is a separate thing. This is to do with the access to the first 10 Bitcoin addresses, not the other. This is
referencing the exercise I did with Gavin Andreson getting access to be able to sign messages for Gavin. This is a separate one. I said there were four; this is one of them. Q Do you have different trust documents for different blocks?

A Do you mean do I have different setup files, or whatever, or . . .

Q So let me just make sure I've got this straight. You require eight of 15 without any order to access blocks 1 through 10; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q You require 12 of 15 to access the Genesis block. Is there an order requirement in that?

A Yes.
Q And you require eight of 15 to access the addresses after 10, blocks 1 through 10?

A The seeds that allow me to recalculate those addresses.
Q Okay. That's three. What's the fourth one?
A The fourth one is another part of accessing the files and the intellectual property.

Q How many does that take?
A I can't remember. The document is hidden in the encrypted file, and when the previous eight of 15 one is there, directions on how to access the other parts are included in the encrypted file.

```
Q Okay. So the eight of 15 that are required to unlock the
addresses that the Court has ordered you to provide, who
received each of the 15 key slices?
A The Court hasn't ordered me to give over the slices of
those keys.
Q I'm asking you who holds the 15 key slices to access that
address, those addresses?
A I hold some.
Q How many?
A I don't know off the top of my head.
Q You don't -- okay. Who else holds?
A I haven't looked at the file. No one asked me to look at
the file.
Q You were ordered to produce the addresses; is that
correct?
A Um, yes, but this is not the addresses.
Q To produce the addresses, you need to open this file; is
that correct?
A I can't open that file without the --
Q Is that -- Dr. Wright, if you'd answered my question, I'd
appreciate it. To produce the addresses, you need to open
that file. Is that a correct statement?
A It is an incorrect statement and is misleading what I
said.
Q What did you say, Dr. Wright? Is it to produce the
```

addresses you need to unlock that file?
A No. What I said is you need all the different key slices.

THE COURT: Can I interrupt for a second? Let me try it this way.

## Examination

BY THE COURT:
Q Dr. Wright, there's an outermost encrypted file, correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. How many slices do you need for that file?
A Eight of 15 .
Q Who has them?
A I don't know.
Q What efforts have you made to find them?
A I've tried looking through documents, et cetera. The way
it was set up was I gave slices to Dave, and he was directed to give them to bonded couriers that would send slices based on different events. One of those events was June 20, 2020, was one to be returned, or one set to be returned.

I don't know whether Dave set those up correctly.
They used a DX service, which is bonded courier in this country, I guess, where you pay someone so that if an event happens, they will send the mail, a registered post for instance.

I can't ask Dave whether he did that correctly. To
be able to tell the Australian tax office that I had zero
control, I needed to hand over enough slices to Dave that I
didn't have control.
Q Understood, but let me go back. For this outermost
encrypt file, you say you need eight of 15. Do those have to
be in a particular order or can they be in any order?
A Those ones have to be in order.
Q Okay. Where is that order kept?
A With the --
Q Where is the necessary information to know that order
kept?
A With the actual slice. They have a number indexing them
for each one.
Q Okay.
A So it would be zero one number, zero two number.
Q Okay. And how many of those slices were -- did you keep?
A Um, I have and can get a total of seven.
Q Who else besides you and Dave do you know of who has
slices?
A I know Uyen potentially had some slices.
Q Okay. Who else?
A I don't know. I was basically instructing Dave to make
sure I didn't know who he gave them to.
Q Okay. And so you haven't had contact with Uyen since
2016, correct?

A That's correct.
Q So since 2016, you'd have known that you have no way to access these files, correct?

A I believe --
Q Until at least 2020, you have no ability to get to these files, is that what your testimony is?

A Yes, Your Honor.
Q Okay. And so you knew that fact on February 19th of this year, correct?

A Yes.
Q You knew that on March 14 th of this year, correct?
A Yes.
Q And you new that on April 8th of this year?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. That's all I have.
Go ahead, Mr. Freedman.
Cross-Examination (Cont.'d)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, where is any of this information located in the documents you've provided to the Court in response to his order that you turn over the addresses?

A I don't know. It wasn't in my documents.
Q Did you tell this to your lawyers?
A That I don't have copies. Sorry.
MR. RIVERO: Objection.

THE COURT: Yeah, don't answer that. That's conversations you had with your lawyers.

MR. FREEDMAN: That's a bad question.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q So Dr. Wright, isn't it true that you already have enough key slices to control the trusts?

A No, it's not.
MS. McGOVERN: Asked and answered.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Let's take another look at exhibit 17, which is the April 18th, 2016, e-mail from you to Robert MacGregor discussing the trusts.

All right. In this e-mail, we said that you said it's a eight of 15 scheme, any of the eight. Do you remember that?

A I said this particular one of the four was.
Q Right. But this is the first time we're hearing there are four different slices, so bear with me here a second. A No, it's not.

Q Okay. And right under that, there are 15 key slices, do you see where it says: "I now have, without the knowledge of Uyen, Ian, et cetera, one, two, three, four, five, six, 10, 11, 13, nine of the required eight key pairs." Do you see that?

A Yes.

```
Q So you do control this trust?
A This isn't a trust. This is a set of keys that allowed
me to access blocks 1 to 10.
Q You see right above that it says: The key distribution
for the seven trustees is as follows?"
A Again, as I explained, this is effectively an electronic
DAC, distributed autonomous corporation, which we called a
trust.
Q Who is Ian?
A Where is Ian? Sorry, I'm trying to remember.
Q "I now have, without the knowledge of Uyen, Ian,"
et cetera.
A Oh, Uyen and Ian Grigg.
Q Ian Grigg. Why are you mentioning Ian Grigg?
A Because he wasn't a trustee, but Ian was trying to out me
as Satoshi in 2015.
Q Where does Ian live?
A I don't know.
Q Okay. Can you go to the top of that e-mail for me? Do
you see where it says -- and despite the fact that you said
you have nine of the eight, you say: "We only need one formal
consent outside my direct control, that will be Denis. The
others from Savanah are no longer of concern. It will be
handy to get Uyen to sign, but if not, we are still in
control."
```



April 28th, 2016?
A I see that.
Q And that's 10 days after the date of your e-mail to Robert MacGregor saying that you only need one formal consent outside of my direct control, that will be Denis?

A Yes.
Q And at the top of this second page of this document you say: "Whereas, in order to ensure the trustee would abide by the trust restriction, and to ensure that a minimum number of trustees would be required in order to access or transfer the Bitcoin assets, the private keys were cryptographically divided into 15 unique key slices, each a slice, by way of a Shamir's Secret Sharing Algorythm, such that not less than eight of the 15 slices are required in order to reconstitute the private keys and thereby access the Bitcoin."

A Yes.
Q "And whereby trustee currently holds five slices."
A Yes.
Q And skip one paragraph: "And whereas trustee has consented to provided Dr. Wright access to these slices currently held by trustee."

A Yes.
Q And it's signed by Denis?
A That looks like Denis' signature.
Q Well, you know, Dr. Wright, I became a lawyer because I

```
wasn't good at math, but three plus five is eight, correct?
```

A Correct.
But if you look, the actual makeup of which keys are
required is not just any three.
Q Dr. Wright, can you go back to exhibit 17 for me?
Eighteen, rather.
A Yes.
Q And let's go to the -- let's go to the second page.
Sorry, the second to last page of the exhibit, which is the
native version of, I guess, part of 23291 and 23292. And do
you see that your e-mail that we were just working through a
moment ago is in response to an e-mail from Robert MacGregor;
is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And Robert MacGregor, you testified in your deposition,
was an individual to wit was involved in your sale of the life
rights of Satoshi Nakamoto?
A He put those to me, yes.
Q Okay. Something you signed?
A I don't remember.
Q Can you take a look at -- let's read through this e-mail
from Robert MacGregor. It starts off: "I've been working
through the consents we need from the trustees in order to go
through the media sessions and subsequent announcements.
However, before I can finalize, I need to confirm my
understanding of the trust documents, which I have reviewed in detail."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q "My interpretation is as follows, as of the time the deed was entered into."

All right. Can you do me a favor and bring up plaintiffs' exhibit 9 for me now? Let me know when you have it.

A Sorry. I have it.
Q Okay. "WIL," which is Wright International Investments, Limited, "and Tulip Trading are the intended beneficiaries of the trust." Do you see that on exhibit 18 ?

A I see that is what --
Q Okay.
A -- MacGregor said.
Q And then in exhibit 9, we established that those two are beneficiaries. It was noted in your declaration, as well; isn't that right?

A I agree that that's what he said.
Q Okay. "There were seven trustees," he then says next.
And if you flip with me to -- back to exhibit 9, Defense 50989, you'll see: "Trustees to this declaration of the trust," the company in the UK registered by 08248988 is one; Ms. Uyen Nguyen, two; Craig Steven Wright, three; Dave

Kleiman, four; Panopticrypt, five; Savanah, six; and the holder of key IDs FE6D, and, you know, ending in FE6D, BD -sorry, D4AD and 48A1.

Do you see that?
A I see that.
Q So seven.
And actually, Mr. MacGregor lays that out for us,
Coin, which is 08248988; isn't that right?
A Yes.
Q And then CW, for Craig Wright.
A Uh-huh.
Q Uyen N., David K., Panopticrypt, Savanah, and unnamed whoever owns these three PGP keys.

A I see that.
Q So why is Robert MacGregor under the impression, someone you were working with, that this document controlled access to all of the Bitcoin?

A He was never under that impression. There's nothing stating that here. Mr. MacGregor didn't care about anything other than my signing with the first 10 blocks. He wanted me to go to the media and stand and dance and be Satoshi, which I didn't want to do.

Q Dr. Wright, you'd agree that the thing that you and Robert MacGregor were e-mailing about is exhibit 9; is that correct, the trust document that Robert MacGregor says he

```
reviewed?
A I do not agree.
Q Sorry?
A I don't agree.
Q You do not agree that the trust document that Robert
MacGregor says he reviewed in detail and has seven of the
exact trustees laid out and the exact same beneficiaries, that
is -- that is not exhibit 9?
A I don't know whether it's exhibit 9. What I'm saying is
there are two layers: There's a technical layer, and there's
a legal layer. I care more about the technical layer.
Q But Dr. Wright, if you could just answer the question.
Robert MacGregor says that he has reviewed a trust document in
detail.
A I'm unable to speak for Mr. MacGregor.
Q You don't know what he was talking about?
A What I cared about is what I put in the lines above. I
said which keys I had and what I could access. I didn't talk
about the legal aspect of the trust. I cared about one
aspect. I was being told to sign with the first 10 addresses;
I ended up doing that; that was it.
Q Dr. Wright, in this litigation you've produced two
documents to us with the names Tulip Trust, Tulip Trust I and
Tulip Trust II. Do you recall that?
A I've called lots of things Tulip Trust.
```

Q Okay. Do you recall producing Tulip Trust I and Tulip Trust II?

A I don't recall producing them, but I know there are ones called that in this.

Q Okay. Let's start with the exhibit 1 , if you don't mind. Can we flip all the way back to exhibit 1?

MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the extent that we're going to go over exhibits that we've gone over a lot already, and to the extent that the questions become repetitive.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me see what the question is, and then you can object then to the actual question when it comes, but I'm assuming Mr. Freedman is not going to go back over what we've been going over.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, do you see in exhibit 1 under "I acknowledge: I, Dave Kleiman, have received 1,100,111 Bitcoin from Craig Wright?"

A I see that.
Q And it also says that all the Bitcoins still held in trust as of January 1st, 2020 will be returned to you? Do you see about two lines under that: "All Bitcoin will be returned to Dr. Wright on January 1st, 2020?"

A Again, you're confounding the enwrapping surrounding legal document with the technical solution. They're not the same.

Q There's no mention of any courier here in this document, Dr. Wright?

A Nor should there be.
Q It also says: "The trust" -- if you look all the way down to the second to last paragraph, it says: "The trust will be accessible by two of five keys, of which the following are to always be incorporated into this by PGP fingerprint?" A Yes. And this wasn't implemented in that way.

Q And then it lists five keys, correct?
A No, that's not five keys there.
Q Four keys, my mistake. It lists four keys; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q The second one ends in FE6D. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Who controls that PGP key?
A I don't know.
Q Dr. Wright, you invented Bitcoin, so I assume you recognize what is a printout from MIT's PGP database?

A Yes, I do.
THE COURT: How do we mark this exhibit,
Mr. Freedman?
MR. FREEDMAN: As plaintiffs' exhibit 20.
THE COURT: And do you offer this?

MR. FREEDMAN: And, yes, I'd like to offer it into evidence now that Dr. Wright has said he recognizes it.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. McGOVERN: Objection; hearsay.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 20 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, do you see at the top, this is the search result for FE6D?

A Yes, I see that.
Q Okay. And do you see the user ID associated with that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And do you see it says, Satoshi Nakamoto?
A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Dr. Wright -- I've figured out a faster way to do this.

Do you recall the May 8th declaration that the Court
has taken judicial notice of?
A Not without looking at it.
THE COURT: Here you go.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You're welcome.
The record should reflect I handed a copy to
Dr. Wright, an unredacted copy to Dr. Wright.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

```
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, can you go down to -- and this is a
declaration you swore was true and correct?
A Yes.
Q Based on your personal knowledge?
A Yes.
Q Can you go down to 7G for me?
A Yes.
Q The holder of PGP IDs. And I'm going to skip all that so
we can just do the last four. Okay?
A Yes.
Q FE6D?
A Yes.
Q D4AD?
A Yes.
Q 4A8 -- 48A1?
A Yes.
Q Which is Satoshi Nakamoto?
A Yes.
Q I.e. Craig Wright?
A Yes.
Q So let's go back to the Dave Kleiman Tulip Trust
document, and the document says: "It will be accessible by
two of five keys," correct?
A You're confusing --
```

Q Dr. Wright, does it say two of five keys or not? MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, I'd ask Mr. Freedman to allow Dr. Wright to fully explain his answer during this evidentiary hearing.

THE COURT: Well, it's a simple question. He's just asking him what the document says, he's not asking him to interpret the document.

So does the document say that, Dr. Wright?
THE WITNESS: It's keys, not slices; yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Okay. And Dr. Wright, we've just shown that you control
three of those four keys?
A Uh, no, I don't.
Q Okay. Well, let's take a look.
The second one is FE6D. That's the first one you
identified in your declaration, correct?
A I destroyed those keys a while ago.
Q But you controlled them in 2011, correct?
A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, can you go to page $24^{\prime}$-- so you controlled all three in 2011, correct?

A Uh, potentially, if I look at Satoshi, Satoshi and me, yes.

Q Okay. Dr. Wright, can you go to the second page of that
trust document, Bates 2415?
THE COURT: Well, before we go on, I just want to clarify, Dr. Wright, if you wouldn't mind. So do you still have the declaration there in front of you?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I gave it back to you.
THE COURT: Okay. Let me hand it back to you. I want to just make sure I follow the testimony.

Mr. Freedman was asking you about the PGP --
THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- addresses in 7 G .
Are those -- when you said, "I destroyed them," I just want to make sure we're talking about the same PGP keys.

THE WITNESS: Yes, we are.
THE COURT: So the ones that are listed in 7G were destroyed back in 2000 and?

THE WITNESS: 'twelve.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Were you the only one who had a copy of those keys?
A $\quad$ No.
Q Who else did?
A Theymos.
Q Who? I'm sorry?
A Michael Marquardt, when he took over certain functions of Bitcoin, I didn't stop him, that including -- that included
compromising some of my machines.
Q Dr. Wright, can you look at page 2415? And I'm looking at the one, two, three, fourth bullet down from the top.

A 241 -- sorry?
Q 2415. I'm back in plaintiffs' exhibit 1. Defense 2415 in the bottom right corner.

A Yes.
Q Four bullets down.
A Yes.
Q You see where it says: "The following conditions are applicable at all times"?

A Yes.
Q "If I should die, Dr. Wright will be returned shares in the Tulip Trust and company 15 months after my death at his discretion"?

A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, Dave Kleiman died in April 2013, correct?
A Yes.
Q So in October, is it, 2015 or so?
A You're talking about two different things again. This is talking with the Liberty Reserve Bitcoin. It has nothing to do with the key slices.

Q But you're --
A There are different legal trusts, and there are different technical trusts. You keep putting the two and confounding
them as if they're the same thing; they are not. There are four different technical documents, one of which has been already accessed. There are three remaining. There are also legal structures around those.

Q Dr. Wright, can you go back to the first page, 2414?
A Yes.
Q You see the top: "I acknowledge the trusts and the transfer of Bitcoin to this trust. I have full control of all software and the keys used to manage Bitcoin as of this date"? A Yes.

Q But it wasn't the keys to manage Bitcoin, it was just the keys to manage --

A That's incorrect. The keys to manage Bitcoin were the alert keys. That is a separate thing again. The alert key was the technical aspect of Bitcoin that was disabled a couple years ago but is not Bitcoin keys to spend Bitcoin.

Q All right. Dr. Wright, can you please return to plaintiffs' exhibit 9 for me, which is Tulip Trust I. And if you look at the bottom of the second page, which is Bates label 50986.

A Yes.
Q Do you see in the second number 3 it says: "All Bitcoin and associated ledger assets transferred into Tulip Trading, LTD, by Mr. David Kleiman on Friday, 10th of June, 2011, follow transfer to Mr. Kleiman from Dr. Wright on the 9th of

June, 2011.
"This formalizes the transfer from Mr. Kleiman of the assets moved 9th of June, 2011, from Dr. Wright to the care of Mr. Kleiman."

Now, Dr. Wright, you recall that plaintiffs'
exhibit 1, Dave allegedly says to you that he has full
control, and he acknowledges transfer of the Bitcoins into the trust on June 9th, 2011, correct?

A Which other document am I looking at again?
Q Plaintiffs' exhibit 1, defense 2414, right at the top.
THE COURT: Give him a second to find it.
THE WITNESS: Again, you're confounding the two.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Doctor, I'm asking you to verify that I've read this
correctly: "I acknowledge the trust and the transfer of Bitcoin to the trust," and then the date, "June 9th, 2011." Is that correct?

A You're not reading this correctly. You are confounding the two separate pools of Bitcoin again.

Q Dr. Wright, "I acknowledge the trust and the transfer of Bitcoin to the trust." Does it say that?

A Yes.
Q And then it says.
A It says: "Liberty Reserve, Costa Rica."
Q Doctor, I'm in exhibit 1. There's no Liberty Reserve
mentioned.
And it says: "Thursday, 6th of -- June 9th, 2011," correct?

A I would say that's 6th of -- sorry, 9th of June, 2011.
Q Okay. And now if we go back to plaintiffs' exhibit 9, paragraph 3, we see those same dates mentioned and this same transfer mentioned.

A We also see Costa Rica Liberty Reserve.
Q Dr. Wright, there's no question pending.
"All Bitcoin and associated ledger assets
transferred into Tulip Trust, LTD, by Mr. Dave Kleiman on Friday, 10th of June, 2011," meaning Dave transferred Bitcoin into Tulip Trading, "following transfer to Mr. Kleiman from Dr. Write on the 9th of June, 2011." That's that same date again, right?

A Again, this is the Liberty Reserve Bitcoin; yes.
Q So Dr. Wright, that was transferred in addition to the Liberty Reserve assets; isn't that correct?

A No, that is not correct.
Q And then in A it says: "This formalizes the transfer from Mr. Kleiman of the assets moved the 9th of June, 2011" -that's that date again -- "from Dr. Wright to the care of Mr. Kleiman"; is that correct?

A The control of the Liberty Reserve account was moved, yes.

Q The Liberty account that's not mentioned in exhibit 1 at all, correct?

A It doesn't need to.
Q Okay. And then in B it says: "This incles (phonetic)," and I think that's meant to be "includes, the 1,100,111 Bitcoin held under that former arrangement and the attached conditions."

A Again --
Q Do you see that?
A This is the Liberty Reserve Bitcoin.
Q And this document also talks about key slices, doesn't it, Dr. Wright? If you take a look at number 10 in this document, do you see it says: "One key slice will go to each trustee, with three issued to Dr. Wright?"

A Again, this was a control system to allow communication with the lawyers. This is a separate system to what you're confounding.

Q And those keys went to the trustees of the trust?
A Again, this is a technical solution, not the legal solution, and you're mixing the two.

Q So each trustee got one, and you got three, correct?
A This was a means of communicating with the people in Liberty Reserve, and also high security. This is not the key slices that we were talking about before. You are again confounding the two.

Q Okay. And this document specifically empowers the trustees to, among other things, disburse the assets of the trust. That's paragraph 5, the first paragraph 5. Do you see that up there?

A It enabled people to contact Liberty Reserve and to action the money in Liberty Reserve, which is none of the initial Bitcoin, which have not been spent.

Q And you -- so it says you got three keys, but you, in fact, got even more than three keys; isn't that correct?

A In this, no.
Q Well, let's take a look at defense 50989.
A These are separate systems.
Q And third line down, "Craig Steven Wright," do you see that? And you also get the holder of PGP IDs. You got those two.

A This is, again, a separate system.
Q Uh-huh. And Panopticrypt dot -- Panopticrypt Pty, you control that company, don't you?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Okay. Dr. Wright, do you see in your May 8th declaration -- sorry, no, this is -- on your May 8th declaration, this is paragraph 11: "The contact person for Panopticrypt Pty, LTD prior to liquidation was Ramona Watts, Ms. Watts may be contacted through Rivero Mestre." THE WITNESS: I don't control my wife.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q But your wife has the control?
A My wife had the control, yes.
Q Of Panopticrypt?
A I don't know.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, I'm handing you --
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've handed Dr. Wright
what's been marked as plaintiffs' exhibit 21, Bates labeled
Defense 195 through 196, that was produced by Dr. Wright.
Plaintiffs would like to move it into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection to 21?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection.
THE COURT: Without objection, plaintiffs' 21 will
be admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 21 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, do you see on that second line in this blog post -- is this your blog, Dr. Wright?

A This isn't a blog -- this is a blog that I had that was compromised.

Q Okay. And do you see on the third line down from there it says: "Panopticrypt, and hence myself, was made a director

```
in first of January, 2013?"
```

A I see what it says.
Q Okay. So you were Panopticrypt, Dr. Wright?
A No, I was not.
Q Okay. We were at plaintiffs' exhibit 9, Dr. Wright, and
we were looking at the last page --

A This is Craig Steven Wright dot something. This is not my blog, which was GSE secure -- this is a separate blog. Q You produced it to me, Dr. Wright.

A It was in files that we had. It doesn't mean it's my blog.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to ask any additional foundational questions, Mr. Freedman, then? It was admitted without objection, so I'll consider it, and I guess if you want -- Ms. McGovern, if you want to address that on redirect, you may.

So, Mr. Freedman.
Actually --
MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further questions.

THE COURT: No further questions. Okay, great. MR. FREEDMAN: On that exhibit. THE COURT: Oh, on that exhibit. (Laughter.)

THE COURT: Actually, on that note, while we have a
second, let me see counsel at sidebar just on scheduling.
(The following proceedings were held at sidebar:)
THE COURT: I'm trying to be mindful of your witness from the UK, but obviously I want to be mindful of Dr. Wright as well, because if we don't finish with him today, he's not available until the end of July. So, and I don't want to truncate Mr. Freedman.

So in a second, I'm going to ask Mr. Freedman how much time he thinks he's got. I'm going to suspect whatever he's got, your redirect is going to probably chew up the rest of the day, which is fine by me. What I'm thinking with your witness is perhaps I could accommodate him by doing him by video teleconference after he returns to the UK. That way he doesn't have to fly back to the U.S.

MR. RIVERO: Thank you.
THE COURT: If y'all want to talk about that.
MS. MARKOE: Can I talk to the witness about that and make sure?

THE COURT: Yes.
MS. MARKOE: I think that should be acceptable, but I don't want to speak for him.

THE COURT: That's why I'm talking to you now before we go back.

MR. RIVERO: Assume he agrees, Judge, thank you very much.

THE COURT: Same thing with your witnesses. If you have witnesses who traveled long distances and the parties agree to let them testify by video teleconference, we have that capability here in the building. I'd be happy to do that.

MR. RIVERO: Thank you, Judge.
MS. McGOVERN: So how do you envision it going forward now?

THE COURT: Well, we're not going to finish the evidence today, right? You all have other witnesses, they have other witnesses. So assume we don't finish -- we finish Dr. Wright today. I think we can do that.

MS. McGOVERN: Yes.
THE COURT: I've got to let the court reporter go by about 5:15, 5:15 at the very, very latest, but I assume we can finish that up.

Then we'll pick another day, and either people want to come back live, I'll hear any live testimony that the parties want to present live. And to the extent your witness or any other witnesses want to testify by video conference and neither party objects, we can do that on the same day we have live testimony or do it other days.

MS. MARKOE: What would be helpful is what timeframe are we thinking? Because I can ask the witness now what his availability is to make things easier.

THE COURT: I've got time next week.
MS. McGOVERN: Before we do that, let's just -- one thing I did want to ask Your Honor is, you say to the extent that the parties don't object. I just want to make sure that we're all clear that Dr. Wright can testify by video conference.

THE COURT: I confess that I did see heads over here nodding in agreement.

MR. FREEDMAN: No, but not Dr. Wright.
MR. BRENNER: Your expert.
THE COURT: The experts on either side.
MS. McGOVERN: We're finishing Dr. Wright.
THE COURT: We're going to finish Dr. Wright today, because I know he's not available to the end of July if we don't finish today. He's going to go today. But anybody else who's witnesses, I know you have a witness that came from the UK, you have witnesses who came from far.

MR. FREEDMAN: New York.
THE COURT: I will accommodate those witnesses, and we can figure out dates.

MS. MARKOE: I'll go talk to the witness, and we can continue while I'm figuring this out.

THE COURT: I'm generally available next week.
MS. MARKOE: I'm on vacation next week. I'm actually taking a vacation.

MR. BRENNER: I am, too.
THE COURT: We'll figure that all out.
MS. MARKOE: Sorry, Your Honor.
MR. BRENNER: Just for the record, because counsel
asked, we do not object to their expert appearing by --
THE COURT: Great. We can all take that up at the close of business today.

Mr. Freedman, rough sense, how much time do you
think you got left?
MR. FREEDMAN: Ten minutes.
THE COURT: All right.
MS. McGOVERN: Could we take a break after the 10 minutes?

THE COURT: You want to take a break now?
MS. McGOVERN: No, if we can finish and take a break.

THE COURT: Finish, take a 10 minute break, and you'll have your very brief redirect, and we'll be done.
(Sidebar conference concluded.)
THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, whenever you're ready.
MR. FREEDMAN: I'm getting let back into the computer.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Okay. Dr. Wright, so we were looking at plaintiffs' exhibit 9, defense 50989, and --

THE COURT: This is the trust agreement?
MR. FREEDMAN: Tulip Trust I.
THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q And we've seen Craig Steven Wright, Panopticrypt, the holder of PGPs. The second to last is Savanah. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And can you go to plaintiffs' exhibit 18, and if you go
to -- we're going to go to the color copy of 23291, and it's the second color copy.

A Sorry, I'm still looking for it.
THE COURT: If it's in evidence, which it is, you
can just put it on the ELMO.
MR. BRENNER: Yeah, I'm trying to find the page.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Do you see where it says right under those two bullets:
"The argument would be that I can control Savanah to some extent"?

A Yes.
THE COURT: Dr. Wright, I think it's on the screen
in front of you there.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q And if you flip to the first page of that color, it says:
"The others from Savanah are no longer of concern." It's way at the top.

A Yes. As I said, I took control of the first 10 keys. I have not denied that.

Q Okay. Dr. Wright, let's discuss your Tulip Trust II exhibit.

THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, while you're doing that, for the record, the redacted version of the May 8th declaration is in the record at docket entry 222. So for the record of this hearing, we'll just consider that an exhibit of which I'm taking judicial notice in this proceeding, docket entry 222.

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Court Reporter, what's the last exhibit we entered?

THE COURT: Twenty-one is the last one.
MR. FREEDMAN: I have one copy of 22.
THE COURT: We can use it on the projector if we
need to. Let's move it along.
MR. FREEDMAN: Okay.
THE COURT: And if you want to show it to Dr. Wright and stand there, if you only have one copy for the time being, you can do that.

MR. FREEDMAN: We can put it up here.
THE COURT: First of all, let's show it to
Ms. McGovern, make sure there's no objection before we show it
to anybody.
Oh, you found it. Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: Found some.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, this is --
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I've handed Dr. Wright plaintiffs' exhibit 22, which is a document he produced in discovery, Bates 50990 through 51002, and he produced actually with a sworn declaration of authenticity. Plaintiffs move it into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection, Ms. McGovern?
MS. McGOVERN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Twenty-two is admitted without objection.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 22 entered into evidence.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Okay. Dr. Wright, this trust was funded with 821,050 Bitcoin?

A It's to do with the legal aspect of the trust around the Bitcoin that are mined originally between 2009 and '10 that are in a cryptographic time lock.

Q The Bitcoin that are the subject of the Court's order to identify, correct?

A Again, I don't believe that, because you asked for my Bitcoin, and none of these were my Bitcoin.

```
Q Dr. Wright, do you see in Recital B it says: "The
settlor has paid the trustee the sum of XBT 821,050"?
A Yes.
Q And Dr. Wright, do you see the date on this at the top of
the page is the 24th day of October, 2012?
A Yes.
Q And do you see on the first page of this exhibit that the
settlor is defined as Craig Steven Wright, for Wright
International Investments?
A I see that it is for Wright International Investments,
yes.
Q So you paid the trustee 821,000 Bitcoin after the date
you said you transferred Bitcoin into a blind trust?
A No. Wright International Investments, who owned the
Bitcoin, are listed here, not me.
                                    Examination
BY THE COURT:
Q Dr. Wright, who owned Wright International Investments?
A It was actually held by bearer shares at that stage and
managed by Denis and his group.
Q And who held the bearer shares?
A Through a number of convoluted means, I controlled them.
Q Okay. You're familiar as a lawyer with the concept of
care, custody and control?
A Not in U.S. law.
```

Q All right. Is it your position that you did not control these 821,050 Bitcoin?

A No.
Q And that that's the reason you haven't produced that list for me?

A No, because the amounts were actually in the file that was encrypted.

Q Okay.
A So the rights to these were moved, but the actual Bitcoin was algorithmically generated so that they're not able to move.

So as it says in this --
Q No, but what I want to understand, sir, is you said a second ago, these are not my Bitcoin, and I think what you were saying is that's why you don't think you have to tell me these things, even if you're --

A Definitely not, Your Honor.
Q Is it your position that if you were technologically capable of accessing the necessary information about these Bitcoin, you would still not, under my order, produce that information?

A No, Your Honor. If you ordered me and I could, I would do anything to do what you ordered.

Q That's not my question. My question is: Do you believe I have ordered you to produce this if you are capable, or are
you saying to me --
A Yes, I believe that.
Q -- that you don't have to produce anything having to do with these 821,000 Bitcoin because they're not your Bitcoin? A No, I believe that if $I$ am in any way capable of getting access, that $I$ must do everything in my power, jump through any hoop to do anything to get these to you. If there's any way, if I can find -- if I can go to everyone who Dave ever talked to, if $I$ knew any details about any lawyer he had dealings with, if $I$ could get any files that he left at his home and find any way to get them --

```
Q Okay.
```

A -- I would, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think you've answered my question. All right. Mr. Freedman.

Cross-Examination (Cont.'d)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, Dave is not mentioned anywhere in this document.

A No; Dave didn't know what the document was about.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I have one copy of this document, so I'm going to try to use the ELMO, if that's okay. I'm going to -- how would you like me to handle the process of --

THE COURT: Just show it to Ms. McGovern. If
there's no objection, then there's no issue.
I assume this is 23?
MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.
MS. McGOVERN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Twenty-three will be admitted without objection.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 23 entered into evidence.)
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, that's Bates labels
Defense 13755 through 13756.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, if you look at the top of this document on the screen, you'll see it's minutes of a board meeting for Wright International Investments, LTD?

A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: And Mr. Brenner, could you switch to the second page? A little down.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Do you see right at the second from the top: "Craig Steven Wright, currently noted as sole shareholder for Wright International Investments, LTD?

A Yes; at that point that was correct.
Q Okay. What's the date on this document? December 2014?
THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, let's wrap it up.
MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q So Dr. Wright, getting back to Tulip Trust II, which is exhibit 22, this document gives the trustee absolute discretion to do a lot of things, and it gives the right to transfer property to beneficiary. That's page 5 of 12. THE COURT: Is that a question?

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q That's paragraph 8. Do you see that? "The trustee may transfer property to a beneficiary?"

A Yes.
Q Let's take a look at who the trustee is. On the first page, Dr. Wright, do you see the trustee is listed as Tulip Trading, LTD?

A Yes.
Q That was a company you formed; we've discussed this?
A Yes.
Q And controlled?
A I've controlled or not controlled it at different points.
Q And --
A I don't control it at the moment.
Q On the second page, Dr. Wright, do you see the trustee is actually identified as Denis Mayaka, of Equator Consultants?

A Yes.
Q Who is the trustee?
THE COURT: What timeframe, sir, are you asking the
question about?
THE WITNESS: It varies. I'm sorry.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q The date of the document.
A Denis was running Tulip Trading for me at that stage. Denis was the nominal holder of the bearer shares through a company he had through Savanah and others, and Denis and his company out of Kenya were doing this so that I wasn't listed on the company.

Q So as of the date of this document, you were the controlling trustee?

A No, Denis was.
Q For you?
A Sorry?
Q For you?
A For myself and my wife equally at this point.
MR. FREEDMAN: Just one last thing.
Your Honor, I'd like to show a video on YouTube of Dr. Wright. I don't have a digital file to admit into evidence. We can provide it to the Court via CD.

MS. McGOVERN: We object to the YouTube video, Your Honor, based -- first of all, we haven't seen it. Second of all, we don't know what the proffer for relevance would be, and we think it would be outside the scope of the evidentiary hearing.

THE COURT: What is the -- just give me your theory of relevance, Mr. Freedman. I haven't seen it either.

MR. FREEDMAN: No, the theory of relevance is it goes to some of this testimony that Dr. Wright gave earlier about not having control over the trust. It impeaches that testimony.

THE COURT: All right. Well, here's what I'll do. I will --

MR. FREEDMAN: And I would ask questions before I proffer the video. I just didn't know how the Court wanted me to do that procedurally.

THE COURT: Well, if -- let me ask you this: Why don't you lay a foundation. Ask Dr. Wright if he's familiar with the video, if he's ever seen it, and if he has not, I'll address it a different way. But why don't you at least ask those predicate questions, please.

MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, if I could, if we could also just ask that the impeachment that is being proposed is the basis on which to present this YouTube video actually, in truth, be impeachment with respect to the very specific statements that Dr. Wright has testified to today.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Freedman, why don't you go
ahead and just try and lay a foundation for the exhibit.
MR. FREEDMAN: Happy to ask the ques --
THE COURT: I take the objection to be an objection
to foundation, so I'll sustain that objection. So just if you're going to try to impeach, why don't you establish, first of all, what's the underlying question that you're trying to impeach, just to get Dr. Wright to reaffirm that answer, so now we know what you're talking about. And then if you're going to use this video, you can ask him if he's seen it, is he familiar with it, and then $I$ can address how I want to dealing with it.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q All right. Dr. Wright, in your direct testimony, you testified that you did not have control over the 821,000 Bitcoin that you mined. Do you recall that?

A I do not. It's in a technically sort of locked file.
Q And that you may never have control over that, those Bitcoins again; isn't that correct?

A That is correct.
Q And that you locked the Bitcoin in trust because you thought it was simply too much money to have?

A Yes.
Q Dr. Wright, do you recall attending a Transform Africa summit in early May of 2018?

A Yes.
Q And you gave a speech at that summit?
A Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'd like to move a video
of that summit and Dr. Wright's presentation into evidence. THE COURT: Why don't you confront him with whatever the statement is that you want to confront him with. If it's one discrete statement in a long speech, I don't need to see the whole speech.

MR. FREEDMAN: It's a one-minute clip, Your Honor.
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, we object to a long YouTube presentation. I believe the proper impeachment procedure should be employed here, which is what exactly is the statement in the YouTube video that contradicts something that Dr. Wright has said just now.

THE COURT: I agree. Just ask him -- ask him if he recalls saying whatever it is you think that he said, and we'll see where we go from there.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q Dr. Wright, did you say at that presentation that the GDP of Rwanda was around $\$ 8$ billion?

A Yes.
Q And did you say to the Rwandan people that were at that presentation that you have more money than their entire country?

A I was angry at the time, and I was talking about the other. It didn't mean I could access or control it, and nor have I.

THE COURT: Okay. But the answer to the question
is: Yes, you made that statement?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. I don't need to see the video. MR. FREEDMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ms. McGovern, would you still like a brief, brief break?

MS. McGOVERN: Yes, I would, if you don't mind, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess until
4:40, and we'll come back for Dr. Wright's redirect examination.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
(A recess was taken from 4:30 p.m. to 4:39 p.m., after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Be seated, everyone.
Mr. Rivero, Dr. Wright wanted to say something to me. He said it has nothing to do with the case.

MR. RIVERO: Let me just ask.
THE COURT: I don't want to talk without you talking to him.

MR. RIVERO: Judge, when Ms. McGovern returns, if we could have a few moments for a sidebar, I'd appreciate it.

THE COURT: Absolutely no issue. No problem.
The court reporter tells me if we need to, we can
stay a little bit later. He understands the situation. He will accommodate Dr. Wright. So we've got to hit our sweet spot so we stay long enough to get Dr. Wright back to where he needs to be, but we get out of here early enough so everybody who needs to get home, gets home in time.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
(The following proceedings were held at sidebar:)
THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Rivero.
MR. RIVERO: Judge, it's outside the confines of anything in counsel's control, but I'm not sure how to address this, Judge, but it appears like someone has brought a phone into the courthouse and is live tweeting commentary about the proceeding. Now, Judge, I know a reporter could obviously go outside and get on the phone.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. RIVERO: But there are rules in the court about bringing in a phone, and this is going on right now.

MR. BRENNER: What is it?
MR. RIVERO: Going to Faketoshi, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

THE COURT: Mr. Marshal, looks like someone's live tweeting on a phone in the courtroom. Do we know if anyone has a phone in the courtroom?

COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: They're not supposed to
unless they're attorneys.
MR. RIVERO: Judge, the person caught on, because she was in the first row, and she walked out because she realized what it was talking about.

THE COURT: Craig, come here. She apparently had a phone and was live tweeting.

COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: That young lady?
THE COURT: Can you have your friends stop her downstairs?

COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. RIVERO: Thank you, Judge.
(Sidebar conference concluded.)
THE COURT: Ms. McGovern, whenever you're ready.
Before you start, Ms. McGovern, it has been brought to the Court's attention that someone in the audience has been live tweeting these proceedings. It is a violation of the rules of this Court to have a phone in this building during a proceeding and to be tweeting during the proceeding from the courtroom. So if anyone is still here and doing that, you are to cease and desist immediately.

Ms. McGovern.
MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
Redirect Examination

BY MS. McGOVERN:

Q Good afternoon, again, Dr. Wright.
A Good afternoon.
Q While my colleague connects -- oh.
MR. KASS: There we go.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright, if you could take a look at this screen at the document that's on it. It has been marked --

THE COURT: This is in evidence as plaintiffs' 22.
MS. McGOVERN: That is correct.
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright, I'd like to ask you a question.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, sorry. Just, that's not
quite plaintiffs' exhibit 22. It's the same document, but it's been annotated.

THE COURT: Oh, here. Here's a clean copy.
MR. FREEDMAN: I think the purpose of this
annotation is why you're raising it?
MS. McGOVERN: No, it is not.
MR. FREEDMAN: Oh, okay.
MS. McGOVERN: I'm actually just wanting to refer to
the document that was just utilized.
THE COURT: That's the one that was just utilized. It's a clean copy.

MS. McGOVERN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Because you're right, the copy you're projecting on the screen was not the one that was actually admitted. So if you want to just --

MS. McGOVERN: Thank you.
BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Dr. Wright, do you have plaintiffs' exhibit 22 in front of you?

A I do.
Q Okay. If you could please take a look at the paragraph that you were questioned on a little bit ago, and I believe that paragraph was paragraph 8.

Do you see that paragraph, Dr. Wright?
A $\quad$ I do.
Q And you were asked a question about that provision in the trust agreement which allows the trustee to do certain things. A Yes.

Q In fact, in this trust agreement, the trustee is allowed to do more things than that; isn't that right?

A Yes.
Q Is there anything in this trust agreement that the trustee can do which overrides or trumps the technological impossibility that you face to decrypt the file and provide the information that has brought us here today that you have not been able to provide?

A No.

Q Let me ask you that question with respect to all the trust agreements that we've gone over for the last couple hours. There are a number of different trust agreements; isn't that right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And in those trust agreements, you attempted to provide legal protection over certain assets; isn't that right?

MR. FREEDMAN: Objection, Your Honor; leading. THE COURT: I'll overrule that.

BY MS. McGOVERN:
Q Isn't that right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Is there anything about anything in any of the trust agreements that overrides, that trumps the technological impossibility you've testified you face to decrypt the file to provide the public addresses to Ira Kleiman?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Are they completely separate protective measures?
A Yes; I created layers.
Q Dr. Wright, Judge Reinhart asked you a question in the middle of plaintiffs' counsel's questions of you in the form of a clarification. I want to go over it with you so that the record is perfectly clear.

With respect to the question of who owns the

Bitcoin, whether it's Wright International or whether it's another company, whether it's several companies that would need to be collapsed down, are you relying on any corporate structure, any internal belief that you do not own or control the Bitcoin you mined during the relevant time period to avoid complying with this Court's order and providing the public addresses to plaintiff Ira Kleiman?

A No, I'm not, Your Honor. If I could, I would do everything in my power to get those addresses.

Q You were also asked by Ira Kleiman's counsel whether you've taken any steps, any ameliorative steps, to try to give this information to Ira Kleiman in another way. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Have you done it?
A I have worked with my team. I have pulled Steve Shadders, my CTO, off extremely valuable products and implementations that the team have been working on that have very tight deadlines so that he could work around the clock to try to do anything possible to get the set of addresses and analyze as best as possible all of those addresses to give to the Court.

Q What does CTO stand for, Dr. Wright?
A Chief technology officer.
Q I'm sorry, could you repeat that?

A Chief technology officer.
Q Steve Shadders is your chief technology officer, and you've asked him to work around the crock to provide this information to plaintiffs in an alternative or through alternative means; is that right?

A Yes, including having him come to this country rather than the UK.

Q Okay. And has he done it?
A He's working on it as best he can.
Q And is that because you actually want to comply with this Court's order if you could? Is that why you're doing that?

A There's no order I would not comply to. If the Judge told me while $I$ was in the UK that $I$ would be held in contempt, I would fly over and offer myself. I wouldn't require extradition, I would come.

Q Dr. Wright, it's my understanding that you -- or I saw you. I was here. I've been here all afternoon. You threw a piece of paper, and that could be interpreted as a form of disrespect. Is there anything that you would like to say to this judge, to this court, about that?

A Yes, there is.
Q Can you tell us?
A I'm very sorry, Your Honor. I lose control sometimes.
My wife is a psychologist, and she's working with me.
THE COURT: That's quite all right, Dr. Wright. I
thank you for your apology.
THE WITNESS: I'm really sorry about that.
THE COURT: I understand this is a difficult and stressful day for you. I can assure you that I will not hold against you in terms of my evaluation of the case that incident. I will evaluate your case squarely on the evidence --

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- only. I understand this is not the world that you generally live in. I understand it is a difficult day for you. So I appreciate your apology. We will put that behind us and look forward. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
MS. McGOVERN: No further questions, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Great. Thank you very much.
Give me one second, please.
All right. Counsel, it seems to me -- Dr. Wright, you may step down. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Rivero, what do you propose?
MR. RIVERO: Judge, I think we do not --
Mr. Shadders, as we had indicated to the Court, is from the UK. The plaintiff has kindly agreed, as the Court has ordered, for the experts to appear by video, and I appreciate that.

Judge, I wanted to address another matter. We found there's a second -- has been a second live tweeter this afternoon.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RIVERO: It's of concern, Judge. This has gotten an enormous amount of attention. I want to commend 22nd Century Crypto, who I think is here in the courtroom, who, on his live tweet, said that he wished he could have brought his phone into the courtroom. I think that's very appropriate. So I congratulate 22 nd Century Crypto, but I wanted to bring it to the Court's attention.

THE COURT: Well, I appreciate that. And we identified one of the people who was live tweeting, and I'm going to have that person come in in a second. I believe -well, I'm going to deal with that in a second. But before I do that, let me check with counsel, though.

How -- so we have an agreement that the witness who came from the UK can be excused for now and will be recalled by video teleconference.

Dr. Wright, you weren't at sidebar when we talked about this, so I don't know what your lawyers have shared with you. We're obviously not going to finish today, and I know you have other witnesses you want me to hear from, and the plaintiff has witnesses they want me to hear from. So we're going to find another day to do that.

What I'll ask counsel to do is let me know. I know it's the summer. People have vacations; people have other commitments. I want to move this along quickly, but I'll make myself -- I have time available next week. I can do it
piecemeal, because it's a bench trial. So I don't know if you want to talk amongst yourselves now, maybe propose some dates, or if you want to check your calendars and propose some dates. Why don't I do this. Why don't I ask you to step outside and sort of confer generally about scheduling, I'm going to talk to the person who we determined was live tweeting, and then perhaps counsel can all come back, and we can just wrap up. Okay?

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, we're happy to do that, but if -- because this is going to be piecemeal, if we have 30 minutes left, why don't we put Mr. Shadders on for the 30 minutes, and then we can continue it via video.

THE COURT: Well, we really don't, because the court reporter really needs to leave at 5:00 o'clock. He was just going to go way out of his way to accommodate everybody else. So I don't think there's any way we're going to finish Mr. Shatters in a half an hour. So it's Friday afternoon at 5:00 o'clock. I don't think anybody really wants to be here. I appreciate that.

So let's do that. If counsel can go out and confer, and let me just have the other person brought in, please. So
we'll be in recess on the Wright matter. So if the people who are here in the public want to go, there's nothing else going to happen in that case today. I just have to deal with another matter.
(A recess was taken from 4:57 p.m. to 5:05 p.m., after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: All right. Looks like counsel are back.
All right. Mr. Freedman, what's everyone's
pleasure? I heard mention of August.
MS. McGOVERN: Yeah. Well, if I could start.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MS. McGOVERN: You probably have guessed that
there's differing views on when we can, you know, reconvene. You know, in all sincerity, Your Honor, there's two reasons why the week for us, the week of July 29 th is the first time that we can reconvene. It has to do with previously committed summer vacations, mine in particular with my three children, and Andres, as well.

We've also spoken with the witness who is going to be going forward, and his availability is also, you know, difficult during that period of time. He wouldn't be available in any instance.

There's another reason, too, though, which, you know, we're professionals. You know, we do what we have to do. Sometimes we can't take vacation; I understand. It's
been a long road for me, I'll tell you that.
But the other reason is that Dr. Wright has previously committed to actually being unavailable through the end of July. And it is his proceeding. It is a very serious proceeding, as we recognize and acknowledge and as plaintiffs' counsel certainly has pointed out multiple times today. So he wants to be able to participate.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
MS. McGOVERN: Not necessarily coming back to the United States, but being able to participate via conference.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, we can talk about that.
I will also -- I should also let the parties know that I am unavailable from July the 11th through the 21st. I think I'm back in the office on the 21st. So I'm unavailable. I know I'm unavailable the full week from July 15th through the 19th and the Friday, July 12th. I think the 22 nd will be my first day back in the office. So I have a limited availability between now and then. I do have some availability next week.

Mr. Freedman, your thoughts.
So, I'm sorry, Ms. McGovern. What are you proposing?

MS. McGOVERN: We propose, Your Honor, that we reconvene July 29th; Monday, July 29th.

THE COURT: Just give me one second. Let me look at
my calendar. That week looks pretty open for me.
Okay. Mr. Freedman.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, obviously this is a major portion of our discovery, and it holds up the case. We want to continue moving forward. We understand it's serious proceedings, and I understand summer vacations. I would never want to interfere with them, but it remains plaintiffs'
position -- I represent plaintiff, and, in fact, I agree with him that he has a right to get to done. And, you know, we're available next week; we're available the week of the 22 nd; we're not available the week of the 29 th; we're available the week after. We would come back next week. We'll come back the week of the 22 nd when the court is back.

THE COURT: What's the first week in August look like for us, Ms. Kenny?

THE LAW CLERK: Good.
THE COURT: First week in August looks generally good for us?

THE LAW CLERK: Yes.
THE COURT: I may have to be out of town for a couple days that week, but I can . . .

All right. So Mr. Freedman, as I understand it, Judge Bloom -- can't remember who has one case. We have three new judges. All my cases got reassigned, so I can't remember which judge has which case anymore. Judge Bloom has extend
discovery in this case into December, right?
MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.
MS. McGOVERN: Correct.
THE COURT: And I'm not saying that's a reason we shouldn't deal with this quickly, but we certainly don't want to pull up by the discovery deadline.

Here's the first couple things. I do try to respect summer vacation, and I don't hear Mr. Freedman saying otherwise, but I understand you have a client to represent, and you have to take your client's position.

I recognize all the work that everybody's done on this case, so I understand everyone's working hard on the case. I, too, have children. I like to spend some of my summer with them every once in a while, so I appreciate that.

It also seems to me, look, the realties of this, at least as this hearing is starting to unfold, it seems to me I have to make some credibility decisions and other things, but even if I were to order -- even if I were to find that -- and I've not made any -- I don't want Dr. Wright to hear this and think I've decided ahead of times. I'm just talking in hypotheticals here, right?

One possibility is I agree he can't do it, and we're done. One possibility is I agree he can do it, and then either he figures out a way to do it, or there's some other sanction that benefits the other side. But in either extreme,
it doesn't lead to more discovery essentially, right? In either extreme, it either leads to you get nothing more, or you get some sort of a sanctionable -- sanctions remedy that doesn't lead to more discovery. It leads to an inference, or a default or something, right? And then I guess in the middle is I find that he can do it, I order him to do it, he actually does it. So then you eventually get some discovery to follow up on.

But it seems to me if discovery doesn't close 'til December, there's plenty of time to follow -- if there's follow-up that needs to be done at that point, there's plenty of time to do the follow-up, and there's plenty of other discovery to do between now and then. So I recognize this is a significant piece of the plaintiffs' theory of the case, but I think the pragmatics of it are that if $I$ put this off until -- you know, for two or three weeks, I don't -- I'll hear you further on that, Mr. Freedman -- I don't see it having a significantly prejudicial effect on your ability to prepare the case, because $I$ do think there's plenty of other work to be done.

But those are my initial thoughts. I'll hear from you on anything else you want to say.

MR. FREEDMAN: No, I mean, what the Court's saying makes sense. The only thing I'd add is I know that the Court has a method of approaching discovery where it kind of, things
broaden as we show relevance. So if the Court would treat our discovery requests -- I know we each have some discovery issues in the hopper that we're going to have to bring in front of the Court as if Dr. Wright will not be complying with this, and therefore, you know, to the extent that moves the Court to give us a little bit broader discovery into some other areas, then I think that analysis is probably correct.

THE COURT: I -- you know, it's sort of a Catch 22, because obviously their position is he's not going to comply. Not because he won't, because he can't, right? So your premise should be, since he can't do it, we should get our discovery a different way. And I understand that's an argument to be made, and I'm not going to rule on it in a vacuum in advance today.

So, I mean, if there are discovery issues y'all want to talk about right now while we're all here, I'm happy to try to give you some guidance so that you can make meaningful use of the time that you have over the next few weeks.

MR. FREEDMAN: There is one, Your Honor.
There is a particular transcript from an ATO investigation that has not been turned over to the defendants. Now, full disclosure, it is I think a day or two before the hearing we received 1700 documents that I don't think we've been through yet.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FREEDMAN: But we really need -- that's a very important transcript. It cites Australian tax office doc -Australian tax office documents that we do have cite in it to admissions by Dr. Wright that were made at that hearing, that Dave Kleiman owned Bitcoin and the trust, and we still don't have a copy of it.

THE COURT: So let me just understand.
Ms. Markoe, you're going to have to work for your supper now, right?

MS. MARKOE: I had plans to. I just got delayed.
THE COURT: Just got delayed, okay.
No, I guess the first question is is this a document
that you just don't have or that you have some objection to turning over? Where are we on that spectrum?

MS. MARKOE: So I have actually searched for that document personally, and I've had other people on my team search for that document in our database. We've been unable to locate it.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: So, Your Honor, if I could follow up on that.

THE COURT: Yeah.
Hold on before you do.
Assuming you could find it, do you have any objection to turning it over?

MS. MARKOE: No.
THE COURT: We're talking discovery, not admissibility.

MS. MARKOE: No. We've produced all the -- I think almost all of the ATO transcripts that we have in our database, and we will continue to do so to the extent that they are responsive to the document requests, as we have agreed to them and this Court has ordered them.

THE COURT: Okay. So there's no legal objection to turning it over if you can find it.

MS. MARKOE: Correct.
THE COURT: So it's just a question of how do we get it, Mr. Freedman.

MR. FREEDMAN: So anticipating that might be the case, Your Honor, we have reached out to the Australian tax office, who has advised us that while they cannot produce documents to us absent potentially letters rogatory, Dr. Wright remains able to request any document from them, and they will provide it to him. And that, in fact, they not only have transcripts of these proceedings, but they have recordings of these proceedings, actual audio recordings of the proceedings.

And so we requested defense counsel send a formal request for information to the ATO asking them to release all transcripts of all meetings with Dr. Wright and all audio
transcripts of meetings with Dr. Wright, and we have not heard back from defense counsel.

THE COURT: Okay. So Ms. Markoe?
MS. MARKOE: So I have actually not been involved in
that aspect of it.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MARKOE: But --
THE COURT: Sounds conceptually you don't have an objection to that, but you need to --

MS. MARKOE: Well, I need a little qualification on that.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MS. MARKOE: I think that to the extent that we're going to have to go through and listen to and/or review information and documents and transcripts and recordings that have nothing to do with this case but have to do with other matters, that is not proportionate to the needs of the case. THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. MARKOE: So if they want to tell us that they want a specific transcript that they have reason to believe that it is relevant to this case, and we don't have possession of it, but we can go get it from the ATO, I don't think that we would have an objection to trying to do that. But to go through and ask for every single transcript, recording, video, whatever it is, is just, A, could be duplicative, and B, is
just not proportionate to the needs of the case, because it will require us to now listen and review all this stuff that may not be relevant.

THE COURT: All right. No, but it sounds to me like what Mr. Freedman is saying -- again, I'll let him speak for himself in a second -- is that he's triggering off specific references to other documents to particular admissions or particular documents or particular interviews that Dr. Wright participated.

So it sounds to me like y'all need to talk, and it may be that Mr. Freedman is talking about a very discrete universe of materials to get.

MR. FREEDMAN: Five or six interviews, and we've identified the dates.

THE COURT: And you can do it by date, and, you know, this sounds to me like something you all can work out.

MS. MARKOE: Probably.
THE COURT: Four, five or six interviews where there's going to be -- if it's like the U.S., right, there will be a report of interview and maybe a tape. Hopefully it's not a five- or six-hour tape, but, you know, there's something discrete that they've identified, and at least it's not unduly burdensome to acquire it, right? Sending a letter and asking to get it seems to me kind of per se not unduly burdensome. What you have to do with it once you get it, you
can see it, and then you can come back to me if you have to and say, Judge, it really is 17 hours and 400 pages of documents, and that's not real.

So if that's where you're going, I'll get ahead of you, and I'll get a little bit ahead of you.

But Mr. Freedman.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, just the issue here is that we sent this request off, I don't have the exact dates, two and a half to three weeks ago, have heard no response, and I am sure that when the response goes to the Australian tax office, it's going to be no different than sending a request to the IRS, and it's going to take forever to get the response.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: So I just -- if maybe the Court could encourage a robust discussion process, we might be able to move this along quicker.

THE COURT: Yeah, you can talk right now when you're done.

MS. MARKOE: So, Vel, I believe you guys have a meet and confer scheduled for Monday. Perhaps you can discuss it then.

MS. McGOVERN: I'd like to take this opportunity to address a couple issues for us.

THE COURT: Yeah, let's just resolve that issue.

MS. McGOVERN: Okay.
THE COURT: So it seems Ms. Markoe indicated that there's this already scheduled meeting on Monday to discuss discovery issues, so why don't you put that on the agenda. I will tell you, my strong inclination is it's not burdensome to ask and, you know, you'll see what you get and see when you get it, and at least then, you know, you're not sitting in front of Judge Bloom in December going, oh, we just last week asked for something from Australia, and we need a year to get it. So, but talk amongst yourselves. I think that's one you all can work through.

Before I get to you, Mr. McGovern, Mr. Freedman,
were there any other -- and I'm catching you a little bit offguard, but as you sit here right now, are there other discovery issues you want the Court to weigh in on?

MR. FREEDMAN: There's one more, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. FREEDMAN: As we understood it, the parties engaged in a, as the Court remembers, a robust negotiation on search terms. It took many hours, but the Court's order resolved it.

And we've come to understand from defense counsel that they are conducting a relevance review over the search terms that hit. In our view, the purpose of the search terms were to determine which documents are relevant, but as I
understand it, they're taking the subset of hit terms and then reviewing them again for relevance and withholding documents. And given the factual complexity of the case and the legal issues and the vastly different ways we view this case, I just don't think that's appropriate here.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Markoe or Ms. -- I know you're the discovery queen, Ms. Markoe. That's why I turn to you on those issues.

MS. MARKOE: I don't know whether that's a good thing or a bad thing.

THE COURT: In this case.
MS. MARKOE: I've always thought of discovery as the most thankless task in lawyering.

THE COURT: Your senior partner is sitting right there. Maybe he'll agree with you and give you a different job in the next case, but in this case I can take judicial notice that you've got stuck being in charge of discovery on Dr. Wright's side.

MS. MARKOE: Yes.
So, Your Honor, my view -- and maybe we should have talked about this, but my view of search terms is they're too narrow, the universe of documents that someone has to review for a relevancy determination and for a confidentiality determination and a privilege determination, it doesn't mean that every document that hits on a search term is therefore
relevant to any request that has been made.
For example, we also negotiated with them, because they were very insistent on having a variety of terms that involved the name Dave or variations thereof.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MS. MARKOE: I had previously, and we had previously
I think mentioned this to Your Honor, that that's a really common name, and they had some very common terms associated with that name. So it was highly likely to result in a lot of false hits. And even through negotiations, we said we still think this is going to resolve -- result in a lot of false hits, but we'll look at the documents. It resulted in 30,000 documents. Know what we found when we looked at a lot of those documents? They had nothing to do with it. They had to do with a book called -- by I think one of them is David Copperfield. There were a lot of books on there. You know, it had to do with someone's ex-spouse who happened to have the name Dave involved.

THE COURT: Right.
MS. MARKOE: So, you know, we have spent a lot of time and a lot of money to not document dump them. I don't believe in document dumping. I think it's -- well, I don't believe in document dumping, and that's exactly what we are spending time and money trying to avoid, and providing them with documents that are, in fact, responsive to both their
requests and what this Court has ordered.
THE COURT: Okay. Give me a general sense of the volume of material that is being filtered out through that second level relevance review.

MS. MARKOE: Honestly, it depends on the search term. Some search terms result in far fewer false positives, and so they wind up getting a lot more documents relating to the search term, for instance, climbing, which is to be expected. But when you have search terms such as Dave and, you know, Bitcoin, or -- I'm trying -- I don't know all the search terms off the top of my head, or Dave and corporate.

THE COURT: As I recall, we locked Mr. Kass and
Mr. Roche in a room for a day, so they were the ones who got stuck having to come up with the search terms. So it's okay. MS. MARKOE: Right. And it's a bartering system, so you make compromises.

So I did not -- I don't think that on our side we intended that that just meant we don't have to look at these documents, we'll just hand them on over to you, when they have nothing whatsoever to do with the case.

THE COURT: Okay. I -- and as I understand, as I recall, there's been a very robust e-mail production, has there not? And how many e-mails and electronic documents have you already turned over, even after this second level review? MS. MARKOE: I don't know off the top of my head. I
believe it's over 16,000 --
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MARKOE: -- so far.
We know that we're at, in terms of Bates numbers, we're at around 80,000 or so, close to 80,000 pages, but I go by documents.

THE COURT: Got it.
Mr. Freedman, I hear you. I think in this case, given the -- and I do recall the search terms. We had a number of hearings about the search terms, and they were very broad. I don't have a problem with them conducting that relevance review. As you start to get documents, if you start to see gaps in things that you really logically think should be there that you're not getting, I'm happy to hear you on that. But if your objection is that they shouldn't be conducting any of that sort of review, I'll overrule that objection and allow them to do that. And I'll you to do the same if you want, to the extent you're the attorney from the other direction.

All right. Anything else from the plaintiff?
MR. FREEDMAN: No, just on that topic. The issue is now, as the Court has seen, this document, this disc -- this case hinges on a lot of -- so, actually, let me compartmentalize this.

There have certainly been a lot of documents turned
over. The first issue is that it's artificially inflated, because there are innumerable duplicates that are being turned over. So although it's a lot of documents, the scope of actual -- I mean, we see documents five, six times.

The second -- and you know what, I respect that they're turning over what they have. So it's not a complaint, it's just the reality of the situation.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. FREEDMAN: The second thing is that, as the Court has now seen, this case requires experts' analysis and metadata. Different versions of documents, we have seen that e-mails that started off innocuous and unrelated have then become other e-mails.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MR. FREEDMAN: And it looks like the modus -- and obviously this is plaintiffs' theory, but it looks like the modus operandi of the defendant is to take e-mails, you know, from Dave or from other people that were around the relevant time period and alter data. And so some of our search terms are keyed to that. And so there can be a document that doesn't appear to be relevant but that we purposely engineered to sit on a search term, and now we won't see it because, even in good faith, the attorneys for the defendant may not know it's a relevant document.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand. But at this point,

I will allow them to conduct that sort of a review.
Having sat through the hearing today, you've obviously gotten some -- they've turned over, to their credit, some stuff that's really not helpful to them, and you've made good use of it. So I have to ascribe good faith to them in that regard.

So that will be my ruling on that.
Anything else from the plaintiff? Any other
discovery issues that you see that you want me to weigh in on while we're here today?

MR. FREEDMAN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, great.
Ms. McGovern.
MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
We do. We have a couple discovery sort of buckets
that we were in the process of bringing before Your Honor, but we wanted to address this hearing obviously first.

THE COURT: Right.
MS. McGOVERN: And we would very much appreciate your help in this. It's been a very long time since we've sought to get the following documents, and we still don't have them.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. McGOVERN: The plaintiffs have failed to produce Dave Kleiman's complete medical records, and they've failed to
execute a medical authorization form for us to obtain the records. These items, by the way, Your Honor, are items we specifically discussed in a meet and confer, and we haven't been able to agree. So it is actually ripe and ready for your review.

THE COURT: Medical records, medical authorization. What else?

MS. McGOVERN: Correct.
Secondly, we have received very few of Dave
Kleiman's financial documents, and we do not believe that we need to go to Mr. Karp to get those documents.

THE COURT: Who's Mr. Karp?
MS. McGOVERN: Mr. Karp is the accountant.
THE COURT: Oh, the accountant?
MS. McGOVERN: And -- the attorney, excuse me. The attorney, Your Honor.

So just like Dr. Wright has gone beyond that veneer, we believe the plaintiff has custody control of the documents in his lawyer's possession.

It's very important for us to get the financial documents from Dave Kleiman, because as we know, Dave Kleiman's financial situation at the time is relevant to their claims that, in fact, he was owed a lot of money by Dr. Wright and made absolutely no inquiry to get it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. McGOVERN: There's another category, Your Honor, and that is that we have not received complete production of documents related to the $I R S$ investigation related to Dave Kleiman. Again, that's important. They -- and I don't want to try to characterize. It's not about the way we characterize it. The IRS investigated Dave Kleiman regarding taxes, both for, we believe, ultimately, $W \& K$, as well as personally. We haven't received any of that information, and we ask for them, for those documents to be produced right away.

In addition -- and, again, this is a bit vague, although we've raised this before -- plaintiffs have made representations that there's still a large number of documents that are waiting for them to produce. The documents that we're receiving are in large part junk, in large part spam, absolutely not relevant to the claims in the case. And I understand that if it's a hit term, they're not doing that subsequent relevance review and they're just giving it to us, you would think that that would expedite the production, and, you know, we don't want them going back and doing a second level relevance review. But we still, in June, do not have documents we consider to be absolutely essential to the plaintiffs' claims, and we just don't understand how that's happening.

THE COURT: Okay. So, but hold on.

But the specific categories you're raising today are the medical records and the medical authorization, financial records, possibly records in the possession of a third party, financial records, and then records relating to an IRS investigation. Those are --

MS. McGOVERN: Yeah. There's another issue, as well, Your Honor. There's two issues, actually.

One regarding their production to us involves privilege issues that they've raised for Mr. Karp. Mr. Karp is a witness that they have identified --

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. McGOVERN: -- and yet there are privilege issues that they have raised with Mr. Karp, which we believe, or at least appears to be sort of thwarting production. And we just want to get a handle on, you know, what is it. Is he a witness and he's going to be testifying, or -- in which case privilege issues are going to be raised, et cetera? You know, we -- it appears as though it's a sword/shield. They're sort of hiding behind the privilege, and we're not getting documents that would be in the possession of Mr. Karp. And Mr. Karp is -- you know, the documents that he would have in his possession go directly to the estate.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. McGOVERN: And then the final, the final
issue -- and I'm squeezing this in in case I get squeezed out,
because we have your attention, and we appreciate it obviously on this issue right now, especially after a long day, and that's the deduplication process with respect to documents that we have received from Australia.

We've had our vendors speak with their vendors, because there is a very understandable technical way documents get deduped.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MS. McGOVERN: We want to do that. We want to dedupe it, because we don't want to have to review it again. And for some reason, we're not able to agree on a deduping process. And the concern is what if a document slips through the cracks, what if this -- this process that is used in every single case with a lot of ESI, somehow the dedupe process doesn't work here. And at some point it's just not fair that we are reviewing and re-reviewing things for the very off chance that the system wouldn't work.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from Mr. Freedman.
MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to try to take those one at a time.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: In regards to medical records, the plaintiffs have -- in regards to the medical records, plaintiffs have turned over I know over 800 pages of medical rec -- well, let me take one step back.

The reality of this case is we represent an estate.
We have a limited access to documents. We have collected and imaged all of the documents we were able to find, put those into Relativity and have produced them. Part of those documents consisted of 800 pages of medical records, which have been turned over.

The defendant has asked us to execute authorizations and send them to the two VA hospitals. Those authorizations were executed by the personal representative of the estate and sent over to the two VA hospitals I believe last month.

So the medical records we understand. We're doing that. We're giving them over.

THE COURT: Great.
MR. FREEDMAN: We asked -- we --
MS. McGOVERN: Could you just please send us a copy of the medical authorization that was sent? Because I was unaware of that, and our meet and confer didn't seem to suggest --

MR. FREEDMAN: Between me and Bryan --
MS. McGOVERN: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: The second are the financial
documents. Again, our client is dead. We don't have access to a ton of financial documents. We have turned over what we do have, and, you know, if there is a particular institution the defendants want us to execute an authorization for and we
don't have an objection to it, then we certainly will.
So that's the medical records and the financial records.

The defendants --
MS. McGOVERN: I'm sorry. With respect to the medical -- I mean, the financial records, does that mean we're going to get the financial records from Mr. Karp?

MR. FREEDMAN: So I'm going to address Mr. Karp now.
Mr. Karp --
THE COURT: Hold on a second.
When you say authorization, you mean to the IRS. If they want an authorization --

MR. FREEDMAN: No, the IRS is a separate issue.
Honestly, Your Honor, I have no idea what they're talking about, but we'll get there in a second.

THE COURT: Okay, go.
MR. FREEDMAN: The -- Mr. Karp was Ira Kleiman's
lawyer, as well as Dave Kleiman's lawyer, for many years. He represented them as counsel for many years. He also -- and he has documents. Now, we have collected all the documents that Mr. Karp had in his possession. We have reviewed them all. We have produced them all to the defendant. We have withheld some based on privilege, and we have produced a privilege log.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: The defendant says that we're using

Mr. Karp as a sword and a shield. That's not true. We listed him as a fact witness, because he had direct interactions with the defendant. So we listed him as -- in our 26 disclosures as a potential witness with facts of the case.

If your lawyer witnesses a car accident, you can call him as a witness. He doesn't waive privilege. It doesn't mean that privilege with regards to his facility as a lawyer is waived.

So they have a privilege log. If they'd like to challenge the privilege log, they can bring it in front of the Court at any time.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: In regards to the IRS investigation, defendant keeps asking us for IRS investigation. We aren't aware of any IRS investigation. So again, the defendants would like us to execute IRS authorizations. I want to think about it for a moment, confer with Mr. Roche. I can't see an objection to executing IRS authorizations. I'm sure we will, and they can get those documents that way.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FREEDMAN: In regards to the search terms, again, Your Honor, it's our position that we're gonna give them everything that's in the search term, because that's the deal we thought we met -- we struck. That's the deal we would hope they would honor. I understand our objection's been
overruled, so it is what it is.
Finally, Your Honor, is the deduping process. As the Court has now seen, the metadata associated with these documents is extremely critical to this case. We have agreed that the defendants can dedupe documents if they hash the entire document, together with all of its metadata and everything about it, and then the hash turns identical, that is a true duplicate, and it can be deduped. The defendant is proposing a more tourniqueted deduping process, where some of the fields of e-mails are hashed and compared to dedupe.

As the Court has seen, there are fabricated e-mails in this case. There are -- you -- there are indisputably e-mails the metadata has been manipulated with. By whom I understand is a disputed question. But we cannot agree to allow a deduping process that weeds out documents that appear to the human eye to be duplicates, but are not truly forensic duplicates.

THE COURT: I hear you.
All right. So on those, I'm not going to require -I'm going to defer, or I'm going to side with Mr. Freedman on the deduping issue.

MS. MARKOE: Your Honor, may I just clarify
something about that deduping issue that $I$ actually think is very important?

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MARKOE: We have done the MD5 hash deduping on the ESI we collect. As Mr. Freedman well knows, what we were specifically talking about in this deduping situation was documents that we received that had been collected by the ATO and then sent back to counsel for Dr. Wright in Australia.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MS. McGOVERN: So we obtained copies of those, about 60,000 documents. We obtained copies of those. We obtained copies of those documents from Australian counsel.

The only way to dedupe those documents, not across our ESI, but within each other, is -- because they came from various sources, and they were not -- we were not provided with the images of those sources -- the only way to do that is not through MD5 hash, because the hashing doesn't work, but through header, e-mail header analysis to the second.

And my understanding is that there's about 20,000
documents that, through that process, are duplicates.
THE COURT: So a third of the 60,000.
MS. MARKOE: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MARKOE: And it also includes header ID analysis, as well, which is unique, and our vendor tells us that this is a process that they have done numerous times as an alternate when hashing is an unavailable process. And that's the situation we're in now is hashing is an unavailable

So because of that, we've tried to do a reasonable deduping alternative to hashing, and that was our proposal. They have rejected that proposal.

I just wanted to make it clearer to Your Honor that it wasn't that we're refusing to do hashing. It's more a matter of that's just not an available mechanism for these -this particular set of documents.

THE COURT: Understood, understood.
Here's what I'm going to do. I'm not going to -I'm not going to overrule the plaintiffs' desire to get the documents, even if there may be some duplication there, but I will reserve on the question of whether they should pay for it. So if their vendor is going to incur extensive costs, and at the end of the day it turns out it really was a big waste of time, I'll entertain a motion. I'm not saying I'm going to grant a motion, but $I$ will at least entertain a motion to shift those costs back to the defense -- or to the plaintiff. I understand, and I think on the evidence that I've seen before me, that if -- I'm going to defer to the plaintiffs. If they don't want to agree to deduping on whatever standard -- and I appreciate, Ms. Markoe, that there's a lot of levels of this, that maybe it is -- maybe it is a very sophisticated and robust way to dedupe, but I think on the evidence I've seen, I have to give the plaintiffs some wider
berth on this one, and like I said, I'll reserve as to cost if it turns out it was a wasted exercise.

All right. Anything else, folks, while we're here?
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, were you going to take
the position with respect to the IRS?
THE COURT: Oh, the IRS investigation.
MS. McGOVERN: What we'd like, Your Honor --
THE COURT: I think he said he would give you a
consent, so I thought that was resolved. I think they said --
MS. McGOVERN: I'm sorry. Thank you, Vel.
THE COURT: I thought they said they were inclined to give you the consent.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, just when they turn over the Australian documents, if you could use a specific Bates label so we know where they're from. That way we can --

MS. McGOVERN: Sure.
MR. FREEDMAN: -- analyze them later.
MS. McGOVERN: Yes.
MS. MARKOE: We might have to reproduce some documents, then, because we started giving them to you already.

MR. FREEDMAN: Unless you want to waive the right to -- I mean, we have to be able to go back and analyze whether or not it was a waste of time or not. Your Honor knows that my position is going to be, given the evidence

```
we've seen we have to look at it, no matter if it turns out to
```

be a waste of time or not. But, you know --

THE COURT: That's why I'm not ruling. I'm just saying I'm not foreclosing either.

MR. FREEDMAN: Understood, Your Honor.
MR. BRENNER: Your Honor, one other thing on scheduling.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. BRENNER: It would be helpful if we knew from plaintiff -- they identified four witnesses for the hearing today.

THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. BRENNER: And they've called one obviously.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. BRENNER: If we could get an idea of how many witnesses they intend to call and who they intend to call so we know when to have our witness here. I don't want to have our witness wait a whole other day.

THE COURT: No, that's a good point. I'd like to know kind of how much time the parties think we're going to need to set aside for the continuation of this hearing. So, yeah, good suggestion.

Ms. McGovern?
MS. McGOVERN: Well, we -- at this point, we intend to call -- there are an additional three.

THE COURT: Right.
MS. McGOVERN: We're definitely going to call two.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. McGOVERN: We're going to discuss internally
whether we need the third.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a general -- I mean, are we talking another day, are we talking three days, half a day? What's your best sense.

MS. McGOVERN: I anticipate -- no, half a day. Half a day, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Half a day for your witnesses, you think?

MS. McGOVERN: Yes.
MS. MARKOE: On our side.
MS. McGOVERN: On our side.
THE COURT: On your side.
MR. FREEDMAN: I don't know --
MS. MARKOE: I can't speak for their cross.
THE COURT: Right. They've only got one witness that $I$ know of so far.

Mr. Freedman, do you have a sense of how long your witness will take? Or Mr. Roche?

MR. FREEDMAN: Slightly less than half a day.
THE COURT: All right. So we think if we set aside a full day, that we can probably get everything done in that
day? Okay. That's good to know.
Let me go back and look at my calendar in a little more detail, because I know I said around the end of July, early August, I do have some personal things I need to take care of out of town, but $I$ will be here most of that time. So I'm going to reset this sometime probably the week of August the 5th. That was the week everybody at least seemed to be more or less available.

All right, folks. Thank you for --
MS. McGOVERN: Your Honor, I apologize. I know we all want to get out of here.

I do want to make a proffer.
THE COURT: Oh, okay.
MS. McGOVERN: Okay? And the reason for the proffer is because we do not want to appear in any way to be waiting until August 5 th to be providing what we were going to be providing today.

I'm going to let Ms. Markoe explain exactly what that is, but we want to give the plaintiff exactly what that exhibit was now, so that from now until August 5 th there's not a delay.

THE COURT: When you say that exhibit, you lost me. Go ahead, Ms. Markoe.

MS. MARKOE: So, Your Honor, as Dr. Wright testified, he had instructed Steven Shadders, or Steve

Shadders, to try to, with some given criteria, narrow down the potential list of Bitcoin addresses that Dr. Wright could have mined. He created software and code in order to do that using specific criteria. He was going to testify about that today. He will testify about that on another day.

He has engaged in that endeavor and has created a list that narrows down potential Bitcoin addresses from over 75,000 and a half to under 28,000.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MARKOE: So a margin of a 60 percent reduction. And we have that today. He is continuing to do some work to try to narrow that down a bit further.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MARKOE: But this is an inclusive list that would include all of the Bitcoin mined by Dr. Wright through his companies, but we'll, to be fair, also include addresses that could not be excluded based on the criteria.

THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that. So if you want to turn that over.

Let's talk, by the way, while we're -- I'm sorry, I know everybody needs to get out of here, but for one more second.

You know, both sides noticed witnesses who really, in a normal case, would have been experts. The question is, does it make sense -- and I'm not -- I really don't have a
strong feeling one way or the other. Would it make sense for each side to give the other side a limited expert report of what you think your person's going to say --

MS. McGOVERN: Yes.
THE COURT: -- to help focus the hearing going forward, so you sorta know what their experts are going to say, they know what your experts are going to say, maybe they're not disagreeing with each other, we can focus the hearing?

MS. McGOVERN: We agree, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, any thoughts on that?
MR. FREEDMAN: No objections, Your Honor. Just reports, not depositions?

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
MR. FREEDMAN: Just reports?
THE COURT: No, no, no, not that we would take depositions, just a report, in the nature of an expert's report under Rule 702 just to help us focus.

I mean, I got the notice, and I thought, well, it's lovely they're calling experts on sort of very general categories of cryptography and cryptocurrency, but I suspect they're a little more targeted.

So if it would help both sides to focus it, why don't you exchange those.

MR. FREEDMAN: Can we pick a date the end of July?

THE COURT: Yeah, I'll let you all work that out amongst yourselves, but I think that would be helpful, as well as turning this over. Maybe we can all focus the hearing. MS. McGOVERN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thanks everybody. Shabbat Shalom to those of you who are celebrating, and have a good weekend everybody.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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|  | 99/13 99/17 99/21 | 44/10 44/13 47/24 48/8 | 141/16 144/12 147/25 | 114/4 114/23 119/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BY MR. FREEDMAN: | 100/14 100/24 101/24 | 49/21 52/17 53/9 54/3 | 154/23 162/2 166/2 | 123/12 161/5 212/25 |
| [88] 33/16 34/19 35/21 | 103/3 103/18 104/2 | 56/16 56/20 56/23 58/23 | 166/13 167/6 174/2 | 122 [1] 213/8 |
| 37/19 38/5 39/7 39/22 | 104/16 108/5 110/9 | 58/25 59/14 59/16 62/7 | 174/8 174/13 174/19 | 125 [1] 212/6 |
| 41/1 42/14 42/25 43/17 | 111/5 117/18 117/20 | 62/9 | \$ | 1] $212 / 7$ |
| 44/21 45/23 47/20 47/25 |  |  |  | 64/24 |
| 48/4 48/12 50/11 52/9 | $121 / 16121 / 18121 / 22$ $128 / 3130 / 11130 / 13$ | 77/11 77/13 77/16 78/2 | $\$ 12 \text { [1] 110/6 }$ | 104:55 [1] 64/1 |
| 52/22 53/20 54/6 55/18 | 130/17 137/24 138/1 | $84 / 1884 / 2085 / 386 / 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12 \text { billion [1] } \\ & \mathbf{\$ 8}[1] \\ & 165 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1] \\ 31 / 24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $57 / 14$ 57/23 58/12 59/4 $59 / 21$ 61/23 62/13 $66 / 24$ | 148/6 148/10 149/19 | 86/7 86/10 86/25 88/22 | \$8 billion [1] 165/17 | 12:33 [1] 32/11 |
| 59/21 61/23 62/13 66/24 $68 / 18$ 70/14 72/25 74/5 | 149/22 152/9 152/18 | 91/12 94/14 95/22 98/18 |  | 12th [2] 69/23 178/16 |
| 78/6 78/18 82/19 | 153/10 153/21 154/2 | 98/24 99/3 99/8 99/16 |  | 13 [9] 41/5 64/25 69/20 |
| 83/2 84/11 87/3 87/10 | 155/13 155/16 155/19 | 99/25 100/10 100/23 | '10 [1] 156/20 | 84/8 94/10 94/15 94/ |
| 88/25 91/15 93/7 94/18 | 155/23 156/3 156/6 | 103/9 104/4 105/13 | '20 [1] 30/20 | 128/23 213/4 |
| 96/1 98/4 104/20 105/20 | 159/21 160/3 160/8 | 106/7 110/7 110/19 | '78 [1] 130/15 | 130 [1] 213/9 |
| 106/14 108/25 112/6 | 160/16 160/25 162/17 | 110/25 111/10 111/13 | 'til [2] 32/10 181/9 | 13189 [1] 38/7 |
| 112/14 113/16 116/15 | 163/3 163/9 163/24 | 118/2 118/7 119/23 | 'twelve [1] 141/16 | 13459 [1] 53/22 |
| 118/12 119/20 120/4 | 164/25 165/6 166/4 | 122/3 128/8 130/20 |  | 13755 [1] 160/9 |
| 122/7 127/18 128/4 | 169/13 169/17 169/20 | 136/7 138/4 140/2 |  | 13756 [1] 160/9 |
| 128/9 130/24 136/15 | 171/9 176/13 179/3 | 148/15 151/7 151/13 | -and [5] 2/4 2/7 2/ | 138 [1] 213/10 |
| 138/7 139/1 140/11 | 180/2 181/23 182/19 | 152/2 152/12 153/12 |  | 13th [6] 74/16 79/8 81/1 |
| 141/18 144/13 148/1 | 2018 | 153/15 156/12 160/4 |  | 81/2 81/12 81/16 |
| 148/8 148/19 153/23 | 188/16 188/18 192/21 | 166/8 168/23 169/10 | / | $\begin{aligned} & 14[5] 95 / 1595 / 2395 / 25 \\ & 96 / 2213 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}154 / 4 & 154 / 16 & 154 / 24 \\ 156 / 4 & 156 / 16 & 159 / 17\end{array}$ | 193/9 193/15 194/11 | 169/19 169/21 169/25 | /s/Stephen [1] 213/24 | 14.1.18 [1] 61/10 |
| $17$ | 198/19 198/22 199/14 | 170/4 174/14 177/10 | 0 | 14.3.169.1 [1] 65/20 |
| 161/7 162/3 164/9 | 199/19 199/21 200/8 | 177/12 178/9 178/23 |  | 148 [1] 213/11 |
| 165/15 | 200/13 200/17 200/25 | 180/3 187/23 188/1 | 000 [2] 63/7 63/12 | 14th [1] 127/11 |
| BY MS. McGOVERN | 201/13 201/21 205/13 | 194/14 194/19 194/24 | 00046664 [1] 94/11 | 15 [38] 31/22 99/18 |
| [15] 5/12 6/22 8/2 8/6 | 205/17 205/22 206/5 | 195/8 195/13 195/15 | 0500 [1] 66/8 | 101/20 102/2 102/3 |
| 12/9 16/20 26/7 27/1 | 207/17 207/23 210/12 | 196/1 197/6 197/12 | 064409 [3] 83/18 87/5 | 102/17 103/5 107/23 |
| 29/3 118/19 168/25 | 210/15 210/25 | 197/24 198/9 199/15 | 87/1 | 108/3 108/8 108/10 |
| 169/6 169/11 170/5 | MR. KASS: [2] 3/20 | 199/20 200/5 203/7 | 07 [1] 40/2 | 108/11 108/18 113/1 |
|  | 169/4 | 205/4 205/7 205/10 | 08248988 [6] 98/6 105/8 | 114/1 114/1 114/4 114/5 |
| BY THE COURT: [2] | MR. RIVERO: [31] | 205/16 205/18 206/24 | 105/23 106/6 133/24 | 114/6 114/22 119/21 |
| 125/7 157/17 | 3/19 32/15 32/17 32/20 | 207/2 207/4 207/9 | 134/8 | 119/21 122/10 122/11 |
| BY THE WITNESS: | 33/4 101/13 101/17 | 207/13 207/15 208/10 | 093344 [1] 87/19 | 123/9 123/12 123/15 |
| [1] 18/4 | 101/22 102/1 102/6 | 208/14 210/4 210/10 | 1 | 123/23 124/1 124/3 |
| COURTROOM | 102/9 102/15 102/19 | 211/4 | 1 billion [1] | 124/6 125/11 126/5 |
| SECURITY OFFICER: |  | THE C | 1,100,111 [2] 136/17 | $128 / 14128 / 20 \quad 131 / 12$ |
| [3] 167/25 168/7 168/10 |  | $18 / 142 / 2255 / 1668 / 14$ | 146/5 | 156 [1] 213/12 |
| MR. BRENNER: [15] | $\begin{array}{lll} 150 / 15 & 150 / 24 & 151 / 6 \\ 166 / 19 & 166 / 22 & 167 / 10 \end{array}$ | 78/11 87/7 | 10 [19] 42/21 76/21 77/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 5 6}[\mathbf{1 ]} \\ & \mathbf{1 5 7}[213 / 12 \\ & \hline 1] \end{aligned}$ |
| 3/11 91/2 92/15 92/19 | 167/17 167/20 168/2 | THE COURT: [444] | 78/5 122/25 123/10 | 159 [1] $212 / 9$ |
| $9 / 2 / 21$ 97/25 152/10 $153 / 1153 / 4154 / 15$ | 168/12 174/21 175/5 | THE LAW CLERK: | 123/16 123/16 128/22 | 15th [1] 178/15 |
| 153/1 153/4 154/15 | MR. ROCHE: [1] 3/13 | [2] 179/16 179/19 | 129/3 130/6 131/3 | 16 [7] 67/17 89/2 110/12 |
| 206/13 20 | MS. MARKOE: [39] | THE WITNESS: [80] | 134/20 135/20 146/12 | 110/18 110/20 112/5 |
|  | 3/22 7/14 150/17 150/20 | 12/3 12/7 14/25 15/4 | 153/12 153/17 155/3 | 213/6 |
| [167] $3 / 9$ $7 / 22$ | 151/23 152/21 152/24 | 15/6 18/3 28/19 32/8 | 212/23 | 16,000 [1] 192/1 |
| 28/17 31/25 33/14 34/5 | 153/3 183/10 183/15 | 32/24 39/18 39/20 41/23 | 100 [2] $2 / 32 / 6$ | 160 [1] 213/13 |
| 34/14 34/18 35/13 37/17 | 184/1 184/4 184/11 | 42/2 42/24 44/9 45/14 | 100-dollar [1] | 1650 [3] 90/20 91/17 |
| 38/2 38/25 39/14 40/7 | 185/4 185/7 185/10 | 45/18 45/22 47/2 47/5 | 1000 [4] 2/12 2/15 2/18 | 93/9 |
| 40/23 43/14 43/24 47/15 | 185/13 185/19 186/17 | 47/7 47/10 47/13 47/16 |  | 168 [1] 212/10 |
| 52/4 52/6 52/14 53/12 | 187/20 189/9 189/12 | 47/18 48/11 52/8 52/21 | 10504 [1] $2 / 9$ | 16th [5] 63/23 64/7 |
| 53/18 53/25 57/8 57/10 | 189/19 190/6 190/20 | 57/4 57/6 57/22 59/20 | 10th [2] 143/24 145/12 | 65/24 67/2 90/5 |
| 57/20 58/10 58/20 61/21 | 191/5 191/15 191/25 | 66/22 68/16 73/13 73/17 | 11 [17] 41/8 79/21 81/6 | 17 [9] 64/24 68/1 102/6 |
| 62/4 70/11 72/7 72/10 | 192/3 202/22 203/1 | 73/20 73/23 74/2 78/12 | 81/11 81/14 82/14 82/15 | 117/21 118/11 128/10 |
| 72/21 75/3 76/10 76/12 | 203/19 203/21 205/19 | 81/14 81/16 82/25 84/3 | $788 / 21$ | 132/5 187/2 213/7 |
| 76/17 76/21 79/20 80/22 | 207/14 207/18 208/24 | 101/8 106/13 108/9 | 88/24 94/2 95/4 128/23 | 1700 [1] 182/23 |
| 81/7 81/24 82/2 82/4 | 209/10 209/14 | 108/13 108/15 108/19 | 147/22 212/24 | 172.26.0.1 [1] 65/18 |
| 82/10 84/10 85/12 85/21 | MS. McGOVERN: | 112/13 112/20 112/22 | 112 [1] 213/6 | 172.26.1.36 [1] 65/19 |
| 86/16 86/21 87/9 88/8 | [146] 3/17 4/11 4/16 | 112/24 113/4 113/6 | 118 [1] 213/7 | 17th [12] 57/25 63/6 |
| 88/11 88/16 88/19 90/23 | 4/22 5/10 6/19 7/18 | 113/12 113/14 116/13 | 11th [1] 178/13 | 63/11 63/16 64/15 64/18 |
| 91/7 91/21 92/3 92/11 | 25/25 26/3 26/21 31/19 | 119/25 121/9 121/14 | 12 [21] 41/6 42/3 42/6 | 69/12 90/17 93/10 93/22 |
| 92/25 94/7 94/9 95/12 | 35/16 37/24 39/4 40/9 | 138/21 138/25 140/9 |  | 94/23 97/3 |
| 95/14 98/1 98/3 98/16 | 40/11 40/18 41/22 4 | 141/5 141/9 141/13 |  | 18 [7] 121/3 121/19 |

\{WITNESSNAME\}

| 1 | 2015 [7] 37/1 78/9 78/14 | 176/15 | 56403 [2] 110/13 111/7 | 145/4 145/14 145/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18... [5] 122/4 122/6 | 78/20 79/1 129/16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30,000 [1] } 190 / 12 \\ & \text { 31st [1] } 9 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \mathbf{5 6 4 0 5}[\mathbf{1}] & 112 / 9 \\ \mathbf{5 6 4 0 7}[2] & 110 / 13 \end{array}$ |  |
| 133/13 154/9 213/8 |  | 32 million [1] 108/21 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 6 4 0 7}[2] \\ & \mathbf{5 8 7 9 0}[1] \end{aligned} 110 / 13$ | 1] $32 / 11$ |
| 18-80176-CIV-BB [1] | $127 / 2 \quad 128 / 11 \quad 130 / 8$ | $33 \text { [1] } 212 / 5$ | $58792[1] 89 / 7$ | a590 [1] 67/24 |
| 18th [2] 12 | 131/1 | 33131 [2] $2 / 42 / 7$ | 58793 [1] 88/12 | Abacus [9] 79/14 79/15 |
|  | 2018 [1] 164/21 | 33134 [4] 2/12 2/15 2/18 | 588811 [1] 95/16 | 87/25 89/3 93/14 93/18 |
| 130/21 130/23 213/9 | 2019 [2] 1/8 213/22 | 2/21 | 588832 [1] 95/16 | 93/19 93/20 97/6 |
| 195 [1] 148/12 | 2020 [6] 120/19 120/22 | 333 [1] 2/9 | 59 [1] 212/20 | AbacusOffshore.com |
| 196 [1] 148/12 | 125/18 127/5 136/21 | 33401 [1] 1/18 | 5:00 o'clock [2] 176/18 | 96 |
| 1998 [2] 78/14 78/17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { 136/23 } \\ \text { 20:04:55 [3] } 64 / 864 / 10 \end{array}$ | 336 [2] 121/2 35 [1] 212/14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 176/22 } \\ \mathbf{5 : 0 5}[1] \quad 177 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $27 / 5$ |
| 19th [4] 117/7 117/11 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline 20.0 \\ 66 / 8 \end{array}$ | $360 \text { [1] 117/22 }$ | 5:15 [2] 151/15 151/15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ability } \\ 181 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 20th [1] 96/3 | 363 [1] 118/14 | 5th [3] 208/7 208/16 | able [25] 13/1 17/14 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1: 04[3 \\ 63 / 16 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 21 [6] 41/11 148/11 | 366 [1] 121/9 | 208/20 | 23/24 24/20 29/13 30/4 |
| 1:04:54 [1] 64/1 | 148/14 148/16 148/18 | 376 [1] 117/22 |  | 34/12 44/18 55/25 76 |
| 1:04:55 [1] 64/10 | 213/11 | 3768 [1] |  | 77/21 91/5 123/2 126/1 |
| 1:29 [1] 32/11 | 21:04:54 [2] 63/23 64/1 | 39 [4] 60/6 61/14 63/19 | 60 [2] 59/22 209/10 | 130/5 158/10 170/24 |
| 1:30 [2] 32/3 32/10 | 21st [3] 89/20 178/13 | 212/15 | 60,000 [2] 203/8 203/ | 8/7 178/10 184/18 |
| 1:55 [1] 56/25 | 178/14 | 393888 [1] 94/4 | 62 [1] 212/21 | 187/16 195/4 198/11 |
| 1st [2] 136/21 136/23 | 22 [9] 155/16 156/7 | 39888 [1] 94/19 | 69775 [1] 130/1 | 199/3 205/23 |
| 2 | 169/14 170/6 182/8 | 3:04 | 145/4 | 135/17 213/21 |
| 20 [5] 59/5 125/18 | 12 | 4 |  | above-entitled [1] |
| 137/24 138/6 213/10 |  | 40 [1] 212 | 70 |  |
| 20,000 [1] 203/16 | 42/24 69/7 175/7 175/10 | 400 [2] 63/24 187/2 | 17/11 17/21 18/13 18/15 | absolute [2] |
| 2000 [1] 141/15 | 178/16 179/10 179/13 | 41 [1] 61/16 | 18/19 19/8 19/23 25/12 | absolutely [8] 31/5 33/6 |
| 2009 [3] 10/22 78/17 | 23 [3] 160/2 160/7 | 43 [1] 63/14 | 701 [1] $1 / 18$ | 44/4 99/5 166/24 195/24 |
|  | 213/13 | 4392 [1] 102/4 | 702 [1] 210/18 | 196/16 196/2 |
|  | 23291 [4] 121/20 122/9 | 44 [3] 63/6 64/24 212/17 | 74 [2] 17/20 17/23 | abundance [1] 84/21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 714 / 15 \quad 14 / 1815 \\ & 15 / 2116 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | 132/10 154/10 | 4404 [1] 102/7 | 75 [1] 212/22 | abused [1] 16/24 |
|  | 23292 [2] 121/20 132/10 | 4406 [1] 102/10 | 75,000 [1] 209/8 | acceptable [1] 150/20 |
| 31/8 34/23 37/15 40/1 | 23rd [3] 41/3 68/20 | 45 [2] 44/22 62/14 | 75d61eff-a590-4649-ba5 | access [41] 10/25 13/2 |
| $41 / 2151 / 2452 / 252 / 7$ | 74/24 | 46 [1] 63/9 | c-b6bd9a047e2e [1] | 13/7 22/25 23/20 23/20 |
| 56/3 66/4 66/14 | 24' [1] 140/21 | 46670 [1] 91/8 | 67/24 | 23/23 23/24 24/12 24/14 |
|  | 241 [1] 142/4 | 47 [1] 62/17 | 78 [3] 17/20 17/24 | 24/18 24/22 28/11 37/12 |
| 69/13 69/23 70/18 71/5 | 2413 [1] 34/15 | 48A1 [2] 134/3 139/16 | 212/23 | 72/6 76/2 76/4 76/4 |
|  | 2414 [2] 143/5 144/10 | 49 [5] 60/15 60/21 60/22 | 7G [3] 139/7 141/10 | 106/18 106/25 107/6 |
| 89/20 95/7 110/21 | 2415 [4] 141/1 142/2 | 61/12 63/2 | 141/14 | 107/20 122/24 123/2 |
|  | 142/5 142/5 | 4:15:12 [1] 93/25 | 7th [1] 70/18 | 123/9 123/12 123/15 |
| $144 / 1 \quad 144 / 3 \quad 144 / 8$ | 2416 [1] 34/15 | 4:21 [1] 93/10 |  | 123/24 124/6 127/3 |
|  | 24th [11] 34/23 37/15 | 4:30 [1] 166/14 |  | 129/3 130/6 131/10 |
| $14$ | 51/24 52/2 52/11 66/3 | 4:39 [1] 166/14 | 80,000 [2] 192/5 192/5 | 131/15 131/20 134/16 |
|  | 67/2 72/1 72/4 110/21 | 4:40 [1] 166/11 | 800 [2] 198/24 199/5 | 135/18 159/6 165/23 |
|  | 157/5 | 4:57 [1] 177/5 | 80176 [1] 3/4 | 199/2 199/22 |
| $41 / 21 \quad 68 / 2074 / 2478 / 8$ | 25 [6] 59/9 60/6 60/7 | 4A8 [1] 139/16 | 82 [1] 60/1 | accessed [2] 42/5 143/3 |
| 78/20 79/6 79/9 79/17 | $64 / 565 / 13119 / 10$ | 5 | 821,000 [3] 157/12 | accessed/modified [1] |
| 79/19 80/24 83/17 87/24 |  | 50 [1] 61/12 | 821,050 [4] 12/25 | accessible [3] 13/22 |
| 88/6 96/19 97/15 112/16 | $26 \text { [4] 50/18 51/18 65/12 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 0}[1] \\ & \mathbf{5 0 0}[1] 64 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 156/17 157/2 158/2 | 137/7 139/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}113 / 11 & 113 / 22 & 117 / 7 \\ 117 / 11 & 117 / 16157 / 5\end{array}$ |  | 50985 [1] 72/22 | 86 [1] 48/13 | accessing [2] 123/19 |
| 117/11 117/16 157/5 | 27 [1] 66/1 | 50986 [4] 83/9 83/13 | 88 [1] 212/24 | 158/19 |
|  | 28 [5] 1/8 50/24 51/21 | 83/24 143/20 | 8th [11] 77/23 81/1 | accident [1] 201/5 |
| 2014 [45] 42/21 42/24 | 59/9 66/5 | 50989 [4] 72/23 133/23 | 81/12 81/14 84/7 98/8 | accidentally [1] 81/7 |
| $\left[\begin{array}{c} 2014[45] \\ 43 / 143 / 645 / 848 / 20 \end{array}\right.$ | 28,000 [1] 209/8 | 147/11 153/25 | 127/13 138/17 147/20 | accommodate [5] 119/5 |
| 51/11 58/1 62/2 63/6 | 2800 [2] 2/3 2/6 | 50990 [1] 156/8 | 147/21 155/8 | 150/12 152/19 167/2 |
| 63/11 63/16 63/23 64/7 | 28th [1] 131/1 | 51002 [1] 156/8 | 9 |  |
| 64/15 64/19 64/24 65/24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 29th }[4] \\ \text { 178/24 } \\ 179 / 15 / 15 \end{gathered}$ | $52[1] 212 / 18$ | 90 [1] 48/16 | $91 / 1991 / 2491 / 2592 / 1$ |
| 65/25 66/11 67/1 67/2 | 2:02 [1] 56/25 | $53 \text { [2] 63/11 63/16 }$ | 91 [1] 212/25 | 92/9 92/16 92/17 93/15 |
| 67/9 68/23 69/8 69/12 | 2:02 [1] [1] 101/10 | 54 [1] 212/19 | 93344 [2] 89/20 89/23 | 145/24 146/1 |
| 69/25 70/4 71/10 89/2 90/5 90/17 93/10 93/23 | 2nd [3] $2 / 3$ 2/6 213/22 2.48 | 54388 [1] 99/19 | 94 [1] 213/4 | accountant [2] 195/13 |
| 94/23 96/3 96/18 96/24 | 3 | 54442 [1] 99/19 55 [3] 102/4 102/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 5} \text { [1] } 213 / 5 \\ & \mathbf{9 9}[1] \\ & 60 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | 195/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97 / 3 \text { 97/8 98/12 104/22 } \\ & 105 / 8 \quad 105 / 23 \quad 160 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 30 [3] 66/12 176/14 | $\begin{aligned} & 102 / 10 \\ & \mathbf{5 6 1}[1] 1 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { 9th [8] } & 143 / 25 & 144 / 3 \\ 144 / 8 & 144 / 16 & 145 / 2 \end{array}$ | $70 / 870 / 10$ |


| A |
| :---: |
| accuse [1] 105/8 |
| acknowledge [5] 13 |
| 143/7 144/15 144/20 |
| 178/5 |
| acknowledges [1] 144/7 |
| acquire [2] 105/23 |
| 186/23 |
| across [3] 67/20 68/4 |
| 203/10 |
| act [3] 8/14 83/9 83/25 |
| acted [1] 8/12 |
| action [1] 147/6 |
| acts [1] 23/20 |
| actual [8] 85/12 100/21 |
| 126/12 132/3 136/12 |
| 158/9 184/21 193/4 |

actually [52] 4/6 13/12
14/3 16/1 41/7 41/8 42/5 42/6 42/10 42/13 53/13
54/12 55/3 66/4 68/22
69/15 70/11 70/25 71/12
73/7 78/14 78/25 88/5
89/23 103/12 111/14
111/17 113/7 115/21
117/8 117/12 117/15
134/7 149/18 149/25
152/25 156/8 157/19
158/6 161/22 163/19
169/21 170/2 173/10
178/3 181/6 183/15
185/4 192/23 195/4
197/7 202/23
add [5] 64/1 64/10 104/4
111/14 181/24
added [1] 23/16
addition [2] 145/17 196/11
additional [4] 10/11
42/8 149/12 206/25
Additionally [1] 89/14 address [33] 9/22 9/25
10/4 10/14 13/15 13/17
14/12 19/17 20/8 20/9
20/15 20/18 20/24 21/3
49/7 49/12 49/18 50/13 51/3 51/7 51/15 59/6 101/15 119/3 124/7 149/15 163/15 164/7 167/11 175/1 187/24 194/17 200/8
addresses [69] 9/4 9/8 9/19 9/23 10/8 10/16 13/1 13/6 13/10 13/13 13/17 13/23 13/24 14/9 14/12 14/13 17/11 17/14 17/15 17/17 17/21 18/7 18/20 19/7 19/12 19/13 19/25 20/14 21/2 21/22 22/18 23/12 24/6 24/22 25/10 26/16 27/3 27/12 27/14 27/15 28/5 28/7 29/5 31/17 50/1 77/20 77/25 114/7 122/25 123/15 123/17 124/2 124/7 124/14 124/16

124/17 124/21 125/1 127/21 135/20 141/10 171/17 172/7 172/9 172/20 172/21 209/2 209/7 209/16
addressing [1] 27/12 adds [1] 64/20
adjust [1] 63/21
admissibility [2] 118/9 184/3
admission [3] 6/18 7/20 118/4
admissions [2] 183/4 186/7
admit [3] 40/19 44/15 162/19
admitted [31] 7/24 34/10 35/18 39/5 52/19 54/4 59/2 59/2 62/11 72/14 75/9 78/3 84/25 85/6 85/14 88/23 91/13 93/3 94/16 95/23 104/6 112/2 112/4 118/8 122/5 130/22 148/17 149/13 156/13 160/6 170/3 admitting [2] 7/23 82/15 Adobe [7] 40/3 41/2 41/14 41/21 68/20 71/5 71/10
advance [1] 182/14 advised [1] 184/16 AES [1] 11/19 Africa [1] 164/20 after [33] $8 / 18 \quad 13 / 20$ 14/17 17/2 17/20 17/23 24/1 28/25 30/19 32/11 41/13 44/17 56/25 58/6 64/6 66/14 69/11 87/23 97/1 101/10 105/8 121/14 123/15 131/3 142/14 150/13 153/12 157/12 166/14 177/5 179/12 191/24 198/2
afternoon [12] $4 / 35 / 13$ 5/14 33/8 33/14 33/17 50/3 169/1 169/2 173/17 175/3 176/21
again [53] 18/1 34/8 41/23 42/2 42/22 48/17 53/14 54/19 54/22 58/9 62/23 63/14 66/7 75/1 78/11 78/22 79/2 84/5 87/8 97/21 101/19 102/16 104/17 115/17 120/16 121/16 129/6 130/5 136/24 142/20 143/14 144/9 144/12 144/19 145/15 145/16 145/22 146/8 146/15 146/19 146/24 147/16 156/24 164/15 169/1 186/5 189/2 196/4 196/11 198/10 199/22 201/15 201/22
against [4] 5/7 12/14 115/10 174/5
aged [2] 89/7 89/11 agenda [1] 188/4 agent [2] 83/10 83/25 ago [8] 42/16 74/23
132/12 140/18 143/16 158/14 170/10 187/9 agree [30] 23/5 24/17 54/7 54/9 64/25 69/10 70/19 70/23 70/24 80/11 85/4 85/5 85/17 130/3 133/20 134/23 135/2 135/4 135/5 151/3 165/12 179/8 180/22 180/23 189/15 195/4 198/11 202/14 204/21 210/10
agreed [5] 4/16 100/23 174/23 184/8 202/4 agreement [7] 118/10 152/8 154/1 170/15 170/17 170/20 175/17 agreements [5] 77/4 171/2 171/3 171/6 171/15
agrees [1] 150/24 Ah [1] 38/11
ahead [11] 57/9 68/6 87/2 113/15 127/16 163/23 177/11 180/20 187/4 187/5 208/23
AL [1] 1/3
alert [2] 143/14 143/14
algorithm [4] 14/4 14/7
24/21 29/5
algorithmically [1] 158/10
algorithms [1] 14/5
Algorythm [1] 131/13
alive [1] 29/16
allegedly [2] 34/22 144/6
allow [21] 15/25 16/1
16/8 24/21 28/18 29/4 30/12 40/14 44/17 48/9 50/6 77/12 77/25 106/8 106/12 123/17 140/3 146/15 192/17 194/1 202/15
allowed [2] 129/2 170/17
allows [4] 22/25 23/21 24/4 170/15
almost [2] 38/11 184/5 along [3] $155 / 18176 / 3$ 187/17
already [9] 22/10 31/10 81/22 128/5 136/9 143/3 188/3 191/24 205/21
also [34] $8 / 20 \quad 15 / 8 \quad 15 / 24$ 54/14 61/14 63/12 63/15 63/17 68/19 74/6 77/16 87/22 94/23 104/4 104/7 121/23 136/20 137/5 143/3 145/8 146/11 146/23 147/14 163/18 172/10 177/19 177/20

178/12 178/12 180/15 190/2 200/19 203/21 209/16
also -- I [1] 178/12 alter [3] 16/8 62/20 193/19
altered [1] 41/7
alternate [1] 203/24
alternative [3] 173/4
173/5 204/3
although [3] 63/21
193/3 196/12
always [2] 137/8 189/12
am [12] 6/4 16/21 22/17
49/1 56/8 63/4 83/12
144/9 153/1 159/5
178/13 187/10
Amanda [2] 2/10 3/17
ameliorate [1] 27/2
ameliorative [1] 172/11
America [1] 93/21
American [1] 90/20
Amir [1] 16/3
among [1] 147/2
amongst [3] 176/6
188/10 211/2
amount [5] 12/24 16/17
28/21 106/24 175/6
amounts [1] 158/6
analogy [2] 13/10 13/13
analysis [6] 19/20 19/22
182/7 193/10 203/15 203/22
analyze [4] 55/25 172/21
205/17 205/23
analyzing [1] 27/11
Andres [3] 2/16 3/19 177/18
Andreson [1] 123/1
Andrew [3] 2/5 3/11 22/6
angry [1] $165 / 22$
annotated [1] 169/15
annotation [1] 169/18
announcements [1]
132/24
another [28] 17/23
24/16 28/5 31/22 46/25
63/22 64/6 64/17 64/20
67/8 68/17 97/19 98/5
112/19 119/1 123/19
128/10 151/17 172/2
172/12 175/1 175/25
177/4 177/23 196/1
197/6 207/7 209/5
answer [18] 45/10 46/9 46/19 46/21 47/8 48/9
55/10 57/11 59/18 59/19 66/20 66/21 119/17
128/1 135/12 140/3 164/4 165/25
answered [9] 43/9 47/24
48/2 48/8 53/9 53/11
124/20 128/8 159/14
answering [1] 56/18
answers [1] 105/5
anti [1] 17/7
anti-child [1] 17/7
anticipate [1] 207/9
anticipating [1] 184/14
antipornography [1] 17/8
any [89] $5 / 217 / 208 / 14$
18/7 18/8 18/19 19/10
19/17 19/18 19/22 19/22
23/3 25/12 27/2 28/3
28/4 28/6 28/9 30/5
30/16 35/15 37/12 39/3
40/8 44/2 44/7 48/21
48/22 50/6 52/16 54/2
58/22 62/6 71/16 74/8
75/20 78/21 79/16 88/20
91/11 94/13 95/21 99/24
103/1 109/16 112/24
112/25 118/1 122/2
122/13 123/9 126/6
127/19 128/14 130/19
132/4 137/2 138/3
148/14 149/12 151/18
151/20 156/11 159/5
159/7 159/7 159/9 159/9
159/10 159/11 171/14
172/3 172/4 172/11
172/11 176/20 177/22
180/19 183/24 184/18
188/13 190/1 192/16
194/8 196/8 201/11
201/15 208/15 210/11
anybody [4] 48/5 152/15
156/1 176/22
anymore [5] 17/3 51/10
98/10 116/21 179/25
anyone [8] 4/8 17/3 43/5
43/10 53/7 112/25
167/23 168/20
anything [23] $16 / 22$
30/17 34/9 73/11 76/8
88/6 99/7 115/13 115/25
134/19 158/23 159/3
159/7 167/11 170/20
171/14 171/14 172/20
173/19 181/22 192/20
194/8 205/3
anyway [2] 90/3 107/18
anywhere [1] 159/18
aol.com [1] 1/19
apart [3] 12/11 17/4 17/4
apologies [1] $12 / 8$
apologize [10] 37/22
47/7 47/16 67/13 75/3
91/1 111/13 112/25
113/4 208/10
apology [2] 174/1
174/11
apparently [1] 168/5
appear [11] 55/4 55/8
55/13 55/14 55/23 65/22
67/22 174/24 193/21
202/15 208/15
appearances [3] 1/14
1/21 3/7

## A

appearing [1] 153/5
appears [6] 44/6 55/6
111/3 167/12 197/14
197/18
appended [1] 60/17
applicable [1] 142/11
application [2] 14/20
14/20
appreciate [11] 124/21
166/23 174/11 174/24
175/12 176/23 180/14
194/19 198/1 204/22 209/18
approach [21] 29/17 34/5 37/17 43/14 52/4 53/14 53/16 58/10 61/21 72/7 76/10 88/8 90/23 94/7 95/12 98/16 108/5 117/18 121/16 130/11 148/6
approaching [1] 181/25 appropriate [2] 175/10 189/5
approximately [1] 64/25
April [6] 58/2 122/21
127/13 128/11 131/1
142/17
April 18th [2] 122/21
128/11
April 2013 [2] 58/2 142/17
April 28th [1] 131/1
April 8th [1] 127/13
areas [1] 182/7
aren't [3] 12/18 68/25 201/14
argue [1] 71/4
argument [2] 154/18 182/13
argumentative [2] 110/8 119/23
Armonk [1] 2/9
around [11] 12/20 17/19
75/23 143/4 156/19
165/17 172/19 173/3
192/5 193/18 208/3
arrange [1] 107/25
arrangement [1] 146/6
arrive [1] 28/11
arrived [1] $64 / 24$
arrives [1] 64/7
article [1] 117/22
Articles [1] 96/14
artificially [1] 193/1
ascribe [1] 194/5
ashamed [1] $25 / 3$
aside [5] 16/15 43/13
79/5 206/21 207/24
ask [41] 5/5 6/19 6/24
7/18 9/16 13/4 20/7 26/8 53/7 55/17 65/7 77/6 80/15 85/25 86/13 87/8 91/2 108/7 125/25 140/2 149/12 150/8 151/24 152/3 163/9 163/12

163/13 163/15 163/18 163/24 164/6 165/12
165/12 166/19 169/12 171/1 176/1 176/8 185/24 188/6 196/9
asked [20] 47/24 48/8 53/9 56/21 74/9 74/23 75/23 103/19 105/3 124/12 128/8 153/5 156/24 170/14 171/21 172/10 173/3 188/9 199/7 199/14
asking [17] 4/8 4/14 9/24 12/4 59/16 70/8 85/23 91/21 124/6 140/6 140/6 141/8 144/14 161/25 184/24 186/24 201/14
aspect [5] 135/19 135/20 143/15 156/19 185/5 aspects [2] 11/24 28/24 assassination [1] 15/25 asserted [3] 103/16 103/24 104/6
assets [10] 11/14 16/18
131/11 143/23 144/3 145/10 145/18 145/21 147/2 171/7
associate [1] 14/13 associated [11] 14/14 14/16 38/19 38/22 39/15 76/14 138/11 143/23 145/10 190/8 202/3 association [5] 18/6 18/11 18/18 96/14 96/15 assume [7] 4/8 76/22 137/19 150/24 151/11 151/15 160/2
assuming [3] 64/17 136/13 183/24
assure [1] 174/4
athletically [1] 36/2 ATO [6] 54/23 182/20 184/5 184/24 185/22 203/4
attached [8] 34/25 89/12 89/15 90/20 96/11 110/15 121/23 146/6 attaches [1] 96/13 attachments [2] 54/21 55/1
attacking [1] 77/17
attempt [1] 27/5
attempted [1] 171/6
attempting [1] 54/20
attending [1] 164/20
attention [5] 44/22
168/16 175/6 175/11 198/1
attorney [3] 192/18 195/15 195/16 attorneys [2] 168/1 193/23
audience [1] 168/16
audio [2] 184/21 184/25
audit [3] 104/25 105/3

| $\mid 105 / 6$ |  | 139 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| August [14] | $10 / 22$ | $13 / 21$ |

14/15 15/19 16/12 41/3 68/20 177/9 179/14 179/17 208/4 208/7 208/16 208/20
August 2010 [3] 10/22 14/15 16/12
August 23rd [2] 41/3 68/20
August 5th [2] 208/16 208/20
Australia [6] 30/18 36/12 36/25 188/9 198/4 203/5
Australian [13] 64/13 100/2 100/16 104/22 104/25 105/7 126/1 183/2 183/3 184/15 187/10 203/9 205/14 authentic [17] 36/3 36/5 36/7 36/8 37/9 70/4 71/21 71/22 73/6 73/10 73/14 73/21 74/9 74/16 74/19 74/21 81/3
authentically [1] 36/9 authenticated [2] 61/11 73/2
authenticity [5] 35/23
36/1 37/8 77/18 156/9
Authority [1] 100/3
authorization [7] 195/1 195/6 197/2 199/16 199/25 200/11 200/12
authorizations [4] 199/7
199/8 201/16 201/18
autonomous [2] 12/16 129/7
availability [4] $151 / 25$
177/20 178/18 178/19
available [15] 43/2 91/6
99/14 117/7 150/6
152/14 152/23 176/4
177/22 179/10 179/10
179/11 179/11 204/7
208/8
avoid [3] 30/13 172/5 190/24
aware [3] 38/18 117/6 201/15
away [2] 18/12 196/10

## B

b6bd9a047e2e [1] 67/24
ba5c [1] 67/24
back [69] 4/2 24/9 29/14
30/16 31/8 31/24 32/1
32/2 32/17 37/22 43/12
50/16 57/3 57/11 57/12 60/5 62/23 64/24 65/4 66/7 71/3 87/11 88/14 89/15 90/12 96/23 98/15 105/24 110/16 112/19 116/19 119/6 119/17 119/18 126/4 132/5 133/22 136/6 136/13

139/22 141/5 141/6 141/15 142/5 143/5 145/5 150/14 150/23 151/18 153/21 161/2 166/11 167/3 176/11 177/7 178/9 178/14 178/17 179/12 179/12 179/13 185/2 187/1 196/20 198/25 203/5 204/18 205/23 208/2
background [1] 33/24 bad [3] 15/20 128/3 189/10
badly [1] 54/16 bank [10] 90/13 91/16 91/24 91/25 92/1 92/16 93/8 93/15 94/20 94/21 bar [1] 5/6
bartering [1] 191/15
based [12] 19/10 20/16 59/17 64/22 79/17
106/22 107/10 125/17
139/5 162/22 200/23
209/17
baseline [1] 50/8
basement [4] 36/13
36/15 36/18 37/13
basically [4] 11/18 23/17
36/12 126/22
basis [6] 58/24 59/15 80/20 103/11 111/9 163/19
Bates [27] 34/15 38/6
53/21 72/22 83/8 88/12
91/8 94/10 95/16 95/18
99/19 102/7 110/12
110/15 111/6 117/22
118/13 121/19 121/25
130/14 141/1 143/19
148/11 156/8 160/8
192/4 205/14
BB [1] $1 / 2$
BD [1] 134/2
Beach [2] 1/7 1/18
bear [3] 65/10 114/8 128/18
bearer [5] 88/1 88/3
157/19 157/21 162/6
bearing [9] 38/6 72/22
88/12 91/8 94/10 95/15
99/18 117/21 121/19
bears [1] 110/12
became [1] 131/25
because [79] $9 / 10$ 12/5
13/24 14/17 15/21 16/11
16/13 16/23 19/14 20/3
20/11 21/7 31/2 42/3
42/6 42/12 44/15 51/17
54/23 55/21 59/20 63/21
68/25 69/6 69/14 71/9
73/8 78/10 84/22 94/21
95/18 102/12 103/11 103/25 104/5 104/6
106/3 111/16 113/8
119/6 121/24 129/15
131/25 150/5 151/24

152/14 153/4 156/24
158/6 159/4 164/17
168/2 168/3 170/1
173/10 176/5 176/14 176/17 181/19 182/9
182/10 182/10 186/1
190/2 193/2 193/22
195/21 198/1 198/6
198/10 199/16 201/2
201/23 203/11 203/14
204/2 205/20 208/3
208/15
become [2] 136/10
193/13
before [44] $1 / 114 / 65 / 1$
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belief [1] 172/4
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17/24 27/17 28/6 36/20
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78/14 79/23 82/8 84/14
84/16 84/20 87/18 88/3
98/20 99/9 110/3 113/13
120/21 127/4 156/24
158/24 159/2 159/5
165/8 170/10 175/14
185/20 187/20 190/22
190/23 192/1 195/10
195/18 196/7 197/13
199/10
believing [1] 56/10
belong [2] 51/9 51/11
below [1] 122/9
bench [1] 176/5
beneficial [2] 83/11 84/1
beneficiaries [10] 12/23
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| B | 115 | C | 29 | 101/20 101/23 102/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| besides [1] 126/18 |  | C-a-l-i-b-r-i [1] 78/13 | causing [1] 14/6 caution [1] 84/21 | $\begin{aligned} & 102 / 17130 / 3152 \\ & 171 / 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| best [4] 28/23 172/21 | blocks [8] 18/18 19/23 | calculated [1] 107/9 | $\text { caveat [2] } 43 / 2544 / 15$ | clearer [1] 204/5 |
| better [1] 14/5 | 123/5 123/9 123/16 |  | CD [1] 162/20 | clearly [3] 45/12 79/3 |
| between [11] 8/22 15/19 | 129/3 130/6 | calendars [1] 176/7 | cease [1] 168/21 | Clematis [1] 1/18 |
| 30/16 67/8 77/17 83/3 | $\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{b l o g}[7] & 148 / 20 & 148 / 2 \\ 148 / 22 & 148 / 22 & 149 / 8 \end{array}$ | Calibri [3] 78/10 78/12 | celebrating [1] 211/6 central [1] 8/13 | Clematis [1] $1 / 18$ clerk [1] 82/17 |
| 89/2 156/20 178/18 181/13 199/19 | $\begin{aligned} & 1489 / 22148 / 22 \\ & 149 / 8149 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | $78 / 14$ | Century [2] 175/7 | $\text { client [4] } 50 / 556 / 18$ |
| 181/13 199/19 | Bloom [3] 179/23 | called [9] 50 | 175/10 | 180/9 199/22 |
| $\text { big [3] } 24 / 25$ | 179/25 188/8 | $135 / 2513 t$ | certain [5] 29/16 | client's [1] 180/10 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Ogg } 91 \\ 204 / 15 \end{gathered}\right.$ | blurry [1] 121/15 | $\begin{aligned} & 155 / 251 \\ & 206 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | 141/24 170/15 171/7 | climbing [1] 191/8 |
| billion [3] 22/15 110/6 | board [1] 160 | calling [2] 4/7 210/20 | certainly [6] | clip [1] 165/6 |
| 165/17 | bodies [1] | came [11] 54/11 54/12 |  | [1] 172/ |
| billions [2] 76/2 109/18 | body [4] 55/6 <br> 55/20 96/10 | 56/10 73/25 78/13 78/19 | Certificate [2] 96/13 | close [6] 5/8 29/23 $153 / 7 \text { 181/9 192/5 }$ |
| bit [10] 22/11 50/7 90/3 | Boies [3] 2/2 2/5 2/8 | 117/15 152/16 152/17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Certificate [2] 96/13 } \\ & 213 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | closed [1] 85/24 |
| 167/1 170/10 182/6 <br> 187/5 188/13 196/11 | bonded [5] 24/2 30/18 | $8 \text { 203/11 }$ | Certified [1] 213/19 | code [4] 27/21 27/22 |
| 187/5 188/13 196/11 | 107/25 125/17 125/21 | can't [24] 16/3 40/16 $55 / 272 / 684 / 2186 / 18$ | certify [1] 213/19 | 62/1 209/3 |
| Bitcoin [120] 6/1 | book [1] 190/15 | $104 / 6104 / 7 \quad 106 / 2$ | cetera [10] 15/24 | coin [5] 22/1198/8 |
| 6/4 6/5 6/7 7/9 8/8 9/4 | books [1] 190/16 | 107/6 107/16 113/23 | 121/3 125/15 128/22 | 105/8 106/4 134/8 |
| 9/22 9/23 10/1 10/5 10/7 | both [16] 15 | 123/22 124/19 125/25 | 167/2 | coins [6] 13/2 22/1 |
| 10/9 10/9 10/14 10/22 | 65/15 65/16 66/8 67/1 | $177 / 25$ 179/23 179/24 | 167/21 197/17 | 24/12 24/18 28/11 |
| 10/23 11/1 11/3 11/4 | 67/20 67/23 68/1 68/4 | 180/22 182/10 182/11 | CFO [1] 106/2 | collapsed [1] |
| 12/11 12/14 12/24 12/25 | 70/1 82/8 190/25 196/7 | 201/17 207/18 | chain [8] 23/16 23 | colleague [1] 169/3 |
| 13/9 13/15 13/20 13/23 | 210 | cannot [9] 19/25 20/10] | /20 23/20 23/24 | collect [1] 203/2 |
| 14/1 14/1 14/15 14/19 | le [1] 33/4 | 28/5 30/10 34/13 55/22 | 115/13 115/15 115/22 | collected [3] 199/2 |
| 15/12 15/13 16/4 16/8 | bottom [5] | 73/7 184/16 202/14 | challenge [1] 201/10 | 20 |
| 16/15 16/18 17/12 17/16 | 115/9 142/6 143/19 Boulevard [4] 2/11 $2 / 14$ | capability [1] 151/4 | chance [2] 111/22 198/17 | collecting [1] 53/5 <br> college [1] 15/7 |
| 19/11 19/19 20/4 20/17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boulevard [4] } 2 / 112 / 14 \\ 2 / 172 / 20 \end{gathered}$ | capable [3] 158/19 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 198/17 } \\ \text { change [6] } \end{array}$ | color [5] 95/18 110/15 |
| 20/18 20/23 21/1 21/11 | Brands [1] | 158/25 159/5 | 67/3 72/6 73/22 74/1 | 154/10 154/11 154/25 |
| 21/22 22/4 23/14 26/15 | break [18] 4/1 4/2 31/23 | captured [1] 50/21 | changed [1] 73/24 | combination [3] 11/15 |
| 27/4 27/15 27/21 31/5 | $31 / 2532 / 232 / 633 / 10$ | car [1] 201/5 | characterization [3] | 17/5 107/10 |
| $75 / 1775 / 2075 / 2476 / 3$ $76 / 577 / 2097 / 9107 / 21$ | 33/11 56/14 100/18 | care [7] 22/4 134/1 | 6/12 118/3 118/10 | combinations [1] 108/21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76 / 5 \quad 77 / 2097 / 9 \quad 107 / 21 \\ & 109 / 18 \quad 114 / 14115 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | 100/19 101/2 101/5 | 135/11 144/4 1 | characterize [2] 196/5 | comes [3] 78/15 116/19 |
| 115/24 116/5 122/25 | 153/12 153/14 153/16 | cared [2] 135/17 135/19 | 196/6 | 136/13 |
| 131/11 131/15 134/17 | 17 166/7 | careful [1] 79/23 | charge [1] 189/17 | comfortable [2] 31/1 |
| 136/17 136/22 137/19 | er [3] 2/5 3/11 | Carnegie [1] 22/7 | rities [1] 22/15 | 33/3 |
| 141/25 142/21 143/8 | 160/16 | case [44] 1/2 3/4 17/1 | cheap [1] | com |
| 143/9 143/11 143/13 | brief [3] | 18/10 18/20 19/7 31/16 | eck [2] 175/16 176 | commend [1] 175/6 |
| 143/15 143/16 143/16 | 16 | 85/9 85/19 166/18 174/5 | chew [1] 150/10 | comment [5] 53/15 56/3 |
| 143/22 144/16 144/19 | br |  | chief [4] 5/25 172/24 | 56/8 106/2 115/5 |
| 144/21 145/10 145/12 | 201/10 | 179/23 179/25 180/1 | 173/1 173/2 | commentary [1] 167/13 |
| 145/16 146/6 146/10 | bringing [2] 167/18 | 180/12 180/13 181/14 | child [2] 16/8 17/7 | commenting [1] 115/6 |
| 147/7 156/18 156/20 | 19 | 181/19 184/15 185/16 | children [4] 16/6 86/6 | comments [1] 55/24 |
| 156/22 156/25 156/25 | br | 185/17 185/21 186/1 | 177/17 180/13 | commercial [3] 14/19 |
| 157/12 157/13 157/15 | broaden [1] 182/ | 9/3 189/4 18 | chronological [2] 60/20 | 14/20 33/20 |
| 158/2 158/9 158/14 | broader [1] 182/6 |  | 89/6 | commercially [1] 8/1 |
| 158/20 159/4 159/4 | broken [1] 50/25 | 192/8 192/23 193/10 | church [1] 17/3 | commitments [1] 176/3 |
| 164/12 164/17 172/1 | br | 196/16 197/16 197/25 | circumstances [2] 9/18 | committed [2] 177/16 |
| 172/5 183/5 191/10 | 170/23 175/9 176/25 | 198/14 199/1 201/4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 30 / 13 \\ \text { cite [1] } \\ 183 / 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 178 / 3 \\ \text { committing [1] } 46 / 22 \end{array}$ |
| 209/2 209/7 209/15 | BRUCE [1] 1/11 | 202/4 202/12 209/2 | $\text { cites [1] } 183 / 2$ | $\text { common [3] } 21 / 17190$ |
| Bitcoin-related [1] 6/2 | Bryan [1] 199/19 | cases [2] 17/8 179/24 | CIV [1] $1 / 2$ | 190/8 |
| Bitcoin.org [1] 15/8 | buckets [1] 194/15 | cash [7] 8/9 15/14 15/15 | claimed [1] 96/19 | communicating [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitcoins [4] 21/18 } \\ & 136 / 20 \quad 144 / 7 \quad 164 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | building [2] 151/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 15 \quad 15 / 15 \quad 15 / 1719 \\ & \text { casinos [1] } 16 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | claiming [1] 51/6 | 146/22 |
| BitGold [1] 10/7 | 168/18 | Catch [1] 182/8 | claims [3] 195/23 196/10] | communication [1] |
| Bitmessage [6] 112/15 | bullet [3] 122/10 122/16 | catching [1] 188/13 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 113 / 9 \quad 113 / 10 \quad 113 / 21 \\ & 117 / 6117 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | bullets [2] 142/8 154/17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { categories [2] } 197 / 1 \\ & 210 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | clarify [4] 70/7 73/10 | $\text { 10/25 10/25 } 28 / 21 \quad 54 / 20$ |
| blind [2] 35/6 157/13 | burdensome [3] 186/23 | 210 | 141/3 202/22 | 54/24 89/12 105/1 |
| block [19] 17/19 17/19 | 186/25 188/5 | caught [1] 168/2 | clarifying [1] 121/12 | 105/21 172/2 209/16 |
| 17/20 18/7 19/18 107/13 | 21 | cause [3] 3/25 25/5 | clean [2] 169/16 169/2 | company [28] 37/12 |
| 114/12 114/13 115/2 | buy [2] 96/17 116 | 77/17 | clear [12] 4/19 46/6 | 4/13 87/17 87/25 89/7 |
| 115/13 115/15 115/16 | buying [1] 16/18 | caused [3] 24/10 25/16 | 64/23 69/17 80/21 | 89/12 89/13 89/14 90/10 |
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14/24 20/13 25/4 26/16
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127/20 138/17 151/14 155/13 162/20 163/10
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174/23 176/17 179/13 181/24 182/1 182/4
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202/3 202/11 212/6 212/8
Court's [15] 9/17 23/13
25/10 26/15 27/5 30/12
35/6 74/9 156/22 168/16
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courthouse [1] 167/13 courtroom [10] 4/8 4/9 4/12 34/11 47/1 167/23 167/24 168/20 175/7 175/9
cover [4] 101/24 101/24
102/3 102/20
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| C | 30/14 30/22 30/23 30/24 | 203/10 204/24 | 210/17 | 22 77/25 129/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cross-examination [5] | 30/24 31/4 31/7 31/12 | deduped [2] 198/7 202/8 | derivative [3] 14/4 | 64/10 20 |
| 31/23 32/3 33/15 127/17 | $34$ | deduping [10] | 21 |  |
| 159/16 | 72/1 72/3 72/5 74/6 88/6 | 202/21 202/23 203/1 | 13/13 107/18 | $\text { [1] } 192 / 19$ |
| cross-examine [1] 77/3 <br> CRR [2] 1/17 213/25 | 96/24 97/9 107/24 | 203/3 204/3 204/21 | describe [1] 6/1 | directions [1] 123/24 |
|  | 112/15 113/17 113/21 | deduplication [1] 198/3 | design [2] 8/7 10/13 | directly [4] 9/17 37/6 |
| $\mathrm{Cr}$ | 116/7 116/19 125/16 | deed [2] 83/3 133/5 | designate [1] 49/7 | 74/18 197/22 |
|  | 125/20 125/25 126/2 | default [2] 50/12 181/5 | designed [4] 15/23 16 | director [3] 36/25 105/9 |
|  | 126/18 126/22 133/25 | defendant [15] 1/7 2/10 | 21/11 21/15 | 48/25 |
|  | 136/17 139/22 142/17 | 3/17 3/20 4/22 85/23 | desire [1] 204/11 | disabled [2] 113/2 |
|  | 144/6 145/11 145/12 | 111/6 193/17 193/2 | desist [1] 168/21 | 43/ |
| cryptographicall | 159/8 159/18 159/20 | 199/7 200/22 200/25 | despite [1] 129/20 | disagreeing [1] 210/8 |
| cryptogr | 183/5 190/4 190/18 | 201/3 201/14 202/8 | destroy [1] 30/14 | disassociated [1] 16/2 |
| 210/21 | 191/9 191/11 193/18 | defendant's [9] 5/3 6/24 | destroyed [3] 140/18 | disassociating [1] 31/2 |
| CSW [2] 61/3 61/6 | 194/25 195/9 195/21 | 7/19 7/21 7/23 8/1 26/4 | 141/11 141/15 | disburse [1] 147/2 |
| CTO [3] 27/9 172/17 | 195/21 196/3 196/6 | 213/14 213/ | destroying [2] 31/7 | disc [2] 11/24 192/22 |
| 172/23 | 200/18 | defendants [5] 182/21 | 31/13 | discarded [1] 13/19 |
| cu | Dave's [3] 29/24 34/25 | 199/25 200/4 201/1 | detail [4] 133/2 135/6 | discerned [1] 20/8 |
| 131/21 160/20 | 116/7 | 202/5 | 135/14 208/3 | disclosure [1] 182/22 |
| curve [1] 23/18 | David [4] | defense [32 | details [5] 21/4 90 | disclosures [1] 201/3 |
| $\text { custody [2] } 157 / 24$ | 143/24 190/15 | 26/21 34/15 38/6 40/20 | 93/17 95/9 159/9 | discovery [37] 18/9 19 |
| $195 / 18$ | daylight [1] | 53/22 72/22 83/13 83/23 | determination [3] | 20/11 38/9 53/21 91 |
| cut [1] 66/14 | days [5] 69/11 131/3 | 88/12 89/7 91/8 94/11 | 189/23 189/24 189/2 | 94/12 95/17 96/21 99/2 |
| CW [1] 134/10 | 151/22 179/21 207/7 | 95/16 99/19 110/12 | determine [4] 20/17 | 110/14 117/23 121/23 |
|  | de [4] 2/11 2/14 2/17 | 12/9 117/22 121/20 | 20/23 21/1 188/25 | 130/18 156/8 179/4 |
| D | 2/20 | 122/9 133/22 142/5 | determined [1] 176/10 | 180/1 180/6 181/1 18 |
| D-e-f [1] 121/9 | dead [1] 199/22 | 144/10 147/11 148/12 | determining [1] 55/3 | 181/7 181/9 181/13 |
| D4AD [2] 134/3 139/14 | deadline [1] 180/6 | 153/25 160/9 184/23 | DG [1] 15/15 | 181/25 182/2 182/2 |
| DAC [1] 129/7 | deadlines [1] 172/19 | 185/2 188/22 204/ | dictate [1] 13/1 | 182/6 182/12 182/15 |
| dance [1] 134/21 | deal [6] 94/22 175/15 | defer [2] 202/20 204/20 | didn't [33] 12/5 25 | 184/2 188/4 188/15 |
| darker [1] $15 / 11$ | 177/3 180/5 201/24 | defined [6] 83/5 83/21 | 30/24 30/24 48/3 58/ | 189/7 189/12 189/17 |
| data [3] 38/16 53/5 | 201/24 | 114/20 114/25 116/17 | 73/11 73/15 73/22 74 | 194/9 194/15 |
| 193/19 | dealing [2] 19/1 164/8 | 157/8 | 76/8 87/25 90/25 96/17 | discrete [5] 100/13 |
| database [3] 137/20 | dealings [4] 93/16 93/20 | definin | 97/10 98/12 105/22 | 100/14 165/4 186/11 |
| 183/17 184/6 | 93/20 159/10 | definitely [2] 158/17 | 105/25 106/13 106/16 | 186/22 |
| date [66] 36/20 4 | deals [1] 103/ | 207 | 110/5 116/8 116/8 126/3 | discretion [2] 142/15 |
| 0/16 41/3 | death [1] 142/14 | definitively [1] 17/21 | 126/23 134/19 134/22 | 161/4 |
| 41/10 41/11 41/13 41/21 | debt [1] 24/9 | degree [2] 31/2 33/18 | 135/18 141/25 159/20 | discuss [10] 32/5 32/6 |
| 42/4 | deceased [1] 29/23 | delay [1] 208/21 | 163/10 165/23 199/17 | 86/17 92/3 100/5 101/7 |
| 42/12 42/16 42/18 42/20 | December [7] 9/5 15/19 | delayed [2] 183/10 | die [2] 28/25 142/13 | 155/5 187/21 188/3 |
| 48/14 48/19 51/21 52/11 | 20/22 160/23 180 | 183/1 | died [6] 23/9 30/23 58/2 | 207/4 |
| 56/1 56/6 56/7 57/15 | 181/10 188/8 | deleted [1] 66/17 | 58/6 97/1 142/17 | discussed [6] 18/10 22/5 |
| 57/15 57/18 57/22 57/2 | December 2020 [1] | delivery [2] 49/11 59/12 | difference [3] 55/15 | 22/13 28/10 161/15 |
| 57/25 63/8 63/13 63/18 | 120/22 | demand [1] 30/6 | 55/19 69/15 | 195/3 |
| 65/3 69/7 69/10 69/14 | December 31st [1] 9/5 | demonstrate [1] 27/5 | different [33] 19/13 | discusses [1] 116/18 |
| 69/18 69/19 69/22 70/16 | decided [2] 14/19 | demonstrative [1] 112/8 | 53/10 56/1 68/4 68/8 | discussing [1] 128/12 |
| 70/22 71/1 71/3 79/7 | 180/20 | denied [2] 103/19 155/4 | 68/10 68/11 68/16 69/2 | discussion [1] 187/16 |
| 89/19 94/24 94/25 95/3 | decision [1] 103/13 | Denis [28] 90/1 90/4 | 107/16 107/19 114/2 | displayed [2] 63/13 |
| 95/5 97/3 113/23 113/24 | decisions [1] 180/17 | 90/9 90/17 93/10 93/14 | 114/17 115/17 121/1 | 63/18 |
| 131/3 143/9 144/16 | declaration [23] 35/23 | 93/15 93/15 93/20 93/21 | 123/4 123/4 123/6 125/2 | disposal [1] 13/6 |
| 145/14 145/22 | 36/1 74/16 77/22 79/8 | 94/1 94/25 95/1 95/4 | 125/18 128/18 142/20 | disputed [1] 202/14 |
| $157 / 12160 / 23162$ | 81/1 81/2 81/2 84/7 | 96/3 96/5 97/6 107/23 | 142/24 142/24 143/2 | disregard [2] 40/17 |
| 162/10 186/15 210/25 | 87/14 98/8 99/11 106/9 | 129/22 130/4 131/5 | 161/18 163/15 171/3 | 44/19 |
| dated [23] 65/24 66/3 | 133/18 133/23 138/17 | 131/23 157/20 161/22 | 182/12 187/11 189/ | srespect [1] 173/19 |
| 67/9 68/19 74/24 74/24 | 139/3 140/17 141/4 | 162/5 162/6 162/7 | 189/15 193/11 | disseminated [1] 29/ |
| 78/7 78/20 78/20 79/6 | 147/21 147/22 155/9 | 162/12 | differently [1] 107/9 | distances [1] 151/2 |
| 79/7 81/11 81/12 84/7 | 156/9 | Denis' [4] 89/10 130/7 | differing [1] 177/13 | distribute [2] 23/25 |
| 93/22 94/23 94/24 96/3 | declarations [3] 30/2 | 130/9 131/24 | difficult [8] 25/18 77/14 | 120/14 |
| 97/1 113/11 122/21 | 74/12 82/7 | Dennis [1] 89/2 | /15 110/14 121/24 | distributed [2] 12/16 |
| 130/25 213/22 | decrypt [10] 22/25 24/5 | depends [1] 191/5 | 174/3 174/11 177/2 | 29/7 |
| dates [8] 70/21 78/14 | 24/20 30/7 30/11 49/25 | deployed [1] 120/2 | ash [1] | istribution [3] 16/8 |
| 145/6 152/20 176/6 | 106/12 108/12 170/22 | deposed [1] 30/1 | digital [4] 15 | 122/11 129/4 |
| 176/7 186/14 187/8 | 17 | deposition [4] 37/1 | 38/14 162/19 | RICT [2] 1/1 $1 / 1$ |
| Dave [64] 16/12 23/9 23/25 24/3 29/19 29/21 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { dedupe [6] 198/10 } \\ \text { 198/14 202/5 202/10 } \end{array}$ | 85/24 87/21 132/15 <br> depositions [2] 210/13 | digitally [2] 78/25 79 direct [9] 5/11 8/19 | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \text { diverge [1] } & 65 / 23 \\ \text { divided [4] } & 113 / 18 \end{array}$ |


| D |
| :---: |
| divided... [3] 114/21 |
| 114/23 131/12 |
| divorced [1] 87/24 |
| docket [4] 82/6 |
| 155/9 155/11 |
| doctor [9] 12/4 15/2 |
| 33/5 61/2 61/4 68/12 |
| 97/14 144/14 144/25 |
| doctorate [2] 27/19 |
| 33/22 |

document [183] 6/13 6/16 6/18 6/20 7/2 7/6 7/8 7/13 7/19 7/21 8/5 26/10 26/12 34/25 35/2 36/11 37/20 38/8 40/11 41/7 41/12 41/20 44/4 44/5 44/5 45/18 46/18 46/23 46/25 47/11 48/23 49/23 49/23 51/5 53/21 53/23 54/1 54/7 54/16 54/22 55/7 59/17 63/18 66/23 67/18 67/19 67/20 67/23 68/9 68/13 68/17 69/15 70/5 71/4 71/22 73/1 73/3 73/4 73/7 73/11 73/16 74/13 74/17 74/20 74/22 74/24 75/2 75/16 76/20 78/7 78/22 79/9 79/12 79/15 80/12 80/16 80/25 81/3 81/3 81/6 81/11 81/12 82/22 84/14 85/5 85/13 85/14 85/22 86/2 87/16 91/5 91/9 92/6 92/10 94/3 94/11 94/24 95/17 96/18 97/8 97/12 97/14 97/18 98/3 99/21 100/1 100/9 100/12 100/16 100/20 100/22 101/21 103/12 103/13 104/5 105/10 109/6 109/7 110/13 110/25 111/2 111/2 111/6 111/18 111/19 111/24 111/25 114/20 116/12 116/16 118/3 118/5 118/9 118/24 119/25 120/3 121/22 123/22 130/17 130/25 131/7 134/16 134/25 135/5 135/13 136/25 137/2 139/23 139/23 140/6 140/7 140/8 141/1 144/9 146/11 146/13 147/1 156/7 159/19 159/20 159/22 160/12 160/23 161/3 162/4 162/10 169/8 169/14 169/22 183/12 183/16 183/17 184/7 184/18 189/25 190/21 190/22 190/23 192/22 193/20 193/24 198/12 202/6 documents [106] 11/9 30/1 30/2 30/3 30/5

34/20 35/5 35/8 35/22 35/25 36/3 36/9 36/10 36/11 37/4 37/7 37/10 40/24 44/8 48/24 54/9 55/21 55/24 56/11 67/23 68/8 68/11 68/16 70/3 72/12 77/2 77/18 79/23 80/4 81/18 81/20 81/22 85/17 92/13 96/11 96/20 96/21 123/4 125/15 127/20 127/22 133/1 135/23 143/2 182/23 183/3 184/17 185/15 186/7 186/8 187/3 188/25 189/2 189/22 190/12 190/13 190/14 190/25 191/7 191/19 191/23 192/6 192/12 192/25 193/3 193/4 193/11 194/21 195/10 195/11 195/18 195/21 196/3 196/9 196/13 196/14 196/22 197/20 197/21 198/3 198/6 199/2 199/3 199/5 199/22 199/23 200/20 200/20 201/19 202/4 202/5 202/15 203/4 203/8 203/9 203/10 203/17 204/8 204/12 205/14 205/20
does [18] 21/25 41/20 55/8 57/15 61/18 74/6 83/19 84/22 112/25 123/21 129/17 140/1 140/8 144/21 172/23 181/7 200/6 209/25 doesn't [31] 12/22 22/23 23/3 24/8 50/5 51/9 55/13 55/14 64/19 77/10 77/10 83/19 83/22 93/15 94/22 105/18 111/17 119/6 146/3 146/11 149/10 150/14 181/1 181/4 181/9 189/24 193/21 198/15 201/6 201/7 203/14
doing [12] 27/19 42/13 106/1 135/21 150/12 155/7 162/8 168/20 173/11 196/17 196/20 199/11
dollar [2] 13/11 18/25 dollars [2] 76/3 109/18 dollars-worth [2] 76/3 109/18
domain [1] $15 / 8$
don't [145] 5/6 6/6
10/16 13/9 13/12 13/14 17/20 17/23 22/4 24/14 25/7 25/7 36/22 37/3 37/5 37/8 43/11 49/23 53/15 55/21 55/24 66/2 69/16 73/25 74/23 75/11 77/1 77/6 77/16 78/22 79/2 79/16 80/22 82/6

82/11 84/16 85/21 86/2 86/17 91/24 92/4 92/4 93/5 96/4 96/20 98/20 100/14 100/18 100/19 101/14 101/17 102/11 105/24 105/24 108/18 109/14 110/3 111/20 112/24 113/1 115/6 117/3 124/10 124/11 125/13 125/20 126/22 127/22 127/24 128/1 129/18 132/20 135/4 135/9 135/16 136/3 136/5 137/18 140/14 147/18 147/25 148/5 150/5 150/6 150/21 151/11 152/4 152/15 156/24 158/15 159/3 161/20 162/19 162/23 163/13 163/15 163/22 164/2 165/2 165/4 166/3 166/8 166/20 175/21 176/5 176/8 176/8 176/15 176/17 176/20 176/22 180/5 180/8 180/19 181/16 181/17 182/23 183/5 183/13 185/8 185/21 185/22 187/8 188/4 189/5 189/9 190/21 190/22 191/10 191/17 191/18 191/25 192/11 194/21 196/4 196/20 196/23 198/10 199/22 200/1 204/21 206/17 207/17 209/25 210/24
done [25] 4/16 9/13 11/10 17/9 19/20 19/22 23/11 23/14 27/8 33/9 44/12 71/2 72/9 153/18 172/15 173/8 179/9 180/11 180/23 181/11 181/20 187/19 203/1 203/23 207/25
dot [2] 147/17 149/7 down [33] 5/7 11/23 17/6 41/11 48/13 55/16 59/22 59/25 60/21 62/17 82/11 87/7 100/19 101/6 108/1 109/4 115/8 115/23 120/12 120/16 137/6 139/2 139/7 142/3 142/8 147/13 148/24 160/17 172/3 174/18 209/1 209/7 209/12
downstairs [1] 168/9 Dr [8] 69/1 69/16 82/8 87/5 98/11 118/20 124/20 141/3
Dr. [311]
Dr. Craig [4] 3/18 3/21 4/23 5/16
Dr. Edman [1] 40/23
Dr. Wright [301]
Dr. Wright's [4] 84/7
165/1 166/11 189/18

Dr. Write [1] 145/14 draft [1] 11/9
drag [1] 31/9
dragging [1] 31/10
drive [2] 29/22 31/14
drives [3] 108/2 109/16 109/17
drop [3] 48/13 59/22 115/8
dropped [1] 116/22
dropping [2] 59/25 120/16
Drug [1] 31/11
drugs [2] 16/7 16/10
Due [1] 90/18
dump [1] 190/21
dumping [2] 190/22 190/23
duplicate [1] 202/8 duplicates [4] 193/2 202/16 202/17 203/17
duplication [1] 204/12 duplicative [1] 185/25 during [15] 4/10 11/4 17/16 20/18 21/22 27/4 27/16 88/2 100/19 105/3 140/3 168/18 168/19 172/5 177/21
DX [2] 30/18 125/21

## E

e-mail [103] 1/19 34/22
34/25 37/15 38/9 38/22
39/10 43/21 45/22 45/25
45/25 46/3 46/4 46/11
46/12 48/25 49/1 49/3
49/5 49/11 49/18 50/13
51/3 51/5 51/7 51/17
52/1 52/1 53/4 53/13
54/19 55/4 57/18 58/5
58/8 58/13 58/14 58/18 59/6 59/9 59/12 59/20
60/24 60/25 61/8 61/19 62/3 62/20 63/5 63/5 63/10 63/15 63/20 63/20 64/5 64/23 65/24 66/3 66/11 66/14 67/1 67/9
68/22 69/12 69/24 70/2 70/4 71/18 71/24 72/1 72/2 72/3 74/7 89/1 89/2 89/10 89/15 89/18 90/1 90/4 90/9 90/16 90/17 93/10 94/1 94/25 95/1 95/4 96/2 96/10 96/24 110/21 122/10 122/20 128/11 128/13 129/19 131/3 132/11 132/12
132/21 191/22 203/15
e-mail to [1] 95/3
e-mail's [3] 49/2 50/22 61/18
e-mailing [1] 134/24
e-mails [13] 49/15 55/5 67/13 68/25 70/1 90/12 191/23 193/12 193/13 193/17 202/10 202/11

202/13
each [19] 4/6 11/17 12/3 21/8 21/16 23/20 60/17 80/6 107/2 107/8 124/3 126/13 131/12 146/13
146/21 182/2 203/11
210/2 210/8
earlier [4] 31/24 32/1
116/4 163/4
earliest [1] 30/20
early [3] 164/21 167/4
208/4
earn [1] 22/7
Earth [1] 22/16
easier [3] 7/15 95/18
151/25
easily [1] 13/7
eastern [1] 64/14
easy [2] 70/25 87/1
edit [12] 45/7 45/24 46/1
46/6 46/9 46/15 46/17
47/21 48/19 53/4 53/7
69/3
edited [1] 67/1
editorial [1] 53/15
Edman [1] 40/23
educational [2] 22/10 22/15
effect [1] 181/18
effectively [4] 8/11
12/17 107/18 129/6
effort [1] 84/6
efforts [1] 125/14
eGold [2] 10/7 15/14 eight [28] 18/2 107/22 108/3 108/8 108/9 108/12 108/18 108/19 108/22 114/1 114/4 114/6 119/21 122/11 122/13 122/22 123/9 123/15 123/23 124/1 125/11 126/5 128/14 128/14 128/23 129/21 131/14 132/1
Eighteen [1] 132/6 either [11] 82/15 85/8 119/6 151/17 152/11 163/2 180/24 180/25 181/2 181/2 206/4
electronic [7] 8/9 19/3 37/20 38/8 55/21 129/6 191/23
electronically [1] 92/13
Electrum [1] 13/13
ELMO [4] 92/22 93/5
154/14 159/22
else [20] 42/8 46/1 48/5 73/24 99/7 103/23 116/5 121/3 124/11 126/18 126/21 141/21 152/15 176/19 177/2 181/22 192/20 194/8 195/7

## 205/3

employed [2] 11/4 165/9 empowers [1] 147/1 enable [1] 8/19

| E | essential [1] 196/22 |
| :---: | :---: |
| enabled [1] 147/5 | ess |
| enables [1] 27/23 | establish [1] 164/2 |
| encapsulated [1] 11/22 | established [1] 133/17 |
| encourage [1] 187/16 | established [1] 133/17 estate [4] 3/5 197/22 |
| encrypt [1] 126/5 <br> encrypted [14] 11/24 | 199/1 199/9 |
| encrypted $16 / 814121$ $29 / 6$ $29 / 6$ | et [12] $1 / 315 / 24181 / 11$ |
| 29/10 29/22 30/11 31/6 | 45/1 121/3 125/15 |
| 106/25 123/23 123/25 | 128/22 129/12 167/20 |
| 125/8 158/7 | 167/21 167/21 197/17 |

encryption [3] 11/21
107/9 107/11
end [11] 13/21 27/9
105/11 120/20 120/21
150/6 152/14 178/4
204/15 208/3 210/25
endeavor [1] 209/6
ended [1] 135/21
ending [3] 102/4 102/10 134/2
ends [2] 102/7 137/15
engaged [2] 188/19
209/6
engineered [1] 193/21
enormous [1] 175/6
enough [7] 5/8 24/14
28/20 126/2 128/5 167/3 167/4
ensure [2] 131/8 131/9
ensured [1] 28/22
ensuring [2] 27/24 29/1
entered [25] 8/1 35/19
39/6 40/21 44/20 52/20
54/5 59/3 62/12 75/10
78/5 88/24 91/14 94/17
95/25 112/5 118/11
122/6 130/23 133/6 138/6 148/18 155/14 156/15 160/7
entertain [4] 72/16 85/9
204/16 204/17
entire [3] 100/12 165/20 202/6
entities [1] 8/22
entitled [1] 213/21
entries [1] 82/18
entrusted [1] 31/4
entry [2] 155/9 155/12
entry 222 [1] $155 / 9$
envelope [1] 61/15
envelope-from [1] 61/15
envision [1] 151/7
enwrapping [1] 136/24
equally [1] $162 / 16$
equals [1] $121 / 3$
equates [1] 49/17
Equator [1] 161/22
equivalent [2] $86 / 3$ 110/2
error [1] 27/13
ESI [3] 198/14 203/2 203/11
especially [1] 198/2
ESQ [7] $2 / 2$ 2/5 $2 / 82 / 10$ 2/13 2/16 2/19

151/10 154/13 156/10 156/15 160/7 162/20 165/1 169/9 174/7 204/19 204/25 205/25 212/13 213/3 213/14 evidentiary [7] $1 / 10$ 15/16 101/15 102/15 103/7 140/4 162/24 ex [1] 190/17 ex-spouse [1] 190/17 exact [6] 68/8 97/5 113/23 135/7 135/7 187/8
exactly [11] 7/8 10/8 23/10 49/16 63/10 63/20 78/16 165/9 190/23 208/18 208/19
examination [13] 5/11 31/23 32/3 33/15 77/8 125/6 127/17 157/16 159/16 166/12 168/24 212/6 212/8
examine [2] 77/3 77/3
examiner [1] 48/24
example [1] 190/2
examples [1] $121 / 2$ exchange [8] 8/19 8/22 13/18 16/7 89/2 115/20 115/21 210/24
exchanged [1] 16/9 excluded [2] 4/9 209/17 excuse [5] 20/21 42/22 45/15 116/13 195/15 excused [1] 175/18 exe [1] 97/13 execute [4] 195/1 199/7 199/25 201/16 executed [7] 79/9 79/13 79/17 79/19 80/25 97/15 199/9
executing [1] 201/18
exercise [2] 123/1 205/2
exhibit [184] 6/24 7/19 7/24 8/1 26/5 26/20 26/22 34/15 35/14 35/19 37/21 38/23 39/1 39/2 39/6 39/11 39/14 39/15 39/24 40/13 40/21 43/12 43/19 43/22 44/1 44/20 44/23 45/20 46/7 46/10 46/16 47/12 47/22 50/15
51/14 52/6 52/15 52/20
53/19 54/5 54/8 55/20 55/20 56/7 56/7 57/15
57/16 57/20 57/25 58/15
58/19 58/21 59/3 61/25
62/2 62/5 62/12 62/23 65/6 65/7 65/8 65/12 65/12 65/24 66/3 66/11 66/14 67/1 67/10 67/11 67/11 67/13 67/14 67/14 67/14 68/23 69/3 69/4 69/6 69/18 70/4 70/15 71/8 71/15 71/20 72/9 72/22 74/6 75/4 75/10 76/21 77/8 77/8 77/9

78/5 79/21 82/23 82/24 83/3 83/13 85/15 87/11 88/11 88/24 89/22 91/8 91/14 94/10 94/17 95/4 95/15 95/25 96/2 96/23 97/17 97/19 97/22 97/24 98/19 99/3 99/18 102/17 102/17 103/5 103/6 103/18 104/17 110/12 110/16 110/17 110/20 112/5 112/8 117/21 118/11 118/13 121/19 122/6 122/9 128/10 130/14 130/23 132/5 132/9 133/8 133/13 133/17 133/22 134/24 135/8 135/9 136/5 136/6 136/16 137/22 137/24 138/6 142/5 143/18 144/6 144/10 144/25 145/5 146/1 148/11 148/18 149/5 149/22 149/23 153/25 154/9 155/6 155/10 155/14 156/7 156/15 157/7
160/7 161/3 163/23
169/14 170/6 208/20 208/22
exhibit 1 [17] 7/19 7/24 34/15 35/14 54/8 56/7 57/16 71/20 74/6 136/5 136/6 136/16 142/5 144/6 144/10 144/25 146/1
exhibit 10 [2] 76/21 77/8
exhibit 11 [3] 79/21
88/11 95/4
exhibit 12 [1] 91/8
exhibit 13 [1] 94/10
exhibit 14 [2] 95/15 96/2
exhibit 15 [3] 99/18
102/17 103/5
exhibit 16 [2] 110/12
110/20
exhibit 17 [3] 117/21
128/10 132/5
exhibit 18 [3] 121/19
133/13 154/9
exhibit 19 [1] 130/14
exhibit 2 [20] $26 / 5$ 26/20
26/22 38/23 39/1 39/2
39/11 39/15 39/24 40/13
43/22 45/20 46/7 46/10
46/16 47/22 51/14 55/20
67/14 69/4
exhibit 20 [1] 137/24
exhibit 21 [1] 148/11
exhibit 22 [4] 156/7
161/3 169/14 170/6
exhibit 3 [11] 39/14
43/12 50/15 65/7 65/8
65/12 66/3 66/14 67/11
67/14 69/6
exhibit 4 [1] 43/19
exhibit 5 [3] 52/6 52/15 62/2
exhibit 6 [15] 53/19
55/20 56/7 57/15 57/20
57/25 58/19 67/1 67/10
67/13 68/23 69/3 70/4
71/15 96/23
exhibit 7 [12] 58/15
58/21 62/23 65/6 65/12
65/24 66/11 67/11 67/14
69/18 70/15 71/8
exhibit 8 [3] 61/25 62/5
72/9
exhibit 9 [23] 72/22 75/4
77/8 77/9 82/23 82/24
83/3 85/15 87/11 89/22
97/17 98/19 99/3 133/8
133/17 133/22 134/24
135/8 135/9 143/18 145/5 149/5 153/25
exhibits [10] 67/15
67/17 68/1 77/2 82/11
92/4 136/8 212/13 213/3
213/14
exhibits 7 [1] 67/15
exist [1] 10/16
existed [1] 11/8 exists [2] 109/19 109/20
expected [1] 191/9
expedite [1] 196/19
expert [16] 4/9 4/12
4/15 4/15 16/14 17/6 19/21 38/15 39/9 43/22
58/17 62/3 76/15 152/10
153/5 210/2
expert's [1] 210/17
expertise [2] 19/11
20/16
experts [8] 4/7 4/20
152/11 174/24 209/24
210/6 210/7 210/20
experts' [1] 193/10
explain [8] 23/10 25/19
30/10 68/23 111/22
111/23 140/3 208/18
explained [1] 129/6
explains [1] 66/25
expressed [2] 18/5 18/17
extend [1] 179/25
extensive [1] 204/14
extent [16] 71/4 81/20
84/25 85/15 105/14
111/1 111/19 136/8
136/9 151/19 152/3
154/19 182/5 184/6
185/13 192/18
external [1] 120/22
extract [1] 100/21
extracted [1] 76/15
extradition [1] 173/15
extreme [2] 180/25
181/2
extremely [3] 121/24
172/17 202/4
eye [1] 202/16
F
F-i-n-e [1] 18/3

| F | 202/10 | 115/5 122/25 128/17 | 89/15 171/22 173/18 | 186/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1] $202 / 11$ | fifth [2] 64/5 107/17 | 130/6 134/20 135/20 | 195/1 | 187/6 188/12 192/8 |
|  | figure [3] 53/12 152/20 | 140/16 143/5 147/3 | formal [6] 129/21 130/7 | 198/18 202/20 203/2 |
| $51 / 1355 / 2355 / 2464 / 18$ | 153/2 | 149/1 154/25 155/3 | 130/9 130/9 131/4 | 207/21 210/11 212/5 |
| $170 / 22 \quad 171 / 16$ | figured [1] 138/15 | 155/24 157/7 161/11 | 184/23 | 212/7 212/9 |
| Facebook [2] 27/24 72 | figures [1] 180/24 | 162/22 164/2 168/3 | formalizes [2] 144 | Freedman's [1] 44/6 |
| faced [1] 19/3 | figuring [1] 152/22 | 177/15 178/17 179/14 | 145/20 | freedom [1] 31/12 |
| facility [1] 201/7 | file [50] 11/21 11/23 | 179/17 180/7 183/12 | format [1] 110/15 | Friday [14] 34/22 52/21 |
| fact [15] 9/18 9/24 13/7 | 22/25 24/5 24/21 29/6 | 193/1 194/17 | formatted [4] 39/10 | 52/23 53/13 57/25 63/6 |
| 19/5 40/17 49/25 127/8 | 29/8 29/11 30/7 30/11 | first-level [1] 107/14 | 43/23 58/17 62/3 | 63/16 65/4 66/4 66/25 |
| 129/20 147/9 170/17 | 31/6 43/21 49/25 53/1 | five [25] 56/13 56/24 | formed [2] 96/19 161/15 | 143/24 145/12 176/21 |
| 179/8 184/19 190/25 | 56/3 78/8 78/19 79/5 | 64/10 69/11 78/25 | former [3] 79/9 80/25 | 178/16 |
| 195/23 201/2 | 79/7 102/20 102/20 | 100/18 101/2 101/5 | 146/6 | friend [1] 16/13 |
| fact-finder [1] 40/17 | 102/20 103/2 106/25 | 102/18 103/6 107/16 | formula [1] 21/20 | friends [1] 168/8 |
| facts [1] 201/4 | 107/2 107/4 107/5 107/6 | 107/17 128/22 131/17 | forth [1] 90/12 | front [11] 5/6 67/15 |
| factual [1] 189/3 | 107/7 107/8 107/11 | 132/1 134/1 137/7 | Forty [2] 60/11 60/13 | 80/23 86/2 112/11 14 |
| failed [4] 31/15 59/12 | 107/14 108/12 121/24 | 137/10 137/11 139/24 | Forty-six [1] 60/13 | 154/22 170/6 182/4 |
| 194/24 194/25 | 123/23 123/25 124/12 | 140/1 186/13 186/18 | Forty-three [1] 60/11 | 188/8 201/10 |
|  | 124/13 124/17 124/19 | 186/21 193/4 | forum [1] 14/22 | fuck [1] 116/11 |
|  | 124/22 125/1 125/8 | five-minute [4] 56/13 | forums [1] 15/9 | full [10] 5/15 13/11 |
|  | 125/10 126/5 158/6 | 100/18 101/2 101/5 | forward [6] 4/24 151/8 | 79/25 91/25 92/1 143/8 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { fair [3] } \\ 209 / 16 \end{array}$ | 162/19 164/13 170/22 | fix [1] $15 / 13$ | 174/12 177/20 179/5 | 144/6 178/15 182/22 |
| faith [2] 193/2 | 171/16 | fixed [1] 98/13 | 210/6 | 207/25 |
| fake [1] 117/4 | filed [8] 11/20 79/24 | fixes [1] 29/1 | found [7] 29/23 60/6 | fully [3] 80/2 100/5 |
| Faketoshi [1] 167/20 | 79/25 80/1 81/19 82/8 | fixing [1] 16/18 | 98/13 156/2 156/3 175/1 | 140/3 |
| fall [1] 27/24 | 84/17 85/18 | FL [6] 2/4 2/7 2/12 2/1 | 190/13 | function [1] 23/18 |
| fallen [1] $15 / 15$ | files [19] 11/24 36/12 | 2/18 2/21 | foundation [9] 29/1 | functions [1] 141/24 |
| false [5] 25/18 45/12 | 37/12 38/16 38/19 54/12 | Flexner [3] 2/2 2/5 2/8 | 110/7 116/2 116/3 116/5 | fund [4] 16/2 22/10 |
| 190/10 190/11 191/6 | 68/21 76/14 78/25 107/8 | flip [5] 89/5 112/7 | 116/7 163/13 163/23 | 24/15 24/16 |
| falsely [4] 26/15 46/4 | 107/8 107/14 122/12 | 133/22 136/6 154/25 | 164/1 | funded [2] 115/24 |
| 46/12 46/22 | 123/6 123/19 127/3 | flipping [1] 122/8 | foundational [1] 149/13 | 156/17 |
| falsified [1] 56/9 | 127/6 149/10 159/10 | FLORIDA [3] 1/1 1/7 | founded [1] 28/21 | funding [3] 16/4 22/14 |
| familiar [12] 6/3 6/4 | filing [1] 121/4 | 8 | four [20] 36/19 36/23 | 116 |
| 8/25 9/21 9/24 38/14 | fill [1] 89/14 | fly [2] 150/14 173/14 | 64/1 107/15 107/19 | funny [1] 53/3 |
| 48/25 49/1 49/4 157/23 | filtered [1] 191/3 | focus [6] 63/1 210/5 | 114/3 120/11 123/3 | further [7] 31/20 149/19 |
| 163/13 164/7 | final [3] 64/13 197/24 | 210/8 210/18 210/23 | 128/16 128/18 128/22 | 149/21 166/4 174/14 |
| familiarity [1] | 197/24 | 211/3 | 134/1 137/12 137/12 | 181/17 209/12 |
| family [4] 25/13 25/16 | finalize [1] 132/25 | focused [1] 27/24 | 139/10 140/13 142/8 | G |
| 28/12 75/17 | finally [3] 15/21 102/9 | folks [2] 205/3 208/9 | 143/2 186/18 206/10 | G ${ }^{\text {Gables [3] 2/12 2/15 }}$ |
| family's [1] 22/12 | 202/2 | follow [8] 89/6 141/7 | fourth [10] 63/19 63/21 | Gables [3] 2/12 2/15 |
| far [5] 109/14 152/17 | financial [10] 195/10 | 143/25 181/7 181/10 | 64/6 102/9 107/18 115/8 |  |
| 191/6 192/3 207/20 | /22 1 | 181/11 181/12 183/20 | 118/13 123/18 123/1 | gain [3] 23/23 24/18 |
| fast [1] $47 / 3$ | 197/4 199/21 199/23 | follow-up [2] 181/11 | 14 | 116/1 |
| faster [1] 138/15 | 20 | 181/12 [13] 32/12 | fragmen | gallery [2] 7/25 34/13 |
| favor [1] 133/7 | [1] $40 / 17$ | following [13] 32/12 | 29/11 29/21 30/6 31/3 | gaps [1] 192/13 |
| FE6D [6] 134/2 134/2 | finding [1] 111/25 <br> fine [4] 33/10 40/2 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 180 / 91100 / 6 \\ & 7 / 7142 / 1014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fragments [2] } \\ & 28 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | gate.ford.smtp.dfw1 <br> [1] 65/18 |
| 137/15 138/9 139/12 | $\begin{aligned} & 150 / 11041 \\ & 81 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | $150 / 2 \quad 166 / 1516$ | 28 | gate.ford.smtp.dfw1a.e- |
| 140/16 | fingerprint [1] 137/8 | 177/6 194/21 | 213/18 213/24 213/25 | mailsrvr.com [1] 65/18 |
| feature [1] 49/14 | finish [12] 44/11 150/5 | follows [6] 51/3 114/21 | Freedman [68] 2/2 3/9 | gave [6] 105/5 125/16 |
| February [1] 127/8 | 151/9 151/11 151/11 | 114/25 116/18 129/5 | 26/13 31/24 33/2 33/13 | 126/23 141/5 163/4 |
| February 19th [1] 127/8 | 151/16 152/13 152/15 | 133/5 | 35/20 40/15 50/7 52/5 | 164/23 |
| fee [1] $8 / 24$ feeling [1] $210 / 1$ | 153/15 153/17 175/22 | font [5] 76/14 78/8 | 70/6 72/20 74/3 80/13 | Gavin [2] 123/1 123/2 |
| feeling [1] 210/1 fees [1] 98/15 | 176/20 | 78/16 78/25 79/5 | 81/17 84/5 85/11 87/2 | GDP [1] 165/16 |
| fees [1] 98/15 | finishing [2] 50/4 152/12 | force [3] 54/20 54/24 | 100/11 100/12 102/2 | general [4] 91/23 191/2 |
| Feldman [1] 103/15 <br> fell [2] 17/4 17/4 | Finney [1] 17/25 | 56/4 | 102/10 103/1 103/17 | 207/6 210/20 |
| $\text { ntanyl [2] } 15 / 2$ | firewall [1] 10/12 | foreclosing [1] 206/4 | 103/21 104/13 104/15 | generally [5] 49/19 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { few }[3] & 166 / 23 & 182 / 18 \end{array}$ | firewalled [2] 21/6 21/8 | foregoing [1] 213/19 | 113/15 118/3 118/21 | 152/23 174/10 176/9 |
| few [3] $166 / 23$ 182/18 195/9 | first [57] 4/5 4/21 12/16 | forensic [6] 16/14 19/21 | 119/8 121/13 127/16 | 179/17 |
|  | 13/4 14/11 14/12 14/20 | 38/15 48/24 77/8 202/16 | 136/13 137/23 140/2 | generate [2] 23/24 29/5 |
| $\text { field [18] } 42 / 18$ | 15/17 17/4 17/11 17/17 | forever [1] 187/12 | 141/8 149/13 149/17 | generated [4] 23/18 |
| 45/20 45/24 46/1 | 17/19 17/21 18/13 18/15 | forged [2] 97/8 117/9 | 150/7 150/8 153/8 | 23/22 27/14 158/10 |
| $7 \text { 46/10 46/15 46/17 }$ | 18/19 19/8 25/12 50/24 | forgeries [2] 37/4 37/9 | 153/20 155/7 159/15 | Genesis [14] 23/16 |
| $47 / 2148 / 548 / 19$ 49/4 | 54/8 63/5 63/5 64/4 | forgot [1] 67/3 | 160/24 163/2 163/22 | 23/19 107/13 114/9 |
| $60 / 1760 / 1962 / 2065 / 6$ | 76/19 81/5 81/17 83/3 | form [11] 8/10 9/5 14/5 | 177/8 178/20 179/2 | 114/12 114/13 115/2 |
| fields [3] 62/25 65/5 | 87/13 91/17 93/9 107/14 | 23/18 41/22 66/19 82/9 | 179/22 180/8 181/17 | 115/16 115/19 116/3 |


| G | 209/4 210/3 210/5 210/6 | handle [2] 159/23 | 194/17 206/10 206/21 | 154/6 162/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genesis... [4] 116/4 | 210/7 | 1 | 210/5 210/9 211/3 | $\text { Iding [4] } 35 / 138 / 22$ |
| 116/19 116/23 123/12 | $\text { gone [6] 6/9 29/25 } 5$ | handy [1] 129/24 | hearings [1] 192/10 | $12$ |
| gets [1] 167/5 | gonna [3] 50/3 74/12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { happe } \\ 177 / 3 \end{gathered}$ | $103 / 11 \quad 103 / 13 \quad 104 / 7$ | Ids [5] 10/25 124/6 |
| getting [8] 112/22 123/1 | $\mid$ | happened [1] 190/1 | 104/12 138/4 | 24/11 131/17 179/4 |
| 53/21 159/5 161/2 | good [24] 3/2 3/15 3/23 | happening [1] 196/2 | held [19] 10/23 11/14 | home [4] 108/1 159/11 |
|  | 5/13 5/14 32/20 32/21 | happens [2] 42/7 125/23 | 21/1 31/14 35/2 36/13 | 167/5 167/5 |
| give [24] 25/ | 33/14 33/17 93/2 116/7 | happy [7] 33/11 110/23 | 75/17 88/1 100/6 114/14 | honest [2] 15/12 31/12 |
| $64 / 15 \quad 98 / 22 \quad 112 / 3 \quad 116 / 6$ | 132/1 169/1 169/2 | 151/4 163/24 176/13 | 115/18 131/21 136/20 | Honestly [2] 191/5 |
| 124/4 125/17 144/11 | 179/16 179/18 189/9 | 182/16 192/14 | 146/6 150/2 157/19 | 200/14 |
| 163/1 172/11 172/21 | 193/23 194/5 194/5 | hard [10] 7/14 8/4 16/7 | 157/21 167/8 173/13 | honor [186] 3/10 3/12 |
| 174/16 178/25 | 206/19 206/22 208/1 | 31/14 108/2 109/15 | hell [2] 31/9 31/10 | 3/14 4/11 4/22 5/10 7/14 |
| 182/17 189/15 191/2 | 211/6 | 109/16 110/5 112/18 | help [10] 53/12 61/18 | 7/22 25/21 26/23 28/17 |
| 201/22 | Google [1] 27/23 | 180/12 | 81/5 81/13 110/4 113/2 | 31/20 32/8 32/24 33/14 |
| 205/12 208/19 21 | got [29] 28/20 50/16 | hardly [2] 112/17 | 194/20 210/5 210/18 | 34/5 34/14 35/13 37/17 |
| given [14] 14/11 14/12 | 65/8 73/12 75/13 75/14 | 115/25 | 210/23 | 38/3 39/1 40/9 40/23 |
| 23/24 33/24 35/8 37/21 | 97/23 123/8 130/9 130/9 | hash [8] 23/16 23/16 | helpful [4] 151/23 194/4 | 43/14 43/24 44/3 47/2 |
| 73/3 73/5 79/14 9 | 146/21 146/21 147/8 | 23/17 107/11 202/5 | 206/9 211/2 | 47/5 47/15 47/16 49/21 |
| 189/3 192/9 205/25 | 147/9 147/14 150/9 | 202/7 203/1 203/14 | helping [1] 30/14 | 52/4 52/7 52/14 53/14 |
| $189 / 3192 / 8$ | 150/10 151/14 152/1 | hashed [1] 202/10 | hence [1] 148/25 | 53/25 56/20 56/23 57/4 |
|  | 153/9 167/2 179/24 | hashing [5] 203/14 | here [52] 3/4 3/25 7/4 | 57/8 58/10 58/20 58/23 |
| giving [6] 56 | 183/10 183/11 189/17 | 203/24 203/25 204/3 | 9/1 9/10 12/22 12/24 | 61/21 62/4 62/7 72/7 |
| 110/2 196/18 199/12 | 191/13 192/7 207/19 | 204/6 | 13/3 18/25 20/12 20/1 | 72/10 72/11 72/17 72/21 |
|  | 210/19 | hasn't [2] 77/21 124/4 | 21/21 22/18 25/4 25/5 | 73/13 73/23 74/2 76/10 |
|  | gotten [2] 175/6 194/3 | hate [1] 77/13 | 25/7 25/7 30/18 32/19 | 76/12 76/24 77/13 77/19 |
| $112 / 25 \quad 121 / 14$ | grab [1] 37/22 | haven't [15] 37/11 40/12 | 55/11 105/25 128/18 | 78/2 79/20 80/8 80/22 |
| gleaned [2] 19/12 | grant [2] 103/4 204/17 | 76/6 80/7 80/14 82/14 | 134/19 137/2 138/20 | 81/7 81/16 81/24 84/2 |
| GMT [4] 63/12 6 | gravity [1] 33/2 | 109/16 111/21 124/12 | 151/4 152/7 155/23 | 84/13 84/14 85/22 86/16 |
|  | great [7] 4/18 26/3 | 126/24 158/4 162/22 | 157/15 165/9 167/4 | 88/8 88/11 90/23 91/7 |
| ees [4] 22/10 28 | 149/21 153/6 174/15 | 163/2 195/3 196/8 | 168/5 168/20 169/16 | 91/22 92/3 92/15 94/7 |
| $163 / 4187 / 10$ | 194/12 199/13 | having [13] 11/6 11/21 | 170/23 173/17 173/17 | 94/9 95/12 95/14 98/16 |
|  | Grigg [3] 129/13 129/14 | 13/11 15/16 50/6 75/12 | 175/7 176/22 177/2 | 98/18 98/25 99/13 99/17 |
|  | 129/14 | 159/3 163/5 173/6 | 180/21 182/16 187/7 | 99/25 101/8 103/3 103/9 |
| 24/15 25/11 26/1 33/7 | grooming [1] 17/7 | 181/18 190/3 191/14 | 188/14 189/5 194/10 | 103/19 104/2 104/4 |
| 34/8 40/5 40/14 43/12 | grounds [1] 105/15 | 194/2 | 198/15 205/3 206/17 | 104/16 105/13 105/16 |
| 49/21 56/8 56/13 63/1 | group [1] 157/20 | he'll [1] 189/15 | 208/5 208/11 209/21 | 106/7 108/5 108/9 110/2 |
| 65/7 70/11 75/2 77/6 | GSE [1] 149/8 | he's [23] 39/16 44/5 48/2 | here's [4] 163/7 169/16 | 110/9 110/11 111/5 |
| 80/14 80/15 80/18 82/3 | guess [6] 30/17 125/2 | 59/16 59/17 77/6 86/14 | 180/7 204/10 | 111/17 117/18 117/20 |
| $86 / 1488 / 1491 / 292 / 3$ | 132/10 149/14 181/5 | 102/2 104/1 140/5 140/6 | heroin [2] 14/21 15/23 | 118/18 121/15 121/16 |
| 92/8 92/9 92/25 99/10 | 183/12 | 150/5 150/9 150/10 | hidden [2] 51/15 123/22 | 121/18 127/7 130/11 |
| (1) | guessed [1] 1 | 152/14 152/15 163/13 | hiding [2] 30/15 197/19 | 130/13 136/7 138/21 |
| 104/16 104/17 105/13 | guidance [1] 182/17 | 163/14 164/6 173 | hierarchical [3] 11/18 | 140/2 141/9 148/6 |
| 110/9 113/1 115/15 | guy [1] 116/7 | 182/9 186/6 197/16 | 11/20 107/10 | 148/10 149/19 152/3 |
| 118/2 118/25 136/7 | guys [1] 187/20 | head [8] 15/1 47/4 82/6 | high [2] 27/9 146/23 | 153/3 156/6 156/12 |
| 136/8 136/13 136/14 | H | 107/16 109/2 124/10 | high-end [1] 27/9 | 158/17 158/22 159/13 |
| 139/9 150/8 150/9 |  | 1/11 191/25 | highly [1] 190/9 | 59/21 160/4 160/8 |
| 150/10 151/7 151/9 | hacked [2] 53/2 53/2 | header [14] 48/25 49/5 | himself [1] 186/6 | 162/18 162/22 163/17 |
| 152/13 152/15 154/10 | Hal [2] 17/24 18/3 | 50/19 50/22 51/15 51/19 | hinges [1] 192/23 | 164/25 165/6 165/7 |
| 159/22 159/23 164/2 | half [11] 36/19 58/5 97/1 | 59/8 60/17 62/25 65/17 | hire [1] 11/9 | 166/4 166/9 166/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 159 / 20 & 159 / 18 & 164 / 20 \\ 164 / 6 & 167 / 18 \end{array}$ | 176/21 187/9 207/7 | 66/18 203/15 203/15 | history [1] 78/23 | 167/6 168/23 169/13 |
|  | 207/9 207/9 207/11 | 203/21 | hit [4] 167/2 188/24 | 171/9 172/8 173/23 |
| 175/25 176/10 176/14 | 207/23 209/8 | heads [1] 152/7 | 189/1 196/17 | 174/8 174/14 174/19 |
|  | hammer [1] 31/14 | hear [14] 85/19 103/8 | hits [3] 189/25 190/10 | 176/13 177/14 178/23 |
| 177/19 177/20 182/3 | hand [7] 5/2 33/4 102/21 | 110/24 151/18 175/23 | 190/12 | 179/3 182/19 183/20 |
| $177 / 917 / 20182 / 3$ | 110/3 126/2 141/6 | 175/24 180/8 180/19 | HMAC [1] 23/17 | 184/15 187/7 188/16 |
| 185/14 186/19 187/4 | 191/19 | 181/17 181/21 192/8 | Hmm [1] 69/16 | 189/20 190/7 194/11 |
|  | handcuffs [1] 47/3 | 192/14 198/18 202/18 | hold [23] 11/1 13/6 13/9 | 194/14 194/16 195/2 |
| 190/11 196/20 197/16 | handed [19] 23/8 58/15 | heard [5] 80/7 111/21 | 26/19 40/5 40/5 41/25 | 195/16 196/1 197/7 |
| $\text { 197/17 198/19 } 2$ | 73/7 74/17 74/20 74/22 | 177/9 185/1 187/9 | 52/12 57/5 70/6 75/20 | 198/19 200/14 201/22 |
| 200/8 202/19 202/20 | 80/2 81/8 91/7 94/9 | hearing [25] 1/10 4/1 | 79/22 84/4 84/4 91/18 | 201/25 202/2 202/22 |
| 202/20 204/10 204/10 | 95/14 99/17 110/11 | 21/2 22/19 25/21 44/7 | 100/17 101/19 118/21 | 204/5 205/4 205/7 |
| 204/11 204/14 204/16 | 117/20 121/18 130/13 | 50/4 72/15 77/17 86/21 | 124/8 174/4 183/23 | 205/13 205/24 206/5 |
| 204/20 205/4 205/25 | 138/23 148/10 156/6 | 92/13 128/17 140/4 | 196/25 200/10 | 206/6 207/10 208/10 |
| 206/20 207/2 207/4 | handing [3] 43/18 61/24 | 155/10 162/25 180/16 | holder [7] 83/9 83/25 | 208/24 210/10 210/12 |
| 208/6 208/16 208/18 | 148/9 | 182/23 183/4 194/2 | 134/2 139/9 147/14 | 211/4 |

## H

HONORABLE [1] 1/11
hoop [1] 159/7
hope [2] $85 / 4$ 201/25
Hopefully [1] 186/20
hopper [1] 182/3
hospitals [2] 199/8 199/10
HotwirePE.com [1] 96/8
hour [3] 4/1 176/21 186/21
hours [6] 64/1 64/10 118/25 171/3 187/2 188/20
house [3] 29/24 105/22 116/10
however [2] 102/13 132/25
huh [11] 39/25 96/25
130/16 134/11 147/17
185/12 185/18 190/5
193/14 198/8 203/6
human [1] 202/16
hundred [1] 100/22
Hydra [3] 15/11 16/5 16/5
Hydro [1] 15/22
hypotheticals [1] 180/21

## I

I'd [36] 6/13 6/19 13/4
16/19 19/1 20/7 25/20
25/25 26/4 26/21 35/13
38/21 43/24 44/9 44/22
45/9 45/10 53/25 58/20
62/4 62/14 76/17 85/22
91/9 110/23 124/20
138/1 140/2 151/4
162/18 164/25 166/23
169/12 181/24 187/23
206/19
I'll [52] 4/4 5/5 24/9
28/18 33/1 34/11 40/17
40/19 42/1 44/14 44/15
44/17 44/18 48/9 50/6
50/10 72/16 77/12 77/25
85/9 85/19 86/16 103/4
103/8 104/13 105/19
106/8 106/12 111/8
111/23 112/1 119/6
149/14 151/18 152/21
163/7 163/14 164/1
171/10 176/1 176/3
178/1 181/16 181/21
186/5 187/4 187/5
192/16 192/17 204/16 205/1 211/1
I'm [149] 6/17 7/12 7/23 9/16 9/24 14/23 18/1 18/13 20/8 20/10 20/15 22/6 27/19 27/24 27/25 33/11 34/14 35/8 38/15 38/24 39/8 39/12 39/23 40/14 43/18 43/19 47/2 49/21 52/14 60/23 61/24

61/25 62/25 65/7 68/14 68/15 70/11 73/8 74/12 76/1 76/13 80/8 81/6 81/25 82/14 82/14 87/12 90/25 91/18 92/3 92/8 92/8 92/22 92/25 99/10 99/16 103/19 104/16 104/17 105/13 106/15 110/9 110/19 110/19 111/18 112/17 112/20 112/22 112/24 113/2 113/6 116/6 118/2 119/5 121/6 121/14 124/6 129/10 135/9 135/15 136/7 136/13 139/9 141/5 141/23 142/2 142/5 144/14 144/25 148/9 150/3 150/8 150/9 150/11 150/22 152/22 152/23 152/24 152/24 153/21 154/12 154/15 155/11 159/22 159/23 162/2 167/11 169/21 172/8 172/25 173/23 174/2 175/13 175/15 176/9 178/14 178/14 178/15 178/21 180/4 180/20 182/13 182/16 188/13 191/10 192/14 197/25 198/19 200/5 200/8 201/18 202/19 202/20 202/20 204/10 204/10 204/11 204/16 204/16 204/20 205/10 206/3 206/3 206/4 208/6 208/18 209/20 209/25 210/14
I've [44] 6/23 17/9 18/23
24/10 28/20 28/21 37/11
37/21 53/18 73/4 73/5 73/7 75/22 79/13 91/5 91/7 94/9 95/14 97/23 99/17 107/9 113/23 117/20 121/18 121/23 123/8 125/15 130/13 132/22 135/25 138/15 144/14 148/10 151/14 $152 / 1156 / 6161 / 18$ 173/17 180/19 180/20 183/16 189/12 204/19 204/25
I.e [1] 139/20

Ian [9] 128/22 129/9 129/10 129/11 129/13 129/14 129/14 129/15 129/17
IBC [9] 83/18 86/17 86/23 86/24 87/4 87/15 87/17 87/19 89/23
ID [10] 59/25 65/20 67/18 67/19 67/20 67/23 68/2 99/11 138/11 203/21
idea [6] 15/20 16/4 105/9 109/19 200/14 206/15

| ideas [1] 109/20 | 141/25 173/6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| identical [11] 38/11 55/4 | inclusive [1] 209/14 |
| 55/6 55/8 55/13 55/14 | inconsistent [2] 103/23 |
| 55/23 65/15 66/7 67/21 | 103/25 |
| 202/7 | incorporated [1] 137/8 |
| identification [1] 86/4 | Incorporation [1] 96/14 |
| identified [14] 7/21 | incorrect [5] 71/12 |
| 20/18 20/23 87/5 87/13 | 117/8 117/12 124/23 |
| 103/5 106/10 140/17 | 143/13 |
| 161/22 175/13 186/14 | incorrectly [2] 10/2 |
| 186/22 197/10 206/10 | 42/10 |
| identifies [1] 84/8 | incredibly [3] 25/17 |
| identify [8] 7/13 17/14 | 27/19 110/14 |
| 17/15 21/22 27/15 39/12 | incredulous [1] 13/8 |
| 89/14 156/23 | incur [1] 204/14 |
| identifying [4] 13/23 | index [2] 23/17 23/20 |
| 17/15 19/18 34/10 | indexing [1] 126/12 |
| Identities [1] 21/12 | indicated [2] 174/22 |
| identity [3] 21/6 21/7 | 188/2 |
| 21/14 | indicates [1] 60/24 |
| IDs [4] 99/12 134/2 | indicating [1] 49/8 |
| 139/9 147/14 | indisputably [1] 202/12 |

ignoring [1] 42/3
II [4] $135 / 24136 / 2$ 155/5 161/2
imaged [1] 199/3
images [2] 79/3 203/13
imagine [1] 86/18
immediate [1] 25/16
immediately [1] 168/21
Immensely [1] 25/14
impeach [5] 103/20
103/25 104/7 164/2 164/4
impeaches [1] 163/5
impeachment [5] 103/18
104/11 163/18 163/20
165/8
implementation [3]
120/12 120/13 120/24
implementations [1] 172/18
implemented [2] 12/10 137/9
important [7] 13/21
27/19 111/14 183/2
195/20 196/4 202/24
impossibility [2] 170/22
171/16
impossible [1] 22/17 impression [2] 134/15 134/18
inability [2] 25/9 25/12
Inaudible [1] 68/13
inbox [2] 64/18 64/25
incident [1] 174/6
incles [1] 146/4
inclination [1] 188/5
inclined [1] 205/11
include [3] 27/14 209/15
209/16
included [3] 115/12 123/25 141/25
includes [3] 95/17 146/5 203/21
including [4] 27/9 42/8
interactions [1] 201/2 interest [1] 9/5
interfere [1] 179/7
internal [1] 172/4
internally [1] 207/4
international [16] 33/20
83/4 83/17 84/9 87/4
87/14 87/17 90/18
133/11 157/9 157/10
157/14 157/18 160/14 160/21 172/1
interpret [1] 140/7
interpretation [1] 133/5
interpreted [1] 173/18 interrupt [4] 15/2 84/13 118/24 125/4
intersecting [1] 110/4
interview [1] 186/20
interviews [3] 186/8
186/13 186/18
introduce [2] 7/19 103/22
introduced [1] 104/8 introducing [1] 103/16 invented [3] 6/7 11/23 137/19
inventing [1] 24/14
invention [2] $16 / 2325 / 3$
inventions [1] 6/1
inventor [2] 19/11 20/17
investigated [1] 196/6
investigation [9] 104/22
105/7 182/21 196/3
197/5 201/13 201/14
201/15 205/6
Investments [12] 83/4
83/18 84/9 87/4 87/14
133/11 157/9 157/10
157/14 157/18 160/14 160/21
invoice [4] 94/4 94/19
94/23 95/6
invoked [1] 4/19
involved [12] $8 / 22$ 16/2
16/16 17/22 30/22 48/21
93/21 120/2 132/16
185/4 190/4 190/18
involves [2] 103/14
197/8
IP [5] 8/18 8/18 19/17 24/23 31/5
IRA [8] $1 / 3$ 3/4 31/17
171/17 172/7 172/10 172/12 200/17
irrelevant [6] 10/17
13/25 26/24 28/17 100/9 100/25
IRS [13] 187/12 196/3
196/6 197/4 200/11
200/13 201/13 201/14
201/15 201/16 201/18
205/5 205/6
2 isn't [45] 33/18 35/6
38/11 40/1 41/16 42/4
51/5 56/1 58/2 60/25
61/12 65/25 66/4 66/22
isn't... [31] 67/4 67/21 68/4 69/25 71/6 79/18 88/5 89/23 93/11 93/14 98/10 98/11 104/11 104/21 105/12 105/21 115/2 117/4 128/5 129/2 130/7 133/19 134/8 145/18 147/9 148/22 164/15 170/18 171/4 171/7 171/12
issue [18] 77/18 78/15 101/14 102/11 102/13 102/15 160/1 166/24 187/7 187/25 192/21 193/1 197/6 197/25 198/2 200/13 202/21 202/23
issued [1] 146/14 issues [15] 18/10 26/24 112/2 182/3 182/15 187/24 188/4 188/15 189/4 189/8 194/9 197/7 197/9 197/12 197/17 it's [167] 5/7 6/12 7/24 10/18 11/6 11/6 13/10 15/1 22/14 22/23 24/11 24/25 26/13 28/19 29/24 31/21 34/10 34/15 38/6 38/10 38/11 38/16 40/11 40/13 41/9 42/5 45/20 49/14 49/19 50/1 50/3 52/21 52/23 53/10 53/21 54/4 55/2 58/13 58/14 59/1 59/20 62/10 65/25 66/4 67/13 68/17 69/20 70/2 70/24 70/25 71/25 73/3 73/6 73/10 73/21 74/9 74/15 74/19 74/21 74/24 77/25 78/12 79/2 88/17 88/23 90/3 92/7 93/3 93/6 95/5 95/6 95/6 95/18 96/8 97/7 97/22 98/20 100/17 102/13 103/13 103/18 103/22 103/25 104/7 105/16 107/10 108/1 108/3 108/20 109/15 110/2 110/8 110/13 110/14 111/14 111/24 112/4 112/11 112/21 112/22 115/6 120/5 120/7 120/7 120/21 120/25 121/1 121/15 121/24 122/16 128/7 128/14 128/19 131/23 135/9 140/5 140/9 149/10 154/10 154/13 154/21 155/1 156/19 160/13 164/13 165/3 165/6 167/10 169/14 169/15 169/24 172/1 172/1 172/2 173/16 175/5 176/2 176/5 176/21 177/25 179/5 182/8 184/12 186/19 186/21 186/22

| $187 / 11$ | $187 / 12$ | $188 / 5$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $190 / 22$ | $191 / 14$ | $191 / 15$ |  |
| $192 / 1$ | $193 / 1$ | $193 / 3$ | $193 / 6$ |
| $193 / 7$ | $193 / 24$ | $194 / 20$ |  |
| $195 / 20$ | $196 / 5$ | $196 / 17$ |  |
| $197 / 18$ | $198 / 15$ | $201 / 22$ |  |
| $204 / 6$ | $210 / 19$ |  |  |
| items | $[2]$ | $195 / 2$ | $195 / 2$ |
| itself | $[2]$ | $115 / 22$ | $118 / 5$ |
| $\mathbf{J}$ |  |  |  |
| jail | $[2]$ | $19 / 1$ | $19 / 2$ |
| January | $[7]$ | $10 / 22$ | $24 / 1$ |
| $30 / 20$ | $105 / 23$ | $136 / 21$ |  |
| 136/23 | $149 / 1$ |  |  |
| January | 1 st | $[2]$ | $136 / 21$ |
| 136/23 |  |  |  |

January 2009 [1] 10/22 jet [1] 116/9
job [2] 106/3 189/16 Joseph [1] 18/24 judge [33] 1/12 14/8 23/10 28/4 30/9 31/16 32/17 33/4 101/13 101/23 102/1 102/9 102/19 118/23 150/24 151/6 166/22 167/10 167/12 167/14 168/2 168/12 171/21 173/12 173/20 174/21 175/1 175/5 179/23 179/25 179/25 187/2 188/8 judges [1] 179/24 judicial [7] 81/18 81/21 82/5 82/7 138/18 155/11 189/16
July [15] 69/23 89/20 117/15 150/6 152/14 177/15 178/4 178/13 178/15 178/16 178/24 178/24 208/3 210/25 213/22
July 12th [2] 69/23 178/16
July 15th [1] 178/15 July 21st [1] 89/20 July 29th [3] 177/15 178/24 178/24
jump [3] 60/5 61/14 159/6
June [27] 1/8 14/18 34/23 37/15 51/24 52/2 52/7 52/11 66/3 67/2 69/12 72/1 72/4 110/20 110/21 125/18 143/24 144/1 144/3 144/8 144/16 145/2 145/4 145/12 145/14 145/21 196/21
June 20 [1] 125/18
June 2010 [1] 14/18
June 2011 [1] 52/7
June 24th [6] 34/23 37/15 52/11 72/1 72/4 110/21
June 9th [3] 144/8

144/16 145/2 $\quad 126 / 11$
junk [1] 196/15
just [146] 7/16 7/18 8/4 11/6 12/4 12/12 17/11 25/11 25/13 31/24 31/25 33/1 33/7 33/10 34/9 42/16 49/21 56/6 58/15 61/11 62/25 64/22 65/10 68/14 73/18 74/9 74/15 74/18 75/11 80/3 80/6 80/13 80/15 80/19 80/20 81/5 81/12 81/20 82/10 82/16 84/13 85/5 88/14 92/1 92/4 92/5 92/9 92/10 92/20 94/9 95/14 98/11 100/13 100/14 100/21 101/19 101/20 101/22 101/24 102/16 104/4 108/7 110/11 110/19 110/22 111/10 111/13 111/16 111/18 114/8 116/22 117/20 118/23 119/1 120/5 123/8 130/13 132/4 132/11 135/12 139/10 140/5 140/12 141/2 141/7 141/12 143/11 150/1 152/2 152/4 153/4 154/14 155/10 159/25 162/17 163/1 163/10 163/18 163/23 164/1 164/4 165/11 165/12 166/19 169/13 169/21 169/22 169/23 170/3 176/12 176/18 176/25 177/3 178/25 180/20 183/7 183/10 183/11 183/13 184/12 185/25 186/1 187/7 187/15 187/25 188/8 189/4 191/18 191/19 192/21 193/7 195/17 196/18 196/23 197/14 198/15 199/15 202/22 204/5 204/7 205/13 206/3 210/12 210/15 210/17 210/18
justify [1] 28/7 JVP [1] 18/24

## K

Karp [14] 195/11 195/12 195/13 197/9 197/9 197/13 197/20 197/21 200/7 200/8 200/9 200/17 200/21 201/1
Kass [3] 2/13 3/20 191/12
keep [8] 11/10 12/25 21/16 24/14 59/25 119/13 126/16 142/25
keeps [1] 201/14
Kenny [1] 179/15
Kenya [1] 162/8
kept [3] 29/22 126/8
key [46] 10/9 11/22 12/3 21/8 21/9 21/9 21/16 21/18 23/8 23/16 23/19 24/2 28/10 29/11 29/21 99/11 99/12 106/24 107/9 107/11 107/19 109/18 109/19 110/2 113/17 114/6 114/9 114/22 115/18 115/19 122/10 124/3 124/6 125/2 128/6 128/20 128/23 129/4 131/12 134/2 137/17 142/22 143/14 146/11 146/13 146/23
keyed [1] 193/20
keys [61] 10/12 $11 / 18$ 11/19 13/2 14/2 14/3 14/3 23/15 23/21 23/21 23/24 24/4 29/14 30/6 30/11 31/3 106/11 107/3 107/23 107/23 107/24 107/24 108/11 109/22 109/23 109/24 114/18 114/21 118/15 120/8 120/14 120/18 124/5 129/2 131/11 131/15 132/3 134/13 135/18 137/7 137/10 137/11 137/12 137/12 139/24 140/1 140/9 140/13 140/18 141/12 141/19 143/9 143/11 143/12 143/13 143/14 143/16 146/18 147/8 147/9 155/3
kids [1] 28/22
kind [4] 44/7 181/25
186/24 206/20
kindly [2] 89/13 174/23
Kingdom [1] 105/22 KLEIMAN [41] $1 / 3$ 3/4 3/5 24/3 29/19 29/21 31/17 39/10 43/21 51/14 58/2 62/3 62/21 72/1 72/3 72/5 74/7 88/6
96/24 113/10 113/11 113/22 134/1 136/17 139/22 142/17 143/24 143/25 144/2 144/4 145/11 145/13 145/21 145/23 171/17 172/7 172/12 183/5 195/21 196/4 196/6
Kleiman's [6] 172/10 194/25 195/10 195/22 200/17 200/18
knew [6] 16/13 17/3 127/8 127/11 159/9 206/9
know [125] 3/24 4/6 8/25 10/2 13/14 17/17 17/24 20/9 33/10 36/22 37/3 37/5 37/8 50/16 58/8 60/6 60/22 62/25

63/2 65/8 66/2 73/25 75/12 76/8 77/1 77/6 78/22 79/2 79/16 82/6 82/24 85/21 86/17 89/13 89/22 91/24 93/5 105/18 105/24 108/22 109/1 109/15 111/20 113/1 119/6 124/10 125/13 125/20 126/10 126/18 126/20 126/22 126/23 127/22 129/18 131/25 133/8 134/2 135/9 135/16 136/3 137/18 148/5 152/14 152/16 159/20 162/23 163/10 164/5 167/14 167/23 175/21 175/22 176/1 176/1 176/5 177/13 177/14 177/20 177/24 177/24 178/12 178/15 179/9 181/16 181/24 182/2 182/5 182/8 186/16 186/21 188/6 188/7 189/6 189/9 190/13 190/16 190/20 191/10 191/10 191/25 192/4 193/5 193/17 193/23 195/21 196/20 197/15 197/17 197/21 198/24 199/24 205/15 206/2 206/17 206/20 207/17 207/20 208/1 208/3 208/10 209/21 209/23 210/6 210/7 knowing [1] 21/3 knowledge [11] 8/15 19/10 20/16 21/19 23/23 56/12 79/18 80/24 128/21 129/11 139/5 known [9] 10/14 13/10 15/6 18/23 53/2 53/5 54/25 56/5 127/2
knows [3] 33/7 203/2 205/25
Kyle [2] 2/8 3/13
L
label [9] 38/6 53/22 91/8 94/10 95/16 99/19
110/12 143/20 205/15
labeled [4] 83/8 118/14 121/25 148/11
labels [6] 72/22 88/12 111/7 117/22 121/20 160/8
lady [1] 168/7 laid [3] 40/12 44/4 135/7
language [1] 116/13 large [4] 16/17 196/13 196/15 196/15
larger [1] 101/21
last [36] 42/5 42/9 42/11 55/17 57/11 60/21 81/12 89/5 90/16 94/25 95/1 95/3 97/19 97/24 97/25 98/1 98/2 98/3 105/17

| L | let | line 16 [1] 67/17 | $\text { LLP [7] } 2 / 22 / 52 / 82 /$ | E [1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| last... [17] 112/7 112/9 | 37/22 44/2 44/11 46/6 | line 17 [1] 68/1 | 2/14 2/17 2/2 | 1/12 |
| 115/24 120/17 120/19 | 50/16 60/6 60/22 63/2 | line 20 [1] 59/5 | loan [7] 114/11 | 71 |
| 130/25 132/9 137/6 | 65/8 65/15 82/24 89/13 | line 21 [1] 41/11 | 114/25 115/5 115/13 | /25 37/15 |
| 139/10 149/6 154/6 | 95/2 96/17 100/4 100/11 | line 25 [2] 64/5 65/13 | 115/18 116/17 | 39/10 41/11 43/21 45/22 |
| 155/13 155/15 162/17 | 104/13 106/4 108/7 | line 26 [3] 50/18 51/18 | loaning [4] 114/12 | 45/25 45/25 46/3 46/4 |
| 171/2 188/8 199/10 | 119/7 123/8 125/4 126/4 | 65/12 | 114/13 114/14 115/2 | 46/11 46/12 48/25 49/1 |
| later [13] 10/11 11/12 | 133/8 136/11 141/6 | line 27 [1] 66/1 | local [1] 64/14 | 49/3 49/5 49/8 |
| 40/15 46/12 46/17 63/11 | 150/1 151/3 151/14 | line 28 [4] 50/24 51/21 | locate [2] 110/6 18 | 49/18 50/13 50/19 |
| 63/21 64/25 71/13 98/13 | 153/21 163/12 166/19 | 59/9 66/5 | located [1] 127/19 | 51/5 51/7 51/15 51/17 |
| 106/1 167/1 205/17 | 171/1 175/16 176/1 | line 30 [1] 66/12 | location [1] 98/23 | 1/19 52/1 52/1 53/4 |
| latest [1] 151/15 | 176/25 178/12 178/25 | line 39 [2] 61/14 63/1 | lock [1] 156/21 | 53/13 54/19 55/4 57/ |
| Laughter [2] 113/3 | 183/7 186/5 192/23 | line 4 [7] 40/3 41/2 41/9 | locked [5] 18/12 65/2 | 58/5 58/8 58/13 58/14 |
| 149/24 | 198/18 198/25 208/2 | 41/14 41/17 42/6 71/6 | 164/13 164/17 191/12 | 58/18 59/6 59/6 59/8 |
| launched [2] 15/21 | 208/18 211/1 | line 41 [1] 61/16 | lodged [1] 121/5 | 59/9 59/12 59/20 60/24 |
| 15/22 | let's [41] 32/2 37/23 | line 43 [1] 63/14 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }}$ [3] 200/23 201/9 | 60/25 61/8 61/19 62/3 |
| law [10] 12/19 27/19 | 47/17 50/15 59/8 59/22 | line 45 [2] 44/22 62/ | 201/10 | 62/20 62/24 63/5 63/5 |
| 27/20 27/20 27/22 27/22 | 60/5 60/20 61/14 62/23 | line 46 [1] 63/9 | logically [1] 192/13 | 63/10 63/15 63/20 63/20 |
| $33 / 1833 / 2033 / 22$ | 65/4 67/8 67/20 83/23 | line 49 [3] 60/21 60/22 | long [12] 17/8 30/15 | 64/5 64/23 65/5 65/16 |
| 25 | 87/11 89/5 97/12 97/14 | 63/2 | 36/18 74/23 151/2 165/4 | 65/17 65/24 66/3 66/11 |
|  | 100/17 105/18 115/23 | line 60 [1] 59/22 | 165/7 167/3 178/1 | 66/14 66/17 67/1 67/9 |
| 157/23 | 119/4 119/11 119/13 | line 86 [1] 48/13 | 194/20 198/2 207/21 | 68/22 69/12 69/24 70/2 |
| 200/18 201/5 201/8 | 128/10 132/8 132/8 | line 90 [1] 48/16 | longer [5] 21/13 119/4 | 70/4 71/18 71/24 72/1 |
| lawyer's [1] 195/19 | 132/21 136/5 139/22 | lined [1] 65/9 | 119/8 129/23 155/1 | 72/2 72/3 74/7 89/1 89/2 |
| lawyering [1] 189/13 | 140/15 147/11 152/2 | lines [8] 50/25 60/1 60/6 | looked [7] 88/17 109/11 | 89/10 89/15 89/18 90/1 |
|  | 155/5 155/18 155/24 | 61/12 62/17 120/11 | 109/13 109/16 110/5 | 90/4 90/9 90/16 90/17 |
| 11/12 32/5 35/8 127/23 | 160/24 161/11 176/24 | 135/17 136/22 | 124/12 190/13 | 93/10 94/1 94/25 95/1 |
| 128/2 146/16 175/21 | 187/25 209/20 | lines 25 [1] 60/6 | looking [12] 39/17 83/12 | 95/3 95/4 96/2 96/10 |
| lay [4] 50/8 50/8 163 | letter [1] 186/23 | lines 49 [1] 61/12 | 94/2 109/10 121/8 | 96/24 110/21 122/10 |
| 163/23 | letters [2] 66/15 184/17 | lines 82 [1] 60/1 | 125/15 138/19 142/2 | 122/20 125/23 128/11 |
| layer [3] | level [4] 107/14 191/4 | link [3] 11/25 12/1 21/1 | 144/9 149/6 153/24 | 128/13 129/19 131/3 |
| 135/11 | 191/24 196/21 | linked [4] 11/18 12/7 | 154/12 | 132/11 132/12 132/21 |
| layers [4] 8/17 103/12 | levels [1] 204/23 | 15/18 21/17 | looks [7] 131/24 167/22 | 191/22 203/1 |
| 135/10 171/20 | Liberty [16] 15/14 18 | liquidation [5] | /7 179/1 179/17 | ail's [3] 49/2 50/22 |
| layperson [1] 1 | 114/14 115/20 142/21 | 54/20 54/24 56/4 147/23 | 193/15 193/1 | 61/18 |
| lays [1] 134/7 | 144/24 144/25 145/8 | list [28] 9/4 9/13 9/19 | lose [1] 173/23 | mailing [1] 134 |
| lead [3] 45/11 181/1 | 145/16 145/18 145/24 | 12/25 13/6 13/22 13/23 | lost [1] 208/22 | mails [13] 49/15 55/5 |
| lead [3] 45/11 181/1 | 146/1 146/10 146/23 | 20/13 21/21 22/18 22/21 | lot [19] 25/16 31/8 | 67/13 68/25 70/1 90/12 |
|  | 147/5 147/6 | 23/12 24/5 24/22 25/10 | 100/25 121/9 136/9 | 191/23 193/12 193/13 |
|  | life [3] 17/4 31/9 132/16 | 25/12 26/15 27/3 27/14 | 161/4 190/9 190/11 | 193/17 202/10 202/11 |
|  | likely [2] 29/24 190/9 | 31/16 77/19 89/12 | 190/13 190/16 190/20 | 202/13 |
| learn [1] 78/24 | likewise [1] 93/22 | 107/20 109/23 158/4 | 190/21 191/7 192/23 | mailsrvr.com [1] 65/18 |
| least [11] $4 / 3 \mathrm{36/19}$ | limited [10] 50/1 89/19 | 209/2 209/7 209/14 | 192/25 193/3 195/23 | main [2] 2/9 122/12 |
| 36/23 127/5 163/15 | 93/18 93/19 95/10 96/11 | listed [14] 13/25 54/21 | 198/14 204/2 | maintain [2] 71/20 |
| 180/16 186/22 188/7 | 133/12 178/17 199/2 | 55/1 56/1 63/25 96/18 | lots [1] 135/25 | 72/11 |
| 197/14 204/17 208/7 | 210/2 | 99/12 122/11 141/1 | lovely [1] 210/20 | major [1] 179/3 |
| leave [2] 4/15 176/18 | limits [1] 90/18 | 157/15 161/12 162/8 | LTD [15] 83/4 83/5 | Maker [1] 41/8 |
| leaving [1] 14/15 | line [59] 21/12 26/23 | 1/1 201/3 | 83/18 84/9 84/9 87/4 | makes [4] 31/25 117/1 |
| led [1] 76/1 | 40/3 41/2 41/5 41/6 41/9 | listen [2] 185/14 186/2 | 87/14 87/19 98/9 143/24 | 130/3 181/24 |
| ledger [3] 13/25 143/23 | 41/11 41/14 41/17 42/3 | lists [3] 51/21 137/10 | 145/11 147/23 160/1 | makeup [1] 132/3 |
| 145/10 | 42/6 42/6 42/15 44/22 | 137/12 | 160/21 161/13 | making [1] $30 / 15$ |
| leeway [1] 50/7 | 45/1 48/13 48/16 49/22 | literally [1] 116/22 | lunch [1] 4/2 | Malmi [1] 14/22 |
| left [9] 4/20 8/18 14/15 | 50/2 50/18 50/24 51/1 | litigation [2] 36/16 | Lynn [1] 87/23 | manage [4] 143/9 |
| 15/21 17/2 36/25 153/9 | 18 51/21 59/5 59/8 |  | M | 143/11 143/12 143/13 |
| 159/10 176/15 |  | little [13] 31/24 32/ |  | managed [1] 157/20 |
| legal [22] 11/1 11/2 | 61/14 61/16 62/14 63/2 $63 / 963 / 963 / 14 ~ 63 / 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 / 7 \quad 100 / 17160 / 17 \\ & 167 / 1 \quad 170 / 10 \quad 182 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-a-l-m-i [1] } 14 / 25 \\ & \text { M-a-r-r-t-i [1] } 14 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | managing [1] $65 / 3$ <br> Mandela [1] 8/10 |
| 12/10 12/19 33/24 59/15 | 64/5 65/12 65/13 66/1 | 185/10 187/5 188/13 | MacGregor [16] 122/21 |  |
| 75/23 75/25 76/2 76/4 | 66/5 66/12 67/17 68/1 | 208/2 210/22 | 122/21 128/11 131/4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { manipulate } \\ 71 / 16 \\ 71 / 17 \end{array}$ |
| 106/17 112/1 135/11 | 69/8 69/20 70/15 71/6 | live [18] 5/17 5/21 25/7 | $132 / 12 \quad 132 / 15 \quad 132 / 22$ | manipulated [2] |
| 135/19 136/25 142/24 | 89/10 92/14 105/14 | $115 / 11129 / 17 \text { 151/18 }$ | 133/16 134/7 134 | 202/13 |
| 143/4 146/19 156/19 | 115/5 122/15 147/13 | 151/18 151/19 151/22 | $134 / 19134 / 24 \quad 134 / 25$ | manner [3] |
| 171/7 184/9 189/3 | 148/20 148/24 | 167/13 167/22 1 | 135/6 135/13 | 111/16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leon [4] } 2 / 112 / 142 / 17 \\ & 2 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | line 12 [ 6 ] $41 / 642 / 3$ | 168/17 174/10 175/2 | machine [1] 70/2 | mapping [1] 23/21 |
| less [6] 6/5 63/15 119/12 | $42 / 642 / 15$ 69/8 70/15 line 13 [2] 41/5 69/20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 175/8 175/13 176/10 } \\ & \text { lives [1] } 31 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { machines [2] 96/22 } \\ & 142 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | March [1] 127/11 <br> March 14th [1] 127/11 |

## M

margin [1] 209/10
mark [6] 25/24 52/5
53/17 72/20 76/20
137/22
marked [18] 6/16 43/18 53/18 58/18 61/24 62/2
72/21 81/6 81/11 95/15
99/18 102/1 102/3
117/21 121/19 130/14
148/11 169/8
marker [4] 14/21 41/19 63/8 72/6
markets [3] 15/25 31/11 31/11
marking [3] 34/14 34/16 81/8
Markoe [12] 2/19 3/22
32/16 32/17 183/8 185/3
188/2 189/6 189/7
204/22 208/18 208/23
Marquardt [2] 15/7 141/24
marriage [1] $17 / 4$
Marshal [1] 167/22
Martti [3] 14/22 15/20 15/24
master's [2] 27/20 33/20
match [1] 57/15
matches [3] 56/7 64/18 89/25
material [2] 85/8 191/3
materials [1] 186/12
math [1] 132/1
mathematics [1] 6/1
matter [10] 26/16
103/16 104/6 104/11
175/1 177/1 177/4 204/7
206/1 213/21
matters [2] 14/2 185/17
$\max [1] 119 / 10$
May 13th [5] 74/16 79/8 81/1 81/2 81/12
May 8th [6] 81/1 98/8
138/17 147/20 147/21 155/8
Mayaka [2] 89/3 161/22 maybe [15] 69/17 80/6 82/17 84/5 86/18 100/21 176/6 186/20 187/15 189/15 189/20 204/23 204/23 210/7 211/3
McGovern [34] 2/10 3/17 4/4 5/9 6/15 7/12 44/12 56/15 76/22 86/14 86/22 88/20 91/11 99/7 100/7 100/19 101/15 103/7 110/22 149/15 155/25 156/11 159/25 166/6 166/22 168/14 168/15 168/22 178/21 188/12 194/13 206/23 212/4 212/10
MD5 [2] 203/1 203/14
MDMA [1] $15 / 23$
me [161] $3 / 8$ 5/1 14/13

20/21 25/20 26/11 26/18 media [2] 132/24 134/21 27/19 30/14 30/16 30/24 medical [14] 194/25 31/7 31/12 32/18 33/10 36/20 37/14 37/22 42/15 42/22 44/2 45/11 45/15 46/6 47/4 48/13 49/22 50/16 50/16 55/19 56/3 56/4 56/6 56/10 59/23 60/1 60/6 60/22 60/22 63/2 63/2 65/8 65/10 65/15 68/1 69/6 69/17 69/18 70/15 72/5 78/7 79/13 79/24 80/2 80/23 81/5 81/11 81/24 82/10 82/23 82/24 82/25 84/18 85/23 86/2 89/5 95/2 96/17 96/23 97/24 98/14 98/22 100/4 100/11 106/4 108/7 112/8 112/24 114/8 114/13 115/1 115/10 116/7 116/9 116/9 116/13 117/1 117/3 119/15 120/23 123/8 123/17 124/4 124/12 125/4 126/4 128/18 129/3 129/15 129/19 132/5 132/18 133/7 133/8 133/8 133/22 134/20 136/11 139/7 140/23 141/6 143/18 149/9 150/1 150/11 157/15 158/5 158/15 158/22 159/1 159/23 162/5 163/1 163/10 163/12 166/18 166/19 166/25 171/1 173/13 173/24 174/16 174/17 175/16 175/23 175/24 176/1 176/25 178/1 178/25 178/25 179/1 180/15 180/16 181/9 183/7 186/4 186/10 186/16 186/24 187/1 191/2 192/23 194/9 195/15 198/18 198/25 199/19 204/20 208/2 208/22 mean [24] 7/16 35/9 68/22 73/15 73/21 79/3 86/18 100/15 111/17 119/10 123/6 149/10 165/23 181/23 182/15 189/24 193/4 200/6 200/6 200/11 201/7 205/23 207/6 210/19 meaning [1] 145/12 meaningful [1] 182/17 means [8] 23/1 36/11 59/12 73/10 106/24 146/22 157/22 173/5 meant [5] 24/2 111/17 115/20 146/5 191/18 measure [1] $11 / 3$ measures [1] 171/19 mechanism [5] 11/13 13/19 16/4 16/6 204/7

195/1 195/6 195/6 197/2
197/2 198/22 198/23
198/24 199/5 199/11
199/16 200/2 200/6
meet [3] 187/20 195/3 199/17
meeting [2] 160/13 188/3
meetings [2] 184/25
185/1
Memorandum [1] 96/14 memory [1] 99/10
mention [2] 137/2 177/9 mentioned [7] 25/20
145/1 145/6 145/7 146/1 159/18 190/7
mentioning [1] 129/14
Merit [1] 213/18
message [5] 60/18 89/11
116/24 117/4 117/9
messages [4] 8/19 8/22
49/8 123/2
Mestre [5] 2/11 2/14 2/17 2/20 147/24 met [1] 201/24
metadata [33] 38/14
38/19 38/21 39/9 39/15 42/16 49/2 50/18 53/4
53/7 58/17 59/22 61/18 62/17 62/25 64/22 67/2 67/9 67/10 67/17 68/2
69/7 69/19 70/19 71/14
71/16 71/17 76/13 77/2
193/11 202/3 202/6
202/13
metadatas [1] 67/14
method [1] 181/25
methodology [1] 8/11
Miami [3] $2 / 4$ 2/7 2/21
Michael [3] 15/7 15/20 141/24
microphone [1] 5/6
Microsoft [5] 41/8 65/19 78/8 78/15 79/1
middle [3] 116/23 171/22 181/5
might [4] 73/24 184/14
187/16 205/19
million [1] 108/21
millstone [1] 31/9
mind [10] 57/10 81/10
92/4 99/15 110/9 119/16
122/8 136/5 141/3 166/8
mindful [2] 150/3 150/4
mine [2] 22/13 177/17
mined [22] 8/23 10/20
10/22 11/4 13/20 17/16
17/19 19/18 20/17 21/18 21/22 23/14 27/4 27/11 27/15 107/21 116/5 156/20 164/12 172/5 209/3 209/15
miners [1] $8 / 13$
minimum [2] 106/24

131/9
mining [4] $8 / 12 \quad 16 / 11$ 17/18 17/19
minor [2] 86/6 93/3 minus [2] 64/8 66/8 minute [7] 56/13 100/18 101/2 101/5 104/2 153/17 165/6
minutes [9] 31/23 56/24
94/1 119/10 153/10
153/13 160/13 176/15
176/16
mischaracterizing [3]
41/4 41/24 42/2
mislead [2] 46/4 56/9
misleading [5] 46/5 46/8
46/13 46/18 124/23
misrepresentation [1]
120/5
misrepresenting [2]
56/11 130/5
missing [2] 30/6 32/14
mistake [2] $52 / 24$
137/12
MIT's [1] 137/20
mixing [1] 146/20
mixup [2] 65/4 66/25
mode [1] 32/4
model [1] 21/11
modern [2] 13/13 49/14
modification [1] 42/7
modified [19] $41 / 13$
42/5 49/19 51/5 53/1
54/16 54/16 54/19 54/22
55/7 55/9 58/14 68/10
68/21 69/15 70/20 71/9
74/7 74/8
modify [16] 41/6 42/12
42/16 43/1 43/3 43/5
43/10 43/11 47/11 48/3
48/5 69/7 69/10 70/16
73/11 73/15
modus [2] 193/15
193/17
moment [9] 25/19 27/20
43/12 62/24 104/18
109/14 132/12 161/20
201/17
moments [1] 166/23
Monday [3] 178/24
187/21 188/3
monetary [1] 18/23
money [17] 11/11 15/12
16/15 16/17 18/25 24/9
28/20 28/22 31/8 110/3
110/4 147/6 164/18 165/20 190/21 190/24 195/23
monitors [1] 34/12
month [2] 52/7 199/10
months [1] 142/14
morning [3] $3 / 23 / 15$
3/23
most [2] 189/13 208/5
mother [4] 25/17 25/18
26/11 26/18
motion [4] 72/16 204/16
204/17 204/17
move [26] 35/13 43/24
47/19 58/20 62/4 63/9
63/14 63/19 64/4 72/15
76/17 89/18 90/1 91/9
110/10 110/16 110/16
122/1 130/18 148/13
155/18 156/9 158/11
164/25 176/3 187/17
moved [7] 20/4 20/6
114/15 144/3 145/21
145/24 158/9
moves [1] 182/5
moving [5] $35 / 11115 / 20$
115/20 119/13 179/5
MP [2] 45/4 62/18
Mr [10] 56/17 113/15
127/16 145/11 145/21
197/21 200/9 212/5
212/7 212/9
Mr. [108] 4/4 31/24
32/14 33/2 33/13 35/20
40/15 44/6 50/7 52/5
57/10 70/6 72/20 74/3
80/13 81/17 84/5 85/11
87/2 100/11 100/12
100/20 101/12 102/2
102/10 103/1 103/15
103/17 103/21 104/13
104/15 111/13 113/10
113/11 118/3 118/21
119/8 119/14 119/16
121/13 122/21 $134 / 7$
134/19 135/15 136/13
137/23 140/2 141/8
143/24 143/25 144/2
144/4 145/13 145/23
149/13 149/17 150/7
150/8 153/8 153/20
155/7 155/13 159/15
160/16 160/24 163/2
163/22 166/17 167/9
167/22 174/20 174/22
176/15 176/21 177/8
178/20 179/2 179/22
180/8 181/17 184/13
186/5 186/11 187/6
188/12 188/12 191/12
191/13 192/8 195/11
195/12 195/13 197/9
197/9 197/13 197/20
198/18 200/7 200/8
200/17 200/21 201/1
201/17 202/20 203/2
207/21 207/22 210/11
Mr. Brenner [1] 160/16
Mr. Court [3] 57/10 119/16 155/13
Mr. David [1] 143/24
Mr. Feldman [1] 103/15
Mr. Freedman [60]
31/24 33/2 33/13 35/20
40/15 50/7 52/5 70/6
72/20 74/3 80/13 81/17
84/5 85/11 87/2 100/11

| M | 88/20 91/11 99/7 100/7 | 208/4 | [3] | 58/22 59/2 59/14 62/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4] |  | $\text { 1] } 126$ | 209/23 |  |
| 12 102/2 102/10 |  | needs [9] 33/12 111/2 | notificatio | 72/19 75/6 75/8 76/23 |
| 103/1 103/17 103/21 | $1159 / 25166$ | 517 | ify [1] |  |
| 104/13 104/15 118/3 | 6/22 168/14 168/15 | 退11 185/17 186/1 | November [5] 112/16 | $2488 / 2088$ |
| 118/21 119/8 121/13 | 168/22 178/21 194/13 | 209/21 | 113/11 113/22 117/7 | /11 91/12 91 |
| 136/13 137/23 140/2 | 206/23 | negative [2] 25/13 63/23 | 117/11 | 1394 |
| 141/8 149/13 149/17 | Ms. Uyen [1] 133 | negotiated [1] 190/2 | November 19th [2] | 95/24 99/24 100/8 |
| $7150 / 8153 / 8$ | much | negotiation [1] 188/19 | 117/7 117/1 |  |
| 153/20 155/7 159/1 | 22/7 22/8 22/9 28/19 | negotiations [1] | Nov |  |
| 160/24 163/2 163/22 |  |  | $113 / 2$ |  |
| 177/8 178/20 179/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 179/22 180/8 181/17 |  |  |  |  |
| 184/13 186/5 186/11 | $206 / 20$ | never [15] 20/6 24/12 | 81/16 83/18 86/4 87/4 | 122/2 $122 / 3122$ |
| 187/6 188/12 192/8 | multi [1] 100/22 | 24/18 24/20 28/11 28/22 | 87/15 87/19 88/15 88/20 | 127/25 130/19 130/20 |
| 198/18 202/20 203/2 | multi-hundred-page [1] | 31/3 48/21 59/17 74/7 | 89/20 89/23 89/23 91/20 | 130/21 1 |
| Freedman's | 100/22 | 99/15 110/9 134/18 | 92/1 92/9 92/16 92/17 | 148/14 148/15 148 |
| Mr. Freedman's | multiple [5] 58/9 68/21 | 164/14 179/6 | 97/16 97/21 98/6 9 | 149/14 155/25 |
|  | 103/12 107/12 | new [11] 21/11 21/15 | 99/5 99/6 102/20 103 | 156/12 156/14 160/1 |
|  | multiplied [1] 23/18 | 78/13 78/15 78/16 90/18 | 107/22 121/7 12 | 0/4 1 |
| 197/9 197/13 197/20 | must [2] 86/21 159/6 | 93/19 93/21 127/13 | 126/15 126/15 13 | 163/25 164/1 171/9 |
| 200/7 200/8 200/17 | myself [4] $148 / 25$ | 152 | 143/22 146/12 157/22 | 83/13 183 |
| 00/21 201/1 | 162/16 173/14 176 | news [1] 25/18 | 171/3 192/10 196/13 | 185/9 185/23 19 |
| Mr. Kass [1] 191/12 | N | [22] $10 / 31718$ | number 064409 [2] | 192/17 200/1 201/18 |
| r. Kleiman [7] 113/10 | Naka | 63/9 63/10 69/19 90/1 | number 08248988 | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{l} \text { objection's [1] } \\ \text { objections [1] } \\ 2010 / 125 \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 113/11 143/25 144/2 | 6/11 7/4 132/17 138/13 | 90/12 105/19 133/21 | 98/6 | objects [1] 151/21 |
| 144/4 $145 / 13145 / 23$ | 139/18 | 152/1 152/23 152/24 | number 1 [2] | obtain [5] 22/18 22/21 |
| Mr. MacGregor [4] <br> 122/21 134/7 134/19 | name [12] 5/15 14/16 | 176/4 178/19 179/10 | number 10 [1] 146/12 | 22/25 24/5 19 |
| 135/15 | 14/23 16/3 55/2 | 179/12 182/18 189/16 | number 11 [2] 81/14 | obtained [6] 30/11 |
| Mr. Marshal [1] 167/22 | 61/4 93/19 190/4 190 | yen [1] 133/25 | 88/1 | /7 $203 / 8$ |
| McGovern [1] |  |  | number 12 [1] 81/1 | ning [1] |
|  |  |  | Nu | obviously [10] $150 / 4$ |
| Mr. Rivero [9] 4/4 |  |  |  | $16714^{175 / 22} 179 / 3$ |
| 32/14 100/20 101/12 | 86/11 135/23 |  | number 3 [1] 143/22 | 194/17 198/1 206/13 |
| 111/13 119/14 166/17 | narrow [3] 189/22 209/1 | nodding [2] 80/9 152/8 | numbers [8] 82/6 82/15 | occurred [1] 14/17 |
| 167/9 174/20 | 209/12 | nodes [1] 21/20 | 86/17 86/23 86/24 91/2 | October [33] 42/24 43/1 |
| ${ }_{\text {Mr. Roche [3] 191/13 }}$ | narrows [1] | nomenclature [1] 9/25 | 91/24 192/4 | 43/6 58/1 |
| Mr. Shadders [2] | native [5] 95/18 112/8 | nominal [1] 162/6 | numerous [1] 203/23 | 63/16 63/23 64/7 64/14 |
| Mr. Shadders [2] <br> 174/22 176/15 | 121/23 122/9 132/10 | nominee [2] 83/10 83/2 | NY [1] $2 / 9$ | 15 64/1 |
| Mr. Shatters [1] 176/21 | natives [1] 110/15 | non [1] 80/12 | O | 68/2 |
| Ms [4] 147/24 189/6 | $16 / 5210 / 17$ | noncompliance [2] $27 / 6$ |  |  |
| 212/4 212/10 | nChain [2] 5/25 27/10 |  | 176/18 176/22 | $94 / 2395 / 296 / 397 / 3$ |
| Ms. [41] 4/4 5/9 6/15 $7 / 12$ 32/16 32/17 44/12 | necessarily [3] 13/14 | nondeliv | oath [4] 33/1 34/2 73 | 97/15 142/19 157/5 |
| $7 / 1232 / 1632 / 1744 / 12$ $56 / 15$ $76 / 22$ $86 / 14$ $86 / 22$ | 68/10 178/9 | none [4] 118/7 120/25 | 87/22 | October 16 [1] 89/2 |
|  | necessary [5] 13/2 30/11 | 147/6 156/25 | object [23] 26/23 40 | October 16th [1] 90/5 |
| 101/15 103/7 | 106/12 126/10 158/19 | nonparty [2] 103/14 | 41/22 43/20 49/22 50 | October 17 [1] 64/24 |
| 110/22 133/25 149/15 | ned [1] 120/20 | 105/15 | /23 62/1 66/19 80/ | October 17th [6] 64/15 |
| 155/25 156/11 159/25 | need [47] 13/14 30/7 | normal [1] 209/24 | 100/15 101/17 103/11 | 9/12 90/17 93/10 94/23 |
| 166/6 166/22 168/14 | 33/9 53/15 56/17 80/20 | Norwegian [1] 15/1 | 105/13 110/25 118/2 | 97/3 |
| 168/15 168/22 178/21 | 85/24 86/17 91/19 91/21 | note [5] 3/7 13/12 112/1 | 118/4 136/7 136/12 | October 2012 [3] 79/9 |
| 179/15 183/8 185/3 | 92/7 107/19 107/20 | 115/9 149/25 | 152/4 153/5 162/2 | 80/24 97/15 |
| 188/2 189/6 189/7 | 108/11 108/15 108/18 | noted [3] 8/20 133/1 | 165 | October 2014 [1] 43/6 |
| 194/13 204/22 206/23 |  |  |  | /3 |
| $182$ | 11 |  |  |  |
| Ms. Kenny [1] 179/15 | 12 |  | ion [113] 6/18 | 23 rd [1] |
| Ms. Markoe [10] 32/16 |  |  |  | 退] 14/25 23/8 65/ |
| 32/17 183/8 185/3 188/2 | 132/25 146/3 155/18 | 191/20 | 39/4 39/5 40/8 40/10 |  |
| 189/6 189/7 204/22 | 165/4 166/3 166/25 | notice [10] 41/5 41/7 | 11 40/20 42/1 44/2 | 012 |
| $208 / 18$ 208/23 | 172/3 183/1 185/9 | 81/18 81/21 82/5 82/7 | 44/3 44/11 44/14 48/8 | 124/10 132/22 172/17 |
| Ms. McGovern [29] 4/4 5/9 6/15 7/12 44/12 | 185/10 186/10 188/9 | 138/18 155/11 189/17 | 50/10 52/16 52/17 52/18 | 181/15 186/6 187/8 |
| 56/15 76/22 86/14 86/22 | 195/11 206/21 207/5 | 210/19 | 53/9 54/2 54/3 54/4 | 191/11 191/25 193/12 |


| 0 |
| :---: |
| off... [1] 198/16 |
| offer [15] 26/21 38/25 |
| 52/14 53/25 75/2 75/4 |
| 75/4 94/12 95/20 99/22 |
| 114/11 116/17 137/25 |
| 138/1 173/14 |

offered [4] 38/24 82/14 88/12 111/1
offering [5] 26/19 39/2 40/6 52/12 117/24
offguard [1] 188/14
office [13] 11/7 65/2
100/16 104/22 104/25
105/8 126/1 178/14 178/17 183/2 183/3 184/16 187/11
officer [8] 39/8 43/19
58/16 61/25 76/12
172/24 173/1 173/2
Official [1] $1 / 17$
Offshore [1] 89/3
often [1] 52/24
oh [16] 37/20 70/22 75/13 99/5 101/22 102/22 129/13 149/23 156/2 169/3 169/16 169/20 188/8 195/14 205/6 208/13
okay [194] 4/19 6/21 7/11 7/20 7/23 20/15 26/6 31/21 32/2 32/18 34/16 35/17 36/3 37/17 38/4 38/16 39/16 39/21 40/3 40/18 43/12 44/14 47/6 48/13 53/12 56/1 56/13 57/9 57/24 67/20 70/12 70/13 72/20 72/24 74/13 74/18 74/22 75/13 75/14 76/16 76/22 76/25 77/11 80/13 81/5 81/17 82/20 82/23 83/16 83/23 85/11 87/1 87/13 87/17 88/14 92/11 92/19 93/13 97/19 99/2 99/10 99/15 99/20 101/12 101/16 101/19 102/5 102/8 102/16 103/1 103/4 103/5 103/21 104/13 104/15 104/19 105/17 107/7 108/14 108/17 108/24 112/21 113/15 113/17 115/8 116/14 117/2 117/13 118/8 119/4 119/11 119/13 121/11 121/21 123/18 124/1 124/11 125/10 126/8 126/14 126/16 126/21 126/24 127/8 127/15 128/20 129/19 132/19 133/11 133/15 133/21 136/1 136/5 136/11 138/11 138/15 139/10 140/10 140/12 140/15 140/25 141/6 141/17 145/5 146/4

147/1 147/20 148/24 149/3 149/5 149/12 149/21 153/24 155/5 155/19 156/2 156/17 157/23 158/8 159/12 159/22 160/10 160/23 165/25 166/3 169/5 169/20 170/9 171/6 171/14 173/8 175/4 176/12 178/8 178/8 178/11 179/2 182/25 183/11 183/19 184/9 185/3 185/6 187/14 188/1 189/6 191/2 191/14 191/21 192/2 193/25 194/12 194/23 195/25 196/25 197/11 197/23 198/18 198/21 199/20 200/16 200/24 201/12 201/20 202/25 203/20 207/3 207/6 208/1 208/13 208/14 209/9 209/13 209/18 old [1] 112/22
once [9] 10/10 $13 / 19$ 34/10 41/23 42/2 74/16 89/14 180/14 186/25 one [121] 15/15 16/21 17/18 17/18 17/20 19/23 20/3 21/9 21/18 22/6 22/9 22/14 29/19 32/16 33/12 37/23 38/10 38/11 48/9 50/24 57/19 61/14 61/19 63/20 64/5 71/7 73/8 76/14 81/11 89/18 90/4 90/9 90/20 91/18 92/25 93/1 95/17 97/1 98/13 99/1 102/19 104/2 106/2 106/10 107/3 107/13 107/13 107/14 107/17 107/20 107/22 108/20 109/15 111/6 111/10 112/10 112/19 114/3 114/4 114/4 114/7 114/8 115/8 120/11 121/25 123/3 123/3 123/18 123/19 123/23 124/12 125/18 125/19 125/19 126/13 126/15 128/16 128/22 129/21 131/4 131/19 133/24 135/19 137/15 140/16 140/16 141/19 142/3 143/2 146/13 146/21 152/2 155/15 155/15 155/16 155/21 159/21 162/17 165/4 165/6 169/23 170/2 174/16 175/13 178/25 179/23 180/22 180/23 182/19 188/10 188/16 190/15 197/8 198/20 198/25 205/1 206/6 206/13 207/19 209/21 210/1 one-minute [1] 165/6 ones [6] 17/21 37/11

126/7 136/3 141/14 191/13
only [26] 5/5 10/10 11/3
13/18 14/2 22/8 24/22 30/19 35/24 55/2 73/4 79/14 85/21 101/13 103/22 107/18 129/21 131/4 141/19 155/21 174/9 181/24 184/19 203/10 203/13 207/19 open [5] 61/15 124/17 124/19 124/21 179/1 operandi [1] 193/17 operate [1] 10/6 operated [1] $8 / 10$ operations [1] 16/16 operative [1] 81/9 opportunity [1] 187/23 opposing [1] 26/13 opposite [1] 10/8 ord2hub06.mex05.mlsrv r.com [1] 65/19 order [47] 3/1 9/17 18/9 19/6 20/13 23/13 25/4 25/5 25/10 26/15 27/5 30/12 31/16 35/6 35/25 60/20 89/6 108/20 108/22 109/1 109/3 109/21 109/23 109/25 118/23 122/22 123/9 123/13 126/6 126/6 126/7 126/8 126/10 127/21 131/8 131/10 131/14 132/23 156/22 158/20 172/6 173/11 173/12 180/18 181/6 188/20 209/3
ordered [16] 9/3 9/11
27/25 30/23 35/22 85/2 110/3 124/2 124/4
124/14 158/22 158/23 158/25 174/24 184/8 191/1
orders [1] 108/15 organization [2] 96/22 115/18
origin [1] 116/6 original [6] 8/7 10/13 16/25 20/10 55/7 107/11 originally [4] 10/6 78/14 117/15 156/20 others [5] 16/2 27/9 129/23 155/1 162/7 otherwise [4] 41/12 44/16 118/4 180/9 our [34] 4/11 23/2 27/9 39/9 43/22 58/17 62/3 72/11 75/12 76/15 89/12 104/5 167/2 179/4 182/1 182/11 183/17 184/5 188/24 191/17 193/19 198/5 199/17 199/22 201/3 201/22 201/25 203/11 203/22 204/3 206/17 206/18 207/14 207/15

| ourselves [1] | $30 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| outermost [2] | $125 / 8$ | 126/4

outside [6] 129/22 131/5 162/24 167/10 167/15 176/9
over [54] 11/3 12/10
29/25 30/18 31/5 32/5
40/19 50/25 59/2 78/3 105/23 106/2 110/2
110/3 112/4 124/4 126/2 127/21 136/8 136/9
136/14 136/14 141/24
152/7 163/5 164/11
164/14 171/2 171/7
171/23 173/14 182/18
182/21 183/14 183/25
184/10 188/23 191/19
191/24 192/1 193/1
193/3 193/6 194/3
198/24 198/24 199/6
199/10 199/12 199/23 205/13 209/7 209/19 211/3
overcome [1] 104/12
overlay [2] $8 / 1211 / 3$
override [1] 42/13 overrides [2] 170/21 171/15
overrule [8] 42/1 44/14 50/10 111/8 112/1 171/10 192/16 204/11 overruled [4] 66/20 119/24 138/5 202/1 overseas [1] 16/17 owed [1] 195/23 own [6] 26/12 28/20 30/9 30/10 98/12 172/4 owned [3] 157/14 157/18 183/5 owner [1] 21/17 owners [2] 83/11 84/1 owns [3] 20/23 134/13 171/25

## $\mathbf{P}$

p.m [12] 32/11 56/25

56/25 64/15 93/10 93/25 101/10 101/10 166/14 166/14 177/5 177/5 page [54] $1 / 1535 / 354 / 8$ 81/13 82/25 83/3 83/8 83/13 83/21 83/23 87/11 89/5 97/19 97/24 97/25 98/1 98/2 98/3 98/22 98/24 99/2 99/3 99/7 100/22 101/24 101/25 102/4 102/6 102/9 102/10 112/7 112/9 118/13 121/2 121/7 122/8 130/25 131/7 132/8 132/9 140/21 140/25 142/2 143/5 143/19 149/6 154/15 154/25 157/5 157/7 160/17 161/5 161/12

161/21
page 1 [2] 83/21 87/11
page 19 [1] 102/10
page 24' [1] 140/21
page 2415 [1] 142/2
page 336 [1] 121/2
page 5 [4] 98/24 99/3
102/4 161/5
pages [13] 100/20
100/21 101/14 101/21
101/23 102/12 102/18 103/6 104/8 187/2 192/5 198/24 199/5
paid [2] 157/2 157/12
pair [1] 21/16
pairs [1] 128/23
Palm [2] 1/7 $1 / 18$
Panama [1] 114/15
panopticrypt [11] 51/6
51/9 134/1 134/12
147/17 147/17 147/23
148/4 148/25 149/3
154/5
panopticrypt.com [8]
59/7 59/11 59/13 59/24
60/2 61/12 61/16 62/21
panopticrypt.comx [1] 66/9
panopticrypt.comx-spa
m-threshold:95 [1] 66/9
paper [9] 7/9 8/20 10/11 21/4 79/4 115/11 120/1 120/7 173/18
paragraph [17] 83/9
83/14 83/24 84/8 115/9
115/24 131/19 137/6
145/6 147/3 147/3
147/22 161/8 170/9
170/11 170/11 170/12
paragraph 1 [3] 83/9
83/14 83/24
paragraph 11 [1]
147/22
paragraph 13 [1] 84/8 paragraph 3 [1] 145/6 paragraph 5 [2] 147/3 147/3
paragraph 8 [2] 161/8 170/11
Pardon [1] 84/18 paren [1] 61/15
parenthesis [3] 44/24 48/14 62/15
partial [1] 11/24
partially [1] 79/24 participate [2] 178/7 178/10
participated [1] 186/9 particular [11] 13/15
104/10 126/6 128/16
177/17 182/20 186/7 186/8 186/8 199/24 204/8
particularly [1] 104/7 parties [12] 83/5 83/10 83/21 84/1 85/4 104/10

| $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- |
| parties... [6] 151/2 |
| $151 / 19 \quad 152 / 4 \quad 178 / 12$ | 188/18 206/20

partner [1] 189/14 parts [3] 90/19 107/4 123/24
partway [1] 105/9
party [6] 17/23 85/8
87/6 87/13 151/21 197/3
passion [1] 19/22
passport [3] 99/4 99/5 99/6
past [3] 19/3 37/11 120/24
pastor [1] 17/2
patent [6] 11/20 120/1
120/3 120/7 121/4 121/4
patented [1] 14/5
patents [3] 6/2 24/15 28/24
path [7] 49/4 49/17
50/12 50/25 51/4 59/9
66/8
pay [6] 24/9 90/6 93/14
98/15 125/22 204/13
paying [1] 11/10
payment [4] 8/21 90/20
91/17 93/9
PC [2] 61/3 71/3
PCCSW [1] 61/9
PCCSW01 [2] 60/25
61/1
PD [1] 55/19
PDF [58] 37/20 38/8
38/22 39/10 39/23 40/4
41/3 41/8 41/15 43/1
43/3 43/5 43/10 43/20
43/21 45/7 45/24 45/25
46/2 46/3 48/6 48/19
48/21 50/21 51/13 53/4
54/19 55/7 55/9 57/22
57/25 58/7 58/9 58/13
58/14 62/1 64/18 65/16
66/16 67/9 68/19 69/2
69/11 69/19 69/24 70/2
70/3 70/20 71/10 71/15
$71 / 2572 / 272 / 374 / 7$
76/15 78/19 78/25 97/2
PDFs [6] 38/18 43/11
55/5 67/20 68/4 70/1
PDFX [1] 65/17
peer [10] $8 / 98 / 98 / 12$
8/12 8/13 8/17 8/19 8/22 17/6 17/6
pending [2] 113/8 145/9
people [34] 4/8 6/5 9/7
9/25 10/2 13/16 14/18
16/1 18/23 19/1 22/15
27/23 29/16 30/22 43/11 74/20 79/14 79/15 106/1 107/24 109/12 109/16 109/17 117/3 146/22 147/5 151/17 165/19 175/13 176/2 176/2 177/1 183/16 193/18
people's [1] 31/10 per [1] 186/24 percent [1] 209/10 percentages [1] 28/20 perfect [1] 117/1 perfectly [2] 64/19 171/24
perhaps [4] 86/23
150/12 176/11 187/21
period [11] 11/5 17/16
20/19 21/23 24/1 27/4
27/16 88/2 172/5 177/21 193/19
periods [1] 5/21
perjury [1] 46/22
Perling [1] 18/24
person [7] 56/4 65/3
147/22 168/2 175/14
176/10 176/25
person's [1] 210/3
personal [7] 3/5 79/18 80/24 98/19 139/5 199/9 208/4
personally [2] 183/16 196/8
PGP [11] 35/3 99/11 99/12 134/13 137/8 137/17 137/20 139/9 141/8 141/12 147/14
PGPs [1] 154/6
phone [8] 167/12 167/15 167/18 167/23 167/24 168/6 168/18 175/9
phonetic [1] 146/4
photographs [1] 16/7
physical [1] 23/8
pick [3] 5/8 151/17 210/25
piece [3] 79/4 173/18 181/14
piecemeal [2] 176/5 176/14
placed [2] 6/23 12/19
plaintiff [14] 3/8 3/9
4/14 50/1 172/7 174/23
175/24 179/8 192/20
194/8 195/18 204/18 206/10 208/19
plaintiffs [24] $1 / 42 / 2$
3/11 3/13 9/20 17/10
18/9 18/19 75/4 85/23
95/20 99/22 110/16
117/24 122/1 148/13 156/9 173/4 194/24
196/12 198/23 198/24
204/20 204/25
plaintiffs' [129] 7/17
7/17 34/15 34/17 35/14 35/17 35/19 38/1 38/2
38/23 38/25 39/6 39/11
39/13 39/14 39/15 40/21
43/19 43/22 44/20 47/11 52/6 52/13 52/15 52/16 52/18 52/20 53/18 54/5 58/15 58/18 58/21 59/3 61/25 62/2 62/5 62/12

65/5 65/6 72/22 75/4
75/8 75/10 78/5 79/20
84/16 84/19 84/25 85/1
85/6 85/15 87/11 88/11
88/24 91/8 91/14 94/10
94/15 94/17 95/4 95/15
95/23 95/25 99/18
101/20 102/2 102/17
110/12 110/18 112/5
117/21 118/11 121/19
122/4 122/6 130/14
130/21 130/23 133/8
137/24 138/6 142/5
143/18 144/5 144/10
145/5 148/11 148/16
148/18 149/5 153/24
154/9 156/7 156/15
160/7 169/9 169/14
170/6 171/22 178/5
179/7 181/14 193/16
196/23 204/11 212/13
212/14 212/15 212/16
212/17 212/18 212/19
212/20 212/21 212/22
212/23 212/24 212/25
213/3 213/4 213/5 213/6
213/7 213/8 213/9
213/10 213/11 213/12
213/13
plaintiffs' 1 [3] 7/17
34/17 35/17
plaintiffs' 13 [1] 94/15
Plaintiffs' 14 [1] 95/23
plaintiffs' 15 [2] 101/20
102/2
plaintiffs' 16 [1] 110/18
plaintiffs' 18 [1] 122/4
plaintiffs' 19 [1] 130/21
plaintiffs' 2 [2] 38/1
38/2
plaintiffs' 21 [1] 148/16
plaintiffs' 22 [1] 169/9
plaintiffs' 3 [1] 39/13
plaintiffs' 5 [2] 52/16
52/18
plaintiffs' 7 [1] 7/17
plaintiffs' 9 [6] 75/8
84/16 84/19 84/25 85/1 85/6
plan [5] 4/1 33/10 116/6 116/8 116/8
plans [1] 183/10
played [1] 6/12
plays [1] 13/17
pleaded [1] 15/19
please [40] $3 / 24 / 255 / 2$ 5/4 5/15 6/14 6/15 8/5 14/8 15/5 23/10 28/3 30/9 30/10 32/13 32/23 37/24 42/20 43/13 44/10 51/3 52/10 55/16 59/23 70/16 78/11 89/12 89/14 90/5 96/10 112/7 115/9 115/13 119/17 143/17 163/16 170/9 174/16 176/25 199/15
pleasure [2] 31/22 177/9 presumably [2] 103/15 plenty [4] 181/10 181/11 $104 / 10$
181/12 181/19 $\quad$ pretty [5] 24/25 64/19
plus [5] 63/7 63/12
64/20 101/24 132/1
point [18] 14/9 14/17
16/12 33/9 75/20 79/16
81/20 86/15 92/1 118/23
119/2 160/22 162/16
181/11 193/25 198/15
206/19 206/24
pointed [1] 178/6
points [3] 122/10 122/16
161/18
police [1] 17/5
Ponce [4] 2/11 $2 / 142 / 17$
2/20
pools [1] 144/19
poorest [1] 22/15
pornographic [1] 16/7
pornography [1] 16/9
portion [5] 57/12 100/13 prior [3] 85/7 103/25
100/15 119/18 179/4 $\quad 147 / 23$
portions [1] 100/14
position [10] 13/8 30/4
158/1 158/18 179/8
180/10 182/9 201/22 205/5 205/25
position's [1] 23/3
positives [1] 191/6
possession [11] 35/24
36/2 36/6 36/9 70/9
185/21 195/19 197/3
197/20 197/22 200/21
possibility [3] 28/10
180/22 180/23
possible [11] 24/11
24/17 24/20 29/20 70/23
70/25 108/1 108/21
109/15 172/20 172/21
possibly [1] 197/3
post [2] 125/23 148/21
potential [3] 201/4
209/2 209/7
potentially [5] 24/4
44/16 126/20 140/23

## 184/17

power [2] 159/6 172/9
practice [2] 11/22 91/23
pragmatics [1] 181/15
precluding [1] 82/1
predicate [9] 13/18
40/12 41/22 44/4 44/8
44/17 59/16 77/1 163/16
prejudicial [1] 181/18
premise [1] 182/11
premises [1] 13/3
prepare [1] 181/19
present [6] 3/24 12/18
25/25 26/4 151/19
163/19
presentation [4] 165/1
165/8 165/16 165/20
presented [2] 73/12
111/19
preserved [1] 72/18

64/23 130/3 179/1
prevent [1] 50/3
preventing [1] 106/18
prevents [1] 23/11
previous [2] 44/1 123/23
previously [13] 58/24
62/8 79/24 81/19 85/1
85/8 85/15 85/16 102/12
177/16 178/3 190/6
190/6
price [1] 90/2
primary [1] 49/7
printed [3] 46/17 78/21
79/2
printing [1] 71/3
printout [6] 39/9 43/20
46/11 58/17 112/19
137/20
privacy [3] 21/5 21/5
21/11
private [8] 11/19 14/2
14/2 14/3 21/7 110/2
131/11 131/15
privilege [11] 189/24
197/9 197/12 197/17
197/19 200/23 200/23
201/6 201/7 201/9
201/10
probabilistic [1] 27/13
probably [7] 12/16
150/10 177/12 182/7
186/17 207/25 208/6
problem [3] 14/17
166/24 192/11
problems [6] 14/6 15/13
16/18 25/13 25/13 29/2
procedurally [1] 163/11
procedure [1] 165/9
proceed [6] 32/20 35/20
40/22 57/7 74/4 104/19
proceeding [11] 20/12
80/12 100/2 103/14
105/15 155/11 167/14
168/19 168/19 178/4
178/5
proceedings [17] $1 / 10$
32/12 33/25 57/1 100/6
101/11 150/2 166/15
167/8 168/17 177/6
179/6 184/20 184/21
184/22 211/8 213/20
process [18] 105/3
114/20 114/25 116/17
159/23 187/16 194/16
198/3 198/12 198/13
198/14 202/2 202/9
202/15 203/17 203/23
203/24 204/1
processes [2] 60/18 120/2
produce [17] 9/13 24/22

| $\mathbf{P}$ | 171/7 171/17 173/3 | 75/23 77/23 80/17 82/11 | 59/9 59/23 59/25 65/15 | 20 178/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| produce... [15] 35/6 | 184/19 | 82/18 96/20 115/25 | 69/18 70/16 110/14 | 180/11 181/13 |
| 35/22 35/24 35/25 | 17/10 18/15 19/8 19/12 |  |  | recognizes [2] 6/20 |
| 109/22 124/14 124/17 | 17/10 18/15 19/8 19/12 | 155/23 174/12 176/1 | 144/1 | 138/2 |
| 124/21 124/25 158/20 |  |  | reading [4] 57/11 | reconsider [1] 80/20 |
| 158/25 159/3 184/16 | 131/20 203/12 | $115 / 21142 / 25$ | ready [7] 5/9 33/2 33/13 | reconstruct [1] |
| produced [33] 35/5 35/9 | providing [7] 18/6 18/19 44/7 172/6 190/24 | Q | 57/7 153/20 168/14 195/4 | reconvene [3] 177/13 <br> 177/16 178/24 |
| 35/9 37/20 38/9 53/21 | 208/16 208/17 | qualification [1] 185/10 | reaffirm [1] 164/4 | record [34] $3 / 84 / 19$ |
| $74 / 7$ 89/1 91/9 94/11 $95 / 1796 / 21 ~ 99 / 22 ~ 99 / 5 ~$ | provision [1] 170/14 | queen [1] 189/7 | real [8] 11/15 36/10 | 7/13 7/16 16/15 25/24 |
| $109 / 22 \quad 110 / 13 \quad 111 / 6$ | prudent [1] 13/22 | ques [1] 163/24 | 36/11 46/13 46/23 71/24 | 34/9 51/4 52/10 65/16 |
|  | pseudonym [3] 6/9 6/10 | question [63] 9/9 10/3 | 94/21 187/3 | 69/20 80/2 80/5 80/17 |
| 121/23 130/18 135/22 | 7/7 | 13/4 19/5 20/7 24/17 | reality [2] 193/7 199 | 80/18 80/19 81/23 82/8 |
| 148/12 149/9 | psychologist [1] 173/24 | 39/19 43/9 45/10 45/15 | realized [1] 168/4 | 82/11 82/18 85/18 91/3 |
| 156/8 158/4 184/4 196 | Pty [2] 147/17 147/23 | 45/17 45/19 45/21 46/9 | really [14] 107/22 120/6 | 101/20 101/22 102/16 |
| 199/4 200/22 200/23 | pub [1] 9/19 | 46/19 47/8 47/9 47/14 | 174/2 176/17 176/18 | 104/5 111/11 138/23 |
| producing [2] 136/1 | public [66] 9/4 9/8 9/1 | 48/2 48/22 49/24 50/1 | 176/22 183/1 187/2 | 53/4 155/8 155/9 |
| 136/3 | 9/22 9/23 9/25 10/4 10/8 | 50/6 53/10 55/10 55/17 | 190/7 192/13 194/4 | 155/10 171/24 213/20 |
| production [6] 19/6 | 10/14 10/16 11/18 12/25 | 57/11 59/18 59/19 70/7 | 204/15 209/23 209/2 | recording [2] 13/11 |
| 191/22 196/2 196/19 | 13/6 13/9 13/17 13/23 | 70/12 78/1 87/8 103/19 | realties [1] 180/15 | 185 |
| 197/8 197/14 | 13/24 13/25 14/9 14/16 | 105/18 105/19 106/12 | Realtime [1] 213/19 | recordings [3] 184/21 |
| products [1] 172/17 | 16/15 17/17 18/7 19/7 | 113/8 119/17 124/2 | reason [14] 9/10 16/1 | 184/21 185/15 |
| professionals [1] 17 | 19/12 19/13 20/8 20/9 | 128/3 135/12 136/11 | 22/5 28/3 28/4 28/6 28/6 | records [18] 54/23 |
| professors [1] 1912 | 20/13 20/15 20/18 20/24 | 136/12 140/5 145/9 | 158/4 177/23 178/2 | 105/22 194/25 195/2 |
| proffer [4] 162/23 | 21/2 21/3 21/22 22/18 | 158/24 158/24 159/14 | 180/4 185/20 198/11 | 195/6 197/2 197/3 197/3 |
| 163/10 208/12 208/14 | 23/12 24/5 24/22 25/10 | 161/6 162/1 164/3 | 208/14 | 197/4 197/4 198/22 |
| program [3] 40/3 41/2 | 26/16 27/3 27/14 27/15 | 165/25 169/12 170/1 | reasonable [1] 204/2 | 198/23 199/5 199/1 |
| 41/15 | 28/5 28/7 29/5 31/17 | 171/1 171/21 171/25 | reasons [6] 12/22 16/22 | 200/2 200/3 200/6 200/7 |
| projecting [1] 170/2 | 50/1 77/20 77/24 80/2 | 183/12 184/12 202/14 | 16/24 20/3 22/3 177/1 | recreate [2] 27/3 107/20 |
| projector [2] 93/6 | 80/4 80/12 80/17 80/18 | 204/13 209/24 | reassigned [1] 179/24 | redact [9] 85/5 85/12 |
| projector [2] $155 / 17$ | 80/19 81/22 91/4 91/6 | questioned [1] 170/10 | rec [1] 198/25 | 91/19 91/24 92/9 92/14 |
| pro | 92/5 92/8 105/21 171/17 | questioning [5] 26/24 | recalculate [1] 123/1 | 92/15 99/6 99/11 |
| promise [3] 1 | 172/6 177/2 | 49/22 50/2 77/12 105/14 | recall [14] 37/14 84/25 | redacted [25] 80/1 80/1 |
| 115/14 116/20 | publicly [3] 15/21 99/13 | questions [20] 9/16 | 86/1 135/24 136/1 136/3 | 80/12 80/16 80/19 80/22 |
|  | 117/7 | 31/19 31/20 56/19 80/15 | 138/17 144/5 164/12 | 81/22 82/9 85/2 85/9 |
|  | publish [5] 34/11 91/19 | 81/25 85/24 85/25 86/13 | 164/20 172/12 191/12 | 85/16 85/17 86/1 86/2 |
| proper [1] 165/8 | 92/2 92/5 92/8 | 101/15 105/3 136/9 | 191/22 192/9 | 86/3 86/9 86/12 86/15 |
| properly [1] 98/20 | published [4] 7/25 93/1 | 149/13 149/20 149/21 | recalled [2] 44/16 | 92/25 98/21 98/23 99/9 |
| property [4] 56/5 | 93/6 98/20 | 163/9 163/16 166/4 | 175/18 | 99/11 102/25 155/8 |
| 123/20 161/5 161/9 | publishing [1] 90/25 | 171/22 174/14 | recalls [1] 165/13 | redacting [1] 103/2 |
| proportionate [2] | pull [4] 27/12 50/15 86/3 | quick [1] 4/2 | recast [1] 101/20 | redaction [3] 85/6 93/4 |
|  | 180/6 | quicker [1] 187/1 | receipt [5] 13/1 91/16 | 101/18 |
| proposal [2] 204/3 204/4 propose [4] 174/20 | pulled [5] 39/10 43/22 | quickly [4] 82/17 84/14 | 93/8 93/13 93/22 | redactions [1] 85/7 |
| propose [4] 174/20 176/6 176/7 178/23 | 58/17 62/3 172/16 | 176/3 180/5 | receive [2] 31/3 63/20 | redirect [7] 111/22 |
|  | purchase [4] 95/6 106/4 | quite [2] 169/14 173/25 | received [30] 50/20 | 149/15 150/10 153/18 |
|  | 106/6 106/16 | quote [6] 61/15 89/10 | 51/19 51/22 52/2 60/6 | 166/11 168/24 212/10 |
| 104/8 163/18 | purchased [2] 97/5 | 90/17 96/10 98/6 118/14 | 60/21 60/24 60/25 61/8 | reduction [1] 209/10 |
| propos $202 / 9$ | 98/14 | quotes [1] 120/17 | 61/14 62/24 63/1 63/6 | Ref [1] 102/20 |
| proposition [1] 111/1 | purchasing [1] | R | 63/10 63/15 63/20 63/22 | refer [3] 29/4 74/12 |
| protect [1] 12/14 | $98 / 5$ | raise | $\begin{aligned} & 64 / 4 \quad 64 / 565 / 17 \quad 79 / 15 \\ & 89 / 11 \quad 124 / 3 \quad 136 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | 169/21 <br> reference [3] 85/22 |
| protected [1] 114/19 | purports [4] 54/14 | raised [4] 196/12 197/9 | 182/23 195/9 196/2 | $\begin{array}{ll} 102 / 21103 / 2 \end{array}$ |
| protecting [1] 11/14 | 70/10 112/15 113/10 | 197/13 197/17 | 196/8 198/4 203/4 | referenced [4] 12/12 |
| protection [1] 171/7 | purpose [7] 7/8 8/8 | raising [3] 112/2 169/18 | receiving [3] 37/15 | 81/4 93/9 94/20 |
| protective [3] 11/3 | 16/25 49/7 71/16 169/17 | 197/1 | 113/21 196/15 | references [3] 94/4 |
| /3171/19 | 188/24 | Ramona [1] 147/23 | recess [9] 32/10 32/1 | 106/9 186/7 |
| protested [1] 15/12 | purposely [3] 71/14 | random [1] 116/24 | 56/24 56/25 101/10 | referencing [2] 120/24 |
| prototype [2] 120 $120 / 24$ | 71/17 193/21 | rather [5] 11/21 27/23 | 166/10 166/14 177/1 | 123/1 |
| 120/24 proveably [2] 46 | purposes [3] 11/14 | 100/22 132/6 173/6 | 177/ | referred [1] 9/22 |
| provide [24] 9/4 14/9 | 75/12 111/11 | re [3] 55/17 79/25 | recipient [1] $8 / 23$ | referring [2] 18/13 |
| 18/9 19/24 19/25 20/14 | pursuant [2] 35/5 |  | recipient's [1] 93/1 |  |
| 23/13 25/9 25/10 $25 / 12$ | pushing [1] 14/19 | Re-ask [1] | Recital [1] 157/1 | refers [1] 114/25 |
| 28/6 31/16 37/24 49/25 | put [27] 5/7 16/15 22/12 | re-reviewing [1] 198 | recognize [15] 7/2 25/22 | reflect [3] 71/1 91/3 |
| 77/19 77/21 124/2 | 23/23 26/1 31/13 42/9 | reached [1] 184/15 | 26/10 34/20 38/9 51/13 | 138/23 |
| 162/20 170/22 170/24 | 43/13 46/3 54/13 65/7 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { read [17] } 42 / 2051 / 3 \\ 52 / 1057 / 1257 / 2459 / 5 \end{array}$ | 53/23 60/17 96/2 96/20 | reflects [1] 89/1 |


| R |
| :--- |
| refuse [1] $28 / 8$ |
| refusing [1] $204 / 6$ |
| regard [1] $194 / 6$ |
| regarding [6] $18 / 11$ |
| $20 / 1384 / 2299 / 4196 / 6$ |
| $197 / 8$ |

regards [5] 198/22 198/23 201/7 201/13 201/21
regenerate [2] $77 / 24$ 1147
registered [4] 98/6 125/23 133/24 213/18
REINHART [2] 1/11 171/21
Reinhart's [1] 31/16 rejected [1] 204/4 relate [2] 9/17 10/18 related [9] 6/2 11/25 12/1 12/7 12/18 42/11 104/10 196/3 196/3
relates [3] 10/1 49/24 117/23
relating [2] 191/7 197/4
Relativity [1] 199/4
release [3] 120/19 120/22 184/24
released [2] 7/10 41/15
relevance [12] 44/8
105/15 162/23 163/2
163/3 182/1 188/23
189/2 191/4 192/12 196/18 196/21
relevancy [1] 189/23 relevant [17] 11/5 13/16 17/16 20/19 21/23 27/4
27/16 172/5 185/21 186/3 188/25 190/1 193/18 193/21 193/24
195/22 196/16
rely [1] $81 / 21$
relying [1] 172/3
remain [1] 33/1
remainder [1] 120/22
remaining [3] 17/16 77/20 143/3
remains [3] 116/1 179/7 184/18
remedy [1] 181/3
remember [17] 17/21
61/19 74/23 96/24 101/6 107/16 113/2 113/21 113/23 115/13 116/2 123/22 128/14 129/10 132/20 179/23 179/24
remembers [1] 188/19
remind [1] 33/1
reminding [1] 119/15 removed [2] 4/11 8/18 repeat [2] 12/4 172/25 repetitive [1] 136/10 rephrase [3] 95/2 104/24 110/8
report [4] 186/20 210/2 210/17 210/18
reporter [14] 1/17 $1 / 17$ responsive [2] 184/7 14/24 57/10 57/12 119/16 119/18 151/14 155/13 166/25 167/14
176/18 213/18 213/19 reports [2] 210/13 210/15 represent [5] 58/16 111/18 179/8 180/9 199/1
representations [1] 196/13
representative [2] 3/5 199/9
represented [1] 200/19 representing [4] 39/8 43/20 62/1 76/13
represents [2] 72/4 111/2
reproduce [1] 205/19
reputation [1] 15/25
request [8] 102/19
102/19 103/4 184/18
184/24 187/8 187/11 190/1
requested [7] 36/14 36/15 37/1 57/12 76/6 119/18 184/23
requesting [1] 89/11
requests [3] 182/2 184/7 191/1
require [6] 123/9 123/12
123/15 173/15 186/2 202/19
required [8] 106/25
122/12 122/22 124/1 128/23 131/10 131/14 132/4
requirement [1] 123/13
requires [3] 60/21 120/6
193/10
reservation [1] $18 / 8$ reserve [16] 15/14 90/5 114/14 142/21 144/24 144/25 145/8 145/16 145/18 145/24 146/10 146/23 147/5 147/6 204/13 205/1
reserved [1] 90/10 reset [1] 208/6 resigned [1] 105/9 resolve [2] 187/25 190/11
resolved [2] 188/21 205/9
respect [15] $10 / 5 \quad 11 / 4$
13/5 29/12 30/2 77/3
98/18 163/20 171/1
171/25 180/7 193/5
198/3 200/5 205/5
respond [3] 89/19 90/4 104/14
responds [2] 90/2 90/9 response [6] 19/6 127/20 132/12 187/9 187/10 187/13

190/25
rest [3] 34/11 66/17 150/10
restriction [1] 131/9
result [4] 138/9 190/9
190/11 191/6
resulted [3] 14/6 14/7
190/12
retaining [1] 23/9
retake [1] 32/22
return [15] 24/2 49/4
49/14 49/17 50/12 50/15
50/25 51/4 51/15 59/9 66/8 97/12 97/14 115/12 143/17
returned [5] 125/19
125/19 136/21 136/22 142/13
returns [2] 150/13 166/22
reuse [1] 82/15
reused [1] 10/12
reusing [1] $88 / 15$
review [16] 6/23 62/24
95/18 185/14 186/2 188/23 189/22 191/4 191/24 192/12 192/16 194/1 195/5 196/18 196/21 198/10 reviewed [6] 64/22 133/1 135/1 135/6 135/13 200/21 reviewing [3] 189/2 198/16 198/16
Rica [2] 144/24 145/8
right [141] 3/25 4/21 5/2 6/7 6/8 10/17 10/24 12/24 20/5 24/6 24/23 32/9 32/14 32/22 33/10 33/13 33/18 35/7 37/4 38/12 40/14 49/17 49/18 50/15 51/18 54/15 54/18 58/3 60/5 60/25 61/12 63/7 63/12 65/25 66/4 66/7 66/9 66/17 67/21 68/4 68/20 68/24 69/13 71/6 71/11 74/18 76/19 77/5 79/5 79/6 79/18 79/22 84/21 86/10 86/20 86/22 87/2 87/5 89/8 92/23 93/17 95/9 100/7 101/4 102/21 104/19 108/19 111/4 113/24 115/2 115/23 121/13 122/9 122/16 128/13 128/17 128/20 129/4 133/7 133/19 134/8 142/6 143/17 144/10 145/15 151/10 153/11 154/3 154/17 158/1 159/15 160/5 160/19 161/4 163/7 164/10 166/5 166/10 167/16 167/18 170/1 170/18 171/4 171/8 171/12

173/5 173/25 174/17 177/7 177/8 179/9 179/22 180/1 180/21 181/1 181/5 182/10 182/16 183/9 186/4 186/19 186/23 187/18 188/14 189/14 190/19 191/15 192/20 193/8 194/18 196/9 198/2 202/19 205/3 205/22 206/14 207/1 207/19 207/24 208/9 211/5 right-hand [1] 102/21 rights [3] 11/1 132/17 158/9
ripe [1] 195/4
risk [2] 24/25 29/12
Rivero [17] 2/11 2/14
2/16 2/17 2/20 3/19 4/4 32/14 56/17 100/20 101/12 111/13 119/14 147/24 166/17 167/9 174/20
RMR [2] 1/17 213/25 road [6] 15/9 15/11
15/22 15/23 16/5 178/1
Robert [11] 122/20
128/11 131/4 132/12
132/15 132/22 134/15
134/24 134/25 135/5 135/13
robust [4] 187/16
188/19 191/22 204/24
Roche [5] 2/8 3/13
191/13 201/17 207/22
rogatory [1] 184/17
role [1] 10/13
room [2] 4/20 191/13
Ross [1] 15/10
rough [1] 153/8
row [1] 168/3
rule [3] 105/19 182/13 210/18
ruled [1] 80/14
rules [3] 27/25 167/17
168/18
ruling [4] 59/1 62/10
194/7 206/3
run [2] 24/21 56/3
running [3] 11/11 $15 / 8$ 162/5
Rwanda [1] 165/17
Rwandan [1] 165/19

## S

safe [1] 13/11
sale [1] $132 / 16$
same [43] 13/10 19/24
22/6 25/11 43/25 44/15
54/18 54/21 55/1 58/24
59/1 62/8 62/9 62/10
68/9 68/13 68/17 73/19
74/9 76/23 76/24 78/16 85/3 88/17 94/25 95/3
95/5 97/5 106/7 114/15
115/7 115/19 135/7

137/1 141/12 143/1
145/6 145/6 145/14
151/1 151/21 169/14 192/18
sanction [1] 180/25 sanctionable [1] 181/3 sanctions [2] 19/3 181/3 sat [1] 194/2
Satoshi [19] 6/9 6/10 6/11 7/4 14/13 14/14 14/16 15/21 16/23 18/6 18/11 18/18 129/16 132/17 134/21 138/13 139/18 140/23 140/23
Savanah [7] 129/23
134/1 134/12 154/6
154/18 155/1 162/7
save [2] $22 / 884 / 5$
saved [1] 41/12
saving [1] $42 / 8$
saw [3] 71/6 116/10 173/16
say [41] 6/12 7/6 13/24
18/1 19/20 37/10 42/22
45/9 50/14 68/15 73/4
73/8 73/21 78/11 79/14
81/20 81/21 83/19 84/20 103/24 108/7 124/25
126/5 129/21 131/8
140/1 140/8 144/21
145/4 152/3 165/16
165/19 166/17 173/19
181/22 187/2 200/11
208/22 210/3 210/7 210/7
saying [25] 10/18 16/25 37/8 52/1 63/8 64/21
79/8 94/3 101/13 103/21
107/6 107/9 115/4
117/10 131/4 135/9
158/15 159/1 165/13
180/4 180/8 181/23
186/5 204/16 206/4
says [63] $7 / 421 / 15$
26/17 45/1 45/4 48/14
50/25 51/6 53/13 61/1 61/15 62/15 65/24 66/3 73/10 77/23 83/3 84/3 87/16 89/10 92/16 92/17 95/8 95/9 96/10 105/18 114/20 115/9 118/14 120/8 120/16 120/20
122/10 128/21 129/4
129/20 133/21 134/25
135/6 135/13 136/20
137/5 137/6 138/13
139/23 140/6 142/10
143/22 144/6 144/23
144/24 145/2 145/20
146/4 146/13 147/8
148/25 149/2 154/17
154/25 157/1 158/12
200/25
scanned [1] 79/4
scheduled [3] 33/11
187/21 188/3

| S | secrets [1] 107/12 | $64 / 564 / 665 / 365 / 20$ | 80/23 100/20 103/22 | Sixty-six [1] 121/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { scheduling [3] } 150 / 1 \\ & 176 / 9 \text { 206/7 } \end{aligned}$ | $21 / 5$ | service [1] | $18 \text { 182/ }$ | skip [3] 82/16 131/19 |
| scheme [13] 11/21 | sec | services [2] 30/19 106/1 | showed [3] 26/11 26/ | 139/9 |
| 106/22 107/23 108/3 | securely [1] | sessions [1] | 10 | 3] 12 |
| 114/22 115/6 116/18 | security [1] 146/23 | set [21] 14/22 15/8 15/10 | showing [2] | 14 |
| 116/21 116/23 118/15 | seeds [1] 123/17 | 15/12 15/13 22/5 | shown [2] 102/10 140/12 | slices [32] |
| 120/8 120/17 128/14 | seem [2] 50/5 199/1 | 24/3 49/19 75/12 107/3 | shows [7] 51/14 51/14 | 106/25 107/2 |
| schemes [3] 11/17 11 | seemed [1] 208/8 | 114/18 115/11 125 | 51/15 60/24 68/23 70/20 | 109/25 110/1 122/10 |
| schemes [3] 11/17 | seems [8] 64/22 11 | 125/19 125/20 129/2 | 100/16 | 22/12 122/22 1 |
| Schiller [3] 2/2 2/5 | 174/17 180/15 180/16 | 172/20 204/8 206/21 | sic [3] 18/3 23/1 | 124/4 124/6 125/3 |
|  | 181/9 186/24 188/2 | 207/24 | side [14] 4/6 65/8 65/8 | 125/10 125/16 125/17 |
| $\text { schools [1] } 16 / 9$ | seen [18] 29/25 37/1 | setting [1] 71/3 | 102/21 152/11 180/25 | 26/2 126/16 126/19 |
| scientist [1] $5 / 25$ | 59/17 76/6 113/23 154/5 | settlor [2] 157/2 157/8 | 189/18 191/17 202/20 | 26/20 128/6 128/18 |
| scope [2] 162/24 | 162/22 163/2 163/14 | setup [1] 123/6 | 207/14 207/15 207/ | $8 / 20$ 131/12 131/1 |
| score [1] 66/12 | 164/6 192/22 193/10 | seven [5] 126/17 129/5 | 210/2 210/2 | 131/17 131/20 140/9 |
| scour [1] 8/13 | 193/11 202/3 202/1 | 133/21 134/6 135/6 | sidebar [10] 100/4 100/6 | 22 146/11 146/2 |
| screen [10] 6/13 6/18 |  |  | /1 150/2 | ] 27/13 |
| 6/23 91/1 92/22 112/11 | segments [2] 113/18 | Seventy [1] 18/2 | 153/19 166/23 167 | Slightly [1] 207/23 |
| 154/21 160/13 169/7 |  | Seventy-eight [1] | 13 175/20 | , |
| /2 | selecting [1] | several [2] 111/3 172 | sides [2] 209/23 210/2 | slow [2] 55/16 8 |
| script [1] | sell [2] 15/23 56/5 | Seychelles [3] 93/18 | Sidney [1] 64/14 | small [2] 8/11 11 |
| se [1] 186/24 | send [8] 58/5 72/1 72/3 | 93/19 95/7 | sign [4] 123/2 129/2 | small-world [1] 8/11 |
| $\text { seal [4] } 79 / 2479 / 25$ | 125/17 125/23 184/23 | SFranklinUSDC [1] | 130/6 135/20 | SMTP [1] 65/19 |
| 84/15 100/1 | 199/8 199/15 | 1/1 | signatories [1] 73/8 | software [8] 10/2 |
| sealed [7] 36/13 36/15 | sender [4] 49/14 51/15 | Shabbat [1] 211/5 | signature [2] 35/3 | 41/19 42/11 42/12 42 |
| 36/18 36/21 37/1 37/3 | 59/25 61/11 | Shadders [6] 172/17 | 131/24 | 143/9 209/3 |
| 102/12 | sender's [2] 49/18 50/12 | 173/2 174/22 176/1 | signatures [1] 79/4 | sole [1] 160/20 |
| search [19] 138/8 | sending [3] 8/23 186/23 | 208/25 209/1 | signed [4] 79/1 79/3 | solution [16] 11/16 |
| 183/17 188/20 188/23 |  | Shalom [1] 211/6 | 131/23 132/19 | 12/11 12/17 12/20 23/1 |
| 188/24 189/21 189/25 | senior [1] 189/14 | Shamir [9] 11/17 11/1 | significant [1] 181/1 | 75/22 75/23 75/24 75 |
| 191/5 191/6 191/8 191/9 | sense [17] 12/23 22/23 | 107/3 107/12 114/2 | significantly [1] 181/18 | /2 106/17 106/18 |
| 191/11 191/14 192/9 | 23/3 31/25 41/20 69/11 | 114/21 115/6 116/21 | signing [1] 134/20 | 106/21 136/25 146/1 |
| 192/10 193/19 193/22 | 69/17 74/9 117/1 119/8 | 116/23 | Silk [5] 15/9 15/11 15/2 | 146/20 |
| 201/21 201/23 | 153/8 181/24 191/2 | Shamir's [3] 106/22 | 15/23 16/5 | solutions [2] 11/16 |
| searched [1] 183/15 | 207/8 207/21 209/2 | 116/18 131/13 | similar [5] 37/11 38/10 | 12 |
| seat [1] 57/2 |  | shared [1] 175/21 | 54/8 72/5 116/7 | solves [1] 68/19 <br> somebody [3] |
| seated [6] 3/3 5/1 5/4 | $\text { sent [21] } 30 / 1934 / 22$ | shares [7] 28/23 88/ | $47 / 971 / 2140 / 5$ | 33/12 73/24 |
| 32/13 33/3 166/16 | $36 / 1249 / 951 / 1454 / 1$ | $\begin{array}{lll}  & 142 / 13 & 157 / 19 \end{array}$ | simplified [1] $8 / 21$ | somehow [1] 1 |
| second [54] 42/16 63/15 |  |  | simply [12] <br> simal2 <br> $12 / 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { somenow [1] } 198 / 14 \\ & \text { someone [16] } 22 / 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 63/20 64/6 65/10 83/8 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 / 2269 / 1169 / 2472 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | Sharing [6] 106/22 | $12 / 25 \quad 13 / 5 \quad 19 / 2120$ | $46 / 353 / 154 / 1666 / 17$ |
| 83/13 83/23 89/5 89/10 | 0 94/1 112/16 187/8 | 114/22 116/18 116/21 | $23 / 2 \quad 25 / 944 / 6$ | 66/25 |
| 98/1 99/1 110/22 114/8 |  | 116/23 131/13 | 113/8 164/18 | $78 / 21 \quad 125 / 221$ |
| 120/16 125/4 128/18 |  |  |  | 167/12 168/16 189/22 |
| 131/7 132/8 132/9 137/6 | $\text { separate [23] } \quad 12 / 11 \quad 21 / 7$ | she's [1] 173/24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { since [7] } 29 / 13 \text { 104/8 } \\ 110 / 14126 / 24 \quad 127 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { someone's [2] } 167 / 22$ |
| 137/15 140/16 140/25 |  | sheet [2] 102/20 110/6 | 182/11 194/20 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { someon } \end{array}$ |
| 143/19 143/22 144/11 | $23 / 1941 / 9 \quad 100 / 2 \quad 105$ | shelf [4] 89/7 89/11 | sincerely [1] 47/1 |  |
| 148/20 150/1 150/8 | $107 / 2 \quad 115 / 5 \quad 115 / 18$ | 89/12 95/7 | sincerity [1] 177/14 | $45 / 1146 / 2456 / 856 / 1$ |
| 154/6 154/11 158/14 | $122 / 24 \quad 123 / 3 \quad 143 / 1$ | shield [2] 197/18 201/1 | single [9] 11/21 11/22 |  |
| 160/17 160/19 161/21 |  | shift [1] 204/18 | 11/23 15/15 21/17 21/17 | 86/14 103/23 111/14 |
| 162/22 174/16 175/2 | $\begin{array}{lll} 144 / 19 & 146 / 16 & 14 / / 12 \\ 147 / 16 & 149 / 8 & 171 / 19 \end{array}$ | $\text { short [1] } 32 / 16$ | 85/2 | $\begin{array}{ll} 86 / 14 & 103 / 23 \\ 116 / 10 & 132 / 19 \\ 149 / 7 \end{array}$ |
| 175/2 175/14 175/15 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / / 10 \\ & 200 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | shortly [1] 76/6 | sir [7] 55/16 57/19 81/13 | 165/10 166/17 181/5 |
| 178/25 186/6 191/4 | separated [1] 87/23 | should [24] 10/12 21/9 | 87/7 87/7 158/13 161/25 | 186/16 186/22 188/9 |
| 191/24 193/5 193/9 | separately [2] 11/25 | 21/16 29/13 34/12 71/12 | sit [3] 100/19 188/ | 202/ |
| 196/20 199/21 200/10 |  | 1/3 91/19 |  |  |
| 200/15 203/15 209/22 |  |  |  | sometimes [2] 173/23 |
| Secondly [1] 195/9 seconds [8] 63/7 63/10 | sequence [2] 51/1 82/16 | 138/23 142/13 150/20 | 188/7 189/14 | $177 / 25$ |
| seconds [8] 63/7 63/10 63/11 63/16 64/8 64/17 | serial [1] 13/12 | 5/9 178/12 182/11 | situation [6] 27/3 167/1 | somewhere [1] 109/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 65 / 1163 / 10 \\ & 64 / 2464 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | series [2] 8/11 23/15 | 182/11 189/20 192/13 | 193/7 195/22 203/3 | sophisticated [1] 204/24 |
| secret [9] 23/19 106/22 | serious [3] 25/5 178/4 | 204/13 | 203/25 | sorry [69] 6/17 7/12 |
| 110/6 114/2 114/21 | 179/5 | shouldn't [4] 70/23 | six [9] 60/13 94/1 121/11 | 14/23 15/2 15/4 16/3 |
| 116/18 116/21 116/2 | server [21] 48/22 49/11 | 70/24 180/5 192/15 | 128/22 134/1 186/13 | 37/21 38/24 |
|  | 52/1 53/1 53/6 54/10 | show [20] 3/25 6/13 6/16 | 186/18 186/21 193/4 | 39/12 47/2 47/18 52/14 |
| secretarial [3] 105/24 | 56/3 60/18 60/24 61/8 | 6/17 25/5 25/20 34/10 | six-hour [1] 186/21 | 57/6 65/10 65/17 68/14 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { secretarial } \\ 106 / 1 \quad 106 / 3 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 63/10 63/15 63/19 63/22 | 55/19 64/17 67/4 77/17 | Sixty [1] 121/11 | 68/15 75/13 80/8 81/1 |


| S | squeezed [1] 197/25 | straight [4] 33/8 86/16 | swore [10] 37/7 77/22 | $20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sorry... [47] 81/6 83/12 | squeezing [1] 197/25 |  |  | [1] 146 |
| 83/12 83/20 90/25 91/18 | SSSS [1] staff [6] 27/9 | strange [1] 69/24 <br> Street [4] 1/18 2/3 2/6 | $\left\|\begin{array}{cccc} 97 / 13 & 97 / 15 & 98 / 8 & 139 / 3 \\ \text { sworn [7] } & 5 / 3 & 35 / 23 & 36 / 1 \end{array}\right\|$ | tape [2] 186/20 186/2 targeted [1] 210/22 |
| 95/2 97/13 97/21 99/16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { staff [6] } 27 / 954 / 12 \\ & 54 / 2054 / 2356 / 1070 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{2 / 9}{\text { Street [4] } 1 / 182 / 32 / 6}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sworn [7] } 5 / 335 / 2336 / 1 \\ 36 / 374 / 1574 / 19156 / 9 \end{gathered}$ | task [2] 71/2 189/13 |
| 106/4 106/15 107/5 110/19 112/17 112 | stage [4] 105/10 106/2 | strenuously [1] 85/23 | Syria [1] 16/3 | tasked [1] 30 |
| 112/25 115/4 116/13 | 157/19 162/5 | stress [1] 25/16 | system [33] 6/3 6/4 | $\boldsymbol{t a x}$ [11] 11/7 10 |
| 121/6 121/10 121/15 | stamp [6] 41/3 4 | stressful [1] 174 | 8/8 8/9 9/22 9/23 10/1 | 100/16 104 |
| 122/15 127/24 129/10 | 64/13 68/20 71/11 93/25 | strike [4] 65/17 70/11 | 10/5 10/14 11/18 11/2 | 105/7 126 |
| 132/9 133/10 134/3 | stand [4] 32/23 134/21 | 72/15 106/5 | 11/25 12/2 12/7 15/25 | 184/15 187/10 |
| 135/3 141/5 141/23 | 155/21 172/23 | strong [2] 188/5 210 | 16/1 17/12 18/7 18 | taxes [1] 196/7 |
| 142/4 145/4 147/21 | standard | struck [1] |  | team [3] |
| 153/3 154/12 162/2 | 12/19 204/22 | structure [6] 11/2 12 | 29/11 29/21 49/8 107/10 | 183/16 |
| 162/14 169/13 172/25 | stands [1] | 12/15 12/19 27/11 172/4 | 147 | technical [16] |
| $\begin{array}{ll}173 / 23 & 174 / 2 \\ 178 / 21\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|lll} \text { start } & {[15]} & 3 / 8 & 14 / 18 \\ 31 / 23 & 33 / 3 & 44 / 2 & 60 / 21 \end{array}$ | structures [1] 143/4 <br> stuck [2] 189/17 191/14 | $191 / 15198 / 17$ systems [1] 147/12 | $\begin{array}{lll} 12 / 11 & 12 / 15 & 23 / 11 \\ 75 / 24 & 106 / 18 & 106 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| 200/5 205/10 209/20 | $63 / 265 / 15 \quad 65 / 1675 / 1$ | student [1] 15/7 |  | 135/10 135/11 136/25 |
|  | 136/5 168/15 177/10 | stuff [2] 186/2 194/ | T | 5 143/2 143/15 |
| $164 / 13 \quad 176 / 9 \quad 181 / 3$ | 192/12 192/12 | subject [7] 21/2 22/19 | T-h-e-y-m-o-s [1] 15/6 | 146/19 198/6 |
| 182/8 192/16 194/1 | started [6] 14/15 15/24 | 54/18 85/6 89/7 104/10 | T-H-U [1] 65/20 | technically [2] 104/11 |
| 194/15 197/14 197/18 | 16/1 67/1 193/12 205/20 | 156/22 | tag [10] 42/16 45/ | 164/ |
| 210/20 | starting [2] | submit [1] 92/13 | 48/16 50/18 51/4 59/22 | technological [7] |
| sorta [1] 210/6 | 180/16 | submitted [3] 37/7 79/8 | 62/17 67/17 68/2 69/1 tagged [1] 59/6 | 11/16 12/17 12/17 12/20 $170 / 21171 / 15$ |
| sorts [1] 53/2 |  | subsequent [2] 132/2 | $\text { take [54] } 6 / 146 / 2413 / 8$ | technologically [1] |
| sought [2] 16/7 194/21 soul [1] 22/9 | state [5] 5/15 19/14 34/9 | 196/18 | 22/24 26/8 33/11 50/3 | 158/18 |
| sounds [4] 18 | 62/8 120/22 | subset [1] | 50/18 56/13 59/5 59 | technology [4] 24/15 |
| 186/10 186/16 | stated [6] 10/20 12/21 | such [13] 8/17 10/9 | /24 81/18 81/21 82/5 | 172/24 173/1 173/2 |
| source [1] $8 / 15$ | 22/23 58/24 85/7 106/17 | 12/18 13/13 13/14 16/2 | 82/7 82/10 82/23 89/ | teleconference [3] |
|  | statement [11] 23/5 | 16/10 18/24 31/2 31/4 | 100/18 101/2 101/4 | 150/13 151/3 175/1 |
| $203 / 13$ | 29/10 65/1 104/1 111/11 | 111/16 131/13 191/9 | 104/2 106/1 110/2 | tell [20] 14/8 28/3 30 |
|  | 124/22 124/23 165/3 | sufficient [1] 104/12 | 110/24 119/14 123/21 | 30/24 34/2 36/20 55/2 |
| Southeas | 165/4 165/10 166/1 | sufficiently [1] 91/25 | 128/10 132/21 140/15 | 56/6 78/7 84/24 86/1 |
|  | statements [4] 103/15 | suggest [1] 199/18 | 146/12 147/11 153/6 | 109/10 116/19 126/1 |
|  | 104/9 104/9 163/21 | suggestion [1] 206/22 | 153/12 153/14 153/1 | 127/23 158/15 173/2 |
| spam [3] | states [9] $1 / 11 / 125 / 22$ | Suite [6] $2 / 3$ 2/6 2/12 | 153/17 161/11 163/25 | 178/1 185/19 188/5 |
| 196/15 | 10/11 18/25 40/2 93/14 | 2/15 2/18 2/21 | 169/7 170/9 177/2 | telling [9] 26/15 26/18 |
|  | 93/15 178/10 | sum [1] 157/2 | 180/10 187/12 187/23 | 41/14 61/8 81/10 90/2 |
|  | stating [4] 26/14 64/18 | summer [5] 176/2 | 189/16 193/17 198/19 | 90/9 90/17 120/23 |
|  | 90/19 134/19 | 177/17 179/6 180/8 | 198/25 205/4 207/22 | tells [3] 113/17 166/2 |
| specific [6] 16 | stay [2] 167/1 167/3 | 180/14 | 208/4 210/16 | 203/22 |
|  | step [4] 101/6 174/18 | summit [3] 164/2 | taken [10] 27/2 27 | template [1] 13/18 |
| 205/14 209/4 | 176/8 198/25 | 164/23 165/1 | 32/11 56/25 87/22 | Ten [2] 78/3 153/10 |
| specifically [4] | Stephen [4] 1/17 213/18 | supper [1] 183/9 | /10 138/18 166/1 | tense [1] 120/24 |
| 147/1 195/3 203/3 | 24 213/25 | supposed [1] 167/2 | 172/11 177/5 | term [9] 9/22 10/2 |
| spectrum [1] 183/ | steps [5] 22/24 22/2 | sure [25] 5/7 13/22 | taking [5] 17/6 121/1 | 189/25 191/6 191/8 |
| speech [3] 164/23 165/4 | 27/2 172/11 172/11 | 30/15 30/24 33/8 35/8 | 152/25 155/11 189/1 | 193/22 196/17 201/23 |
| 165/5 | Steve [3] 172/16 173/2 | 73/18 79/23 80/3 92/22 | talk [20] 25/18 32/5 40 | terms [17] 174/5 188/20 |
|  | 208/25 | 100/24 102/24 123/8 | 44/9 75/1 80/6 100/12 | 188/24 188/24 189/1 |
| speeches [1] 56/22 | Steven [13] 5/3 5/16 | 126/23 141/7 141/12 | 135/18 150/16 150/17 | 189/21 190/3 190/8 |
| spell [2] 14/23 16/3 | 61/2 61/4 99/4 133/25 | 150/18 152/4 155/25 | 152/21 166/20 176/6 | 191/6 191/9 191/11 |
| spelled [1] 78/12 | 147/13 149/7 154/5 | 167/7 167/11 187/10 | 176/10 178/11 182/16 | 191/14 192/4 192/9 |
| spend [4] 22/7 110/3 <br> 143/16 180/13 | 157/8 160/20 208/25 | 188/17 201/18 205/16 | 186/10 187/18 188/10 | 192/10 193/19 201/2 |
| spending [6] 13/16 14/2 | 212/3 | surface [1] | 209/2 | rrorism [1] 16/2 |
| 23/22 116/8 116/8 | still [22] 22/14 29/16 | surprise [1] 78 | talked [6] 22/10 31/7 | rrorist [1] 31/11 |
| 190/24 | 32/4 67/15 69/16 71/8 | surrounding [2] 9/18 | 31/12 159/9 175/20 | test [2] 32/5 74/21 |
|  | 71/20 90/5 93/14 119/2 | 136/24 | 189/21 | testified [6] 17/11 |
|  | 129/24 136/20 141/3 | suspect [3] 56/17 150/9 | talking [29] 12/24 39/23 | 132/15 163/21 164/1 |
| spent [3] 16/17 | 154/12 158/20 166/6 | 210/21 | 41/10 78/17 84/19 | 171/16 208/25 |
|  | 168/20 183/5 190/10 | sustain [1] 164/1 | 105/25 114/6 116/20 | testify [7] 40/24 77/7 |
| split [1] 106 | 194/21 196/13 196/21 | Sustained [2] 26/25 48/2 | 116/21 120/23 120/25 | 151/3 151/20 152/5 |
|  | stop [4] 21/12 21/12 | sweet [1] 167/2 | 120/25 135/16 141/12 | 209/4 209/5 |
| $\text { spot [1] } 167 / 3$ | 141/25 168/8 | SWIFT [1] 91/20 | 142/20 142/21 146/24 | testifying [4] 37/6 44 |
| spouse [1] 190/ | stopped [4] 16/11 17/2 | switch [2] 92/25 160 | 0/22 164/5 165/22 | 44/7 197/ |
|  | 17/2 51/12 | sword [2] 197/18 20 | 68/4 180/20 | testimony [20] 4/3 4/10 |
| $\text { squarely [1] } 174 / 6$ | stored [1] 107/25 | sword/shield [1] 197/18 | 184/2 186/11 200/14 | 12/6 24/11 32/4 32/6 |


| T | 29/10 30/23 42/7 42 | 105/17 107/15 1 | 140/22 142/3 143/3 | top [24] 8/17 15/1 15/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| testimony... [14] 87/21 | 44/3 44/4 45/4 55/2 61/1 | 121/7 146/5 150/20 | 146/14 146/21 147/8 | 82/6 83/14 83/24 9 |
| (101/7 106/11 111/21 | 62/17 69/14 69/14 69/16 | 151/12 153/9 154/21 | 147/9 160/5 177/17 | 102/21 107/16 109/2 |
| 101/7 106/11 111/21 | 90/12 97/19 98/19 100/8 | 158/14 158/15 159/14 | 179/23 181/16 187/9 | 20/12 124/10 129 |
| 141/7 151/18 151/22 | 102/14 102/15 105/19 | 162/24 165/13 169/17 | 206/25 207/7 | 131/7 138/8 142/3 143/7 |
| 163/4 163/6 164/10 | 106/10 107/3 107/18 | 174/21 175/7 175/9 | threshold [2] 113/18 | 144/10 155/2 157/4 |
| 212/3 | 107/22 114/17 114/17 | 176/20 176/22 178/14 | 114/23 | 160/12 160/19 191/1 |
| testing [1] 14/4 | 114/18 115/17 118/5 | 178/16 180/20 181/15 | threshold:95 [1] 66/9 | 91/25 |
| text [1] 55/6 | 125/8 134/18 135/10 | 181/19 182/7 182/22 | threw [1] 173/17 | topic [1] 192 |
| TextEdit [3] 45/4 48/16 | 135/10 137/2 144/25 | 182/23 184/4 185/13 | through [49] 9/5 | total [4] 108/10 108 |
| 62/18 | 145/9 155/25 159/7 | 185/22 188/10 189/5 | 10/22 31/14 33/8 34/1 | 15/12 126/17 |
| thank [48] 3/23 5/4 5/10 | 160/1 160/1 173/12 | 190/7 190/11 190/15 | 50/3 74/15 88/12 95/1 | totally [1] 121/1 |
| 15/2 32/9 32/13 32/25 | 175/2 176/20 177/2 | 190/22 191/17 192/8 | 99/19 105/9 110/13 | TouchUp [3] 45/4 48/16 |
| 33/6 56/20 56/23 57/21 | 177/13 177/14 177/23 | 192/13 196/19 201/16 | 111/7 117/22 121/20 | 62/18 |
| 72/17 78/2 81/15 88/10 | 180/24 181/10 181/10 | 202/23 204/19 204/24 | 122/11 123/10 123/16 | tourniqueted [1] 20215 |
| 101/8 101/9 103/9 | 181/11 181/12 181/19 | 205/8 205/9 206/20 | 125/15 130/15 132/ | town [2] 179/20 208/5 |
| 104/13 113/7 119/14 | 184/9 186/19 186/21 | 207/12 207/24 210/3 | 132/21 132/23 132/24 | track [1] 60/20 |
| 121/11 $138 / 21141 / 17$ | 188/3 188/16 191/22 | 211/2 | 147/24 148/12 156/8 | Trading [16] 83/4 84/9 |
| 149/19 150/15 150/24 | 196/1 196/13 197/6 | thinking [3] 80/9 150/1 | 157/22 159/6 160/9 | 87/19 87/23 88/6 89/19 |
| 151/6 166/5 166/13 | 197/7 203/16 204/22 | 151/24 | 162/6 162/7 173/4 178/3 | 90/2 95/10 96/11 97/5 |
| 167/6 168/10 168/11 | 208/20 | thinks [1] 150/9 | 178/13 178/15 182/24 | 98/11 133/12 143/23 |
| 168/12 168/23 169/25 | thereby [1] 131/15 | third [13] 51/1 63/1 | 185/14 185/24 188/11 | 145/13 161/13 162/5 |
| 170/4 174/1 174/8 | therefore [3] 23/3 182/5 | 102/21 112/7 112/9 | 190/10 191/3 194/2 | Trading's [2] 89/23 |
| 174/12 174/13 174/15 | 189/25 | 112/19 115/23 122/8 | 198/12 203/14 203/15 | 96/13 |
| 174/18 174/19 194/14 | thereof [1] 190/4 | 147/13 148/24 197/3 | 203/17 209/15 | transacted [1] 20/4 |
| 205/10 208/9 211/4 | they'd [1] 201/9 | 203/18 207/5 | throw [1] 46/2 | ansaction [5] 8/15 |
| thankless [1] 189/13 | they'll [1] 100/15 | Thirty [1] 60/9 | mbnail [1] 29/2 | 21/6 21/7 21/9 21/16 |
| Thanks [4] 57/2 75/13 | they're [43] 4/7 12 | Thirty-nine [1] | Thursday [11] 51/24 | transactions [2] 8/14 |
| 90/10 211/5 | 19/7 22/11 31/1 | those [77] 4/8 11/9 | 52/2 53/13 63/23 64/7 | 21/13 |
| that's [113] 4/19 9/10 | $31 / 1236 / 636 / 1137 / 8$ | 11/17 13/2 14/5 17/1 | 65/4 65/20 65/25 66/2 | transcript [8] 1/10 |
| 10/3 13/9 20/9 20/11 | 37/9 42/12 55/5 67/21 | 17/17 19/23 21/18 22/10 | 66/25 145/2 | 57/13 119/19 182/20 |
| 20/11 20/12 20/14 24/19 | 68/4 68/10 68/16 68/21 | 22/11 23/24 27/12 29/14 | Thursday-Friday [2] | 183/2 185/20 185/24 |
| 26/17 33/10 40/25 41/14 | 71/9 79/4 83/19 83/2 | 30/5 31/14 66/22 72/15 | 65/4 66/25 | 213/20 |
| 45/16 49/16 50/21 51/7 | 109/24 113/1 115/7 | 82/10 82/15 82/17 82/18 | thwarting [1] 197/14 | transcripts [5] 184/5 |
| 52/1 58/7 58/14 64/20 | 115/19 136/25 143/1 | 83/5 92/4 99/13 101/14 | tight [1] 172/19 | 184/20 184/25 185/1 |
| 67/3 67/9 69/24 70/4 | 158/10 159/4 167/25 | 102/12 107/8 107/12 | timeframe [2] 151/23 | 185/15 |
| 70/12 71/12 73/ | 168/1 189/1 189/21 | 109/15 109/16 109/22 | 161/25 | transfer [19] 8/18 91/16 |
| 74/2 75/17 78/20 81/1 | 193/6 196/17 196/18 | 123/17 124/5 124/7 | times [8] 30/20 83/10 | 93/8 93/13 93/22 94/20 |
|  | 197/18 200/14 205/15 | 125/18 125/20 126/5 | 84/1 142/11 178/6 | 94/21 131/10 143/8 |
| 87/5 87/16 87/21 89/6 | 210/8 210/20 210/22 | 126/7 126/16 132/18 | 180/20 193/4 203/23 | 143/25 144/2 144/7 |
| 91/25 91/2 | they've [6] 186/22 194/3 | 133/17 140/13 140/18 | TLS [1] 65/20 | 144/15 144/20 145/7 |
| 95/8 96/24 97/5 | 194/25 197/9 206/13 | 141/11 141/19 143/4 | today [33] 9/1 9/10 9/16 | 145/13 145/20 161/5 |
| 98/19 99/11 105/6115/3 | 207/19 | 145/6 146/18 147/14 | 10/14 12/22 13/3 21/21 | 161/9 |
| $11$ | Theymos [3] 15/6 16/6 | 152/19 154/17 163/16 | 22/18 34/3 55/11 87/22 | transferred [6] 97/9 |
|  | 141/22 | 164/14 171/6 172/9 | 119/3 121/3 150/5 | 143/23 145/11 145/12 |
| 128/1 | thing [20] 5/5 6/5 25/5 | 172/21 181/21 189/8 | 151/10 151/12 152/13 | 145/17 157/13 |
| 131/3 133/20 137/11 | 85/21 91/18 101/13 | 190/14 195/11 196/9 | 152/15 152/15 153/7 | transferring [1] 93/18 |
| $13$ | 114/16 115/19 122/24 | 197/5 198/20 199/3 | 163/21 170/23 175/22 | transfers [1] 90/19 |
|  | 134/23 143/1 143/14 | 199/4 199/8 201/19 | 177/3 178/6 182/14 | Transform [1] 164/20 |
| $14$ | 151/1 152/3 162/17 | 202/19 203/7 203/8 | 194/2 194/10 197/1 | transport [11] 48/25 |
|  | 181/24 189/10 189/10 | 203/9 203/10 203/13 | 206/11 208/17 209/4 | 49/5 50/19 50/22 51/19 |
| $158 / 24159 / 22$ | 193/9 206/6 | 204/18 210/24 211/6 | 209/11 | 59/8 62/25 65/5 65/16 |
| 161/5 161/8 169/8 | things [29] 27/24 30/15 | though [6] 44/6 107/17 | together [3] 15/10 27/22 | 65/17 66/18 |
| 169/13 169/23 173/25 | 36/11 53/3 69/16 71/16 | 120/23 175/16 177/23 | 202/6 | aveled [1] 151 |
| 175/9 180/4 182/12 | 76/9 80/15 80/19 86/3 | 7/1 | tokenized [ | eat [1] 182/ |
| 183/1 187/3 187/4 | 86/8 111/3 114/17 | thought [9] 15/16 31/9 | told [8] 27/11 37/14 | trial [2] 15/16 17 |
| 188/10 189/5 189/7 | 115/17 115/21 135/25 | 99/10 164/18 189/12 | 97/18 98/14 107/24 | tried [2] 125/15 204/2 |
| 189/9 190/7 190/23 | 142/20 147/2 151/25 | 201/24 205/9 205/11 | 122/21 135/20 173/13 | triggering [1] 186/6 |
| 194/4 196/4 196/23 | 158/16 161/4 170/15 | 210/1 | ton [1] 199/2 | trouble [2] 23/25 50/6 |
| 198/3 200/2 201/1 | 170/18 180/7 180/17 | thoughts [3] 178/20 | tone [1] 9/7 | true [12] 88/5 98/11 |
| 201/23 201/23 201/24 | 181/25 192/13 198/16 | 181/21 210/11 | too [6] 28/19 153/ | 104/21 104/23 104/24 |
| 203/25 204/7 20 | 208/4 | three [28] 19/23 60/11 | 164/18 177/23 180/13 | /12 105/21 128 |
| 206/19 208/1 | think [65] 14/25 31/25 | 101/14 101/21 101/23 | 189/21 | 130/7 139/3 201/1 202/8 |
| theory [4] 163/1 163/3 | 45/19 53/12 72/9 73/9 | 115/8 120/11 123/18 | took [6] 24/25 64/17 | truly [1] 202/16 |
| 181/14 193/16 | 73/10 77/25 80/16 86/14 | 128/22 132/1 132/4 | 120/2 141/24 155/3 | trumps [2] 170/21 |
| there's [68] 11/15 21/9 | 91/23 98/23 100/15 | 133/25 134/13 140/13 | 188/20 | 171/15 |


| T | 119/5 129/10 129/15 | 140/14 140/23 147/17 | $\text { 3] } 1 /$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| truncate [1] 150/7 | 150/3 154/15 164/3 | 185/12 185/18 190/5 | 8/25 93/14 93/15 | /8 |
| trust [118] 10/23 10/25 | 185/23 190/24 191/10 | 193/14 198/8 203/6 | 105/22 178/10 | vacations [3] 176/2 |
| 11/2 11/9 11/14 30/1 | Tulip [52] 73/1 74/1 | Uh-huh [11] 39/25 | universe [2] 186/12 | 9/6 |
| 30/2 30/3 30/5 34/25 | 76/14 78/19 83/4 84/9 | 96/25 130/16 134/11 | 189/2 | m [1] 182/14 |
| 35/2 35/6 73/1 74/13 | 87/19 87/23 88/6 89/19 | 147/17 185/12 185/1 | unless [4] 85/7 85/ | 1] $196 / 11$ |
| 75/16 76/15 77/4 77/18 | 80/2 9 | 4 |  | valid [1] 28/1 |
| 77/23 78/19 79/6 79/9 | 96/11 96/13 97/5 97/12 | 203/6 | unlock [3] 122/22 124/1 | validate [1] 8/14 |
| 79/12 79/13 79/19 80/25 | 117/24 118/14 119 | UK [15] 5/18 |  | valuable [1] 172/17 |
| 82/20 83/3 83/16 85/24 | 117/24 118/14 119/25 | 98/6 98/9 105/8 119/1 | unnamed [1] 134/ | values [1] 23/17 |
| 89/22 96/18 97/9 97/12 | 0/7 | 133/24 150/4 150/13 | dacted [2] | tions |
| 97/14 97/20 98/5 106/19 | 120/12 120/17 | 152/17 173/7 173/13 | 38/24 | ries [2] 50/14 |
| 114/11 114/11 114/13 | 120/25 | 74/23 175/18 | ated | $\text { ty [2] } 107 / 12190 / 3 \mid$ |
| 114/13 115/1 115/1 | $136 / 1$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Ulbricht [1] } & 15 \\ \text { ultimate [1] } & 49\end{array}$ | 18] |  |
| 115/5 115/24 116/1 | 136 | ultimate [1] 49/2 | 18] 32/18 36 |  |
| 116/17 116/20 116/22 |  | ely [3] | 76/6 96/18 98/12 98/20 | 24 |
| 116/24 117/24 118/14 | $/ 13$ | 196/7 | 6/6 96/18 | Vel [4] 3/9 26/13 187/20 |
| 119/25 120/2 120/5 | 154/2 155/5 161/2 | ultrasmanl [1] 8/10 | 105/23 117/7 $127 / 5$ |  |
| 120/7 120/8 120/10 | 12 162/5 | Um [4] 37/5 37/10 | $1 / 1$ | el [1] $2 / 2$ |
| 120/13 120/17 120/20 | 96/23 127/21 189/7 |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { 208/16 208/20 } \\ \text { until -- you [1] } & 18 \end{array}$ | 204/14 |
| 120/21 120/25 121/1 | 205/13 209/19 | 28/11 135/15 183/17 | update [3] 78/10 78/12 | vendors [2] 198/5 198/5 |
| 122/23 123/4 129/1 | turned [8] 182/21 | unavailable [6] 178/3 | 78/13 | veneer [1] 195/17 |
|  | 191/24 192/25 193/2 | 178/13 178/14 178/15 | updated [3] 41/12 42/6 | erification [1] 8/2 |
| 133/1 $134 / 25$ 135/5 135/13 | 194/3 198/24 199/6 | 203/24 203/25 | 79/16 | verify [1] 144/14 |
| 19 135/5 | 199/23 | unaware [1] 199/ | upon [5] 19/10 20/1 | verifying [1] 17/2 |
| $19$ | turning [5] 183/14 | unclear [1] 49/22 | 40/15 59/17 81/22 | version [11] 19/18 41/19 |
| 136/2 136/21 137/5 | 183/25 184/10 193/6 | under [25] 20/10 25/4 | us [38] 37/24 38/21 50/3 | 42/9 71/6 71/12 78/13 |
|  | 211/3 | 33/1 34/2 73/2 79/24 | 50/3 53/12 61/8 64/15 | 78/15 80/1 121/24 |
| 142/14 143/8 143/18 | turns [5] 40/16 202/7 | 79/25 84/15 87/22 93/17 | 89/13 89/15 102/10 | 132/10 155/8 |
| 144/8 144/15 144/16 | 204/15 205/2 206/1 | 97/10 100/1 104/21 | 111/6 114/22 116/10 | versions [4] 68/10 |
| 144/20 144/21 145/11 | tweet [4] 25/20 25/22 | 109/21 122/16 128/20 | 134/7 135/23 170/23 | 107/17 107/19 193/11 |
| 146/18 147/3 154/1 | 26/13 175/8 | 134/15 134/18 136/16 | 173/22 174/12 177/15 | versus [1] 3/6 |
| 154/2 155/5 156/17 | tweeter [1] 175/2 | 136/22 146/6 154/17 | 179/15 179/18 182/6 | very [44] 3/23 4/2 4/21 |
|  | tweeting [7] 167/13 | 158/20 209/8 210/18 | 184/16 184/17 185/19 | 27/24 29/24 32/9 32/21 |
|  | 167/23 168/6 168/17 | underlying [3] 50/21 | 186/2 187/24 195/1 | 38/10 54/7 64/4 70/25 |
|  | 168/19 175/13 176/11 | 71/18 164/3 | 195/20 196/18 197/8 | 84/14 90/16 93/2 103/9 |
|  | twenty [4] 119/10 | understand [39] 9/3 9/6 | 199/7 199/15 199/25 | 112/22 121/11 150/24 |
|  | 155/15 156/13 160/5 | 9/7 9/9 9/14 11/7 12/5 | 201/14 201/16 203/22 | 151/15 151/15 153/18 |
|  | Twenty-one [1] 155/15 | 23/2 24/11 32/7 33/25 | 210/18 | 163/20 172/19 173/23 |
|  | Twenty-three [1] 160/5 | 34/2 39/19 47/4 77/16 | used [23] 9/25 10/10 | 174/13 174/15 174/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97 / 2098 / 5129 / 15131 / \\ & 131 / 17131 / 19131 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | Twenty-two [1] 156/13 | 110/20 117/3 158/13 | 11/13 13/19 16/24 19/7 | 175/9 178/4 183/1 |
|  | twice [1] 30/1 | 174/3 174/9 174/10 | 20/11 21/10 21/16 21/18 | 186/11 190/3 190/8 |
|  | two [45] 13/2 19/23 22/3 | 177/25 179/5 179/6 | 21/20 27/11 30/25 42/9 | 191/22 192/10 194/19 |
| 161/11 161/12 161/21 | 50/25 62/17 64/17 82/7 | 179/22 180/9 180/12 | 77/2 77/24 104/7 113/6 | 194/20 195/9 195/20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 101 \\ & 161 \end{aligned}$ | 82/21 83/17 84/8 90/12 | 182/12 183/7 188/22 | 120/1 121/4 125/21 | 198/6 198/16 202/24 |
| 170/17 170/21 | 99/12 114/17 115/8 | 189/1 191/21 193/25 | 143/9 198/1 | 204/23 210/20 |
|  | 115/17 118/25 120/11 | 196/17 196/23 199/11 | user [2] 49/19 138/11 | via [3] 162/20 176/16 |
| 86/9 106/10 129/5 | 126/15 128/22 133/17 | 201/25 202/14 204/19 | using [14] 11/19 20/8 | 178/10 |
| 131/10 132/23 133/21 | 133/25 135/10 135/22 | understandable [1] | 20/10 20/15 23/17 51/12 | video [17] 150/13 151/3 |
| 133/23 135/7 146/18 | 136/22 137/7 139/24 | 198/6 | 73/19 101/17 102/11 | 151/20 152/5 162/18 |
| 147/2 | 140/1 142/3 142/20 | understanding [9] 16/21 | 103/20 114/21 130/6 | 162/21 163/10 163/14 |
| trusts [7] 22/5 106/10 | 142/25 144/12 144/19 | 29/15 50/6 73/15 74/3 | 200/25 209/3 | 163/19 164/6 164/25 |
| 128/6 128/12 142/24 | 146/20 146/25 147/15 | 77/5 133/1 173/16 | usually [3] 49/17 50/12 | 165/10 166/3 174/24 |
| 142/25 143/7 | 154/17 156/13 177/14 | 203/16 | 50/14 | 175/19 176/16 185/24 |
| truth [5] 34/2 103/16 | 181/16 182/22 187/9 | understands [1] 167/1 | utilized [3] 29/21 169/2 | view [4] 188/24 189/4 |
| 103/24 104/6 163/20 | 197/7 199/8 199/10 | understood [9] 12/19 | 169/23 | 189/20 189/21 |
| try [17] 4/2 27/3 33/7 | 207/2 | /7 72/13 108/17 126/4 | utterly [2] 31/7 31/13 | ews [1] 177/13 |
| 37/23 97/8 125/5 159/22 | type [1] 6 | 188/18 204/9 204/9 | Uyen [9] 98/14 126/20 | lation [1] 168/17 |
| 163/23 164/2 172/11 | typo [1] 120/21 | 206/5 | 126/24 128/22 129/11 | [1] 5/8 |
| 172/20 180/7 182/16 | U | unduly \| 186/24 | $134 / 12$ | voluntarily [2] 29/10 |
| 209/12 | U.S [5] | un | Uyen's [1] 130 | 29/13 |
| trying [18] 45/11 46/4 | 1 | unicorns [1] 10/18 | V | W |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54 / 13 \text { 56/4 56/9 71/23 } \\ & 103 / 22110 / 19118 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | $96 / 25 \quad 130 / 16 \quad 134 / 11$ | 203/22 | VA [2] 199/8 199/10 | wait [3] 32/18 88/14 |


| $\mathbf{W}$ |
| :--- |
| wait... [1] 206/18 |
| waiting [2] 196/14 |
| 208/15 |
| waive [2] 201/6 205/22 |
| waived [1] 201/8 |
| walked [1] $168 / 3$ |
| wallets [2] $13 / 14115 / 12$ |

want [83] 14/13 14/16
31/22 32/18 55/12 56/3
56/6 63/1 67/10 72/15
73/18 76/8 79/22 80/3
80/4 80/6 81/20 82/10 85/18 92/1 92/15 103/25 119/6 134/22 141/2 141/7 141/12 149/12 149/15 149/15 150/4 150/6 150/16 150/21 151/17 151/19 151/20 152/3 152/4 153/14 155/20 158/13 164/7 165/3 166/20 170/3
171/23 173/10 175/6 175/23 175/24 176/3 176/6 176/7 177/2 179/4 179/7 180/5 180/19 181/22 182/15 185/19 185/20 188/15 192/18 194/9 196/4 196/20 197/15 198/9 198/9 198/10 199/25 200/12 201/16 204/21 205/22 206/17 208/11 208/12 208/15 208/19 209/19
wanted [17] 16/6 16/14 54/24 71/4 101/12 111/14 114/10 116/12 116/16 119/1 134/20 163/10 166/17 175/1 175/11 194/17 204/5
wanting [1] 169/21 wants [5] 50/8 85/8 101/15 176/22 178/7
wasn't [20] 26/14 36/25 43/2 65/2 69/8 69/24 79/13 79/13 98/14 105/10 106/3 114/13 117/6 127/22 129/15 132/1 137/9 143/11 162/8 204/6
waste [3] 204/15 205/24 206/2
wasted [1] 205/2
watching [1] 25/17
water [1] 33/4
Watts [2] 147/23 147/24
ways [1] 189/4
we'd [6] 64/1 64/10 75/3
94/12 130/18 205/7
we'll [23] 32/1 32/2 32/9 50/8 56/24 80/17 82/16 85/3 85/5 101/2 151/17 153/2 153/18 155/10 165/14 166/10 166/11 177/1 179/12 190/12 191/19 200/15 209/16
we're [58] $3 / 2512 / 22$ went [4] 16/3 19/1 19/2 12/24 20/12 32/14 32/16 $\quad 146 / 18$ 32/20 33/7 43/12 56/13 57/7 79/23 80/3 80/13 88/14 101/4 114/6 120/25 128/17 136/8 141/12 151/9 152/5 152/12 152/13 154/10 175/22 175/24 176/13 176/20 177/24 179/9 179/10 179/11 179/11 180/22 182/3 182/16 184/2 185/13 192/4 192/5 194/10 196/15 197/19 198/11 199/11 199/12 200/6 200/25 201/22 203/25 204/6 205/3 206/20 207/2 207/4 209/20
we're -- I'm [1] 209/20 we've [30] 4/16 4/16 28/22 29/25 29/25 64/22 72/21 74/15 99/25 103/5 111/16 118/25 136/8 136/14 140/12 154/5 161/15 167/2 171/2 177/19 182/23 183/17 184/4 186/13 188/22 194/20 196/12 198/5 204/2 206/1
weapons [1] $15 / 24$ websites [1] $15 / 11$ weeds [1] 202/15 week [24] 52/11 52/25 67/3 152/1 152/23 152/24 176/4 177/15 177/15 178/15 178/19 179/1 179/10 179/10 179/11 179/12 179/12 179/13 179/14 179/17 179/21 188/8 208/7 208/7
weekend [1] 211/7 weeks [3] 181/16 182/18 187/9
weigh [2] 188/15 194/9
weight [2] 111/23 112/3 welcome [1] 138/22 well [57] $3 / 243 / 254 / 15$ 4/21 6/19 29/25 50/5 52/12 54/14 54/24 56/5 60/3 64/7 69/14 70/6 77/22 82/23 83/21 84/14 92/7 96/17 99/12 105/17 108/16 108/23 110/5 111/15 112/12 114/4 131/25 133/18 140/5 140/15 141/2 147/11 150/5 151/9 163/7 163/12 163/22 175/12 175/15 176/17 177/10 177/18 178/11 185/10 190/22 196/7 197/7 198/25 200/18 203/2 203/22 206/24 210/19 211/2
weren't [1] 175/20
Wesleyan [1] 22/6
West [2] $1 / 71 / 18$
what's [19] 31/21 40/10 43/18 61/24 80/2 80/18 95/15 103/24 117/21
121/19 123/18 130/14 148/11 155/13 160/23 164/3 177/8 179/14 207/8
whatever [13] 9/5 22/24 28/1 42/8 50/8 70/9 121/3 123/6 150/9 165/2 165/13 185/25 204/21 whatsoever [1] 191/20 whenever [4] 5/9 33/13 153/20 168/14 where [55] 5/17 5/19 5/25 8/13 11/23 17/9 22/13 23/21 27/21 27/22 29/11 33/9 50/21 50/25 51/18 54/12 55/15 62/15 62/25 72/6 80/14 83/12 83/19 92/16 92/17 99/2 107/10 107/23 109/5 109/7 109/19 109/20 115/4 116/5 116/6 116/6 120/1 125/22 126/8 126/10 127/19 128/21 129/10 129/17 129/20 142/10 154/17 165/14 167/3 181/25 183/14 186/18 187/4 202/9 205/15 whereas [2] 131/8 131/19
whereby [1] 131/17 whether [25] 9/9 9/24 13/5 14/8 15/14 24/17 49/25 70/8 70/9 74/21 77/19 78/16 79/15 111/24 125/20 125/25 135/9 172/1 172/1 172/2 172/10 189/9 204/13 205/24 207/5
while [13] 119/2 140/18 149/25 152/22 155/7 169/3 173/13 180/14 182/16 184/16 194/10 205/3 209/20
white [6] 7/9 8/20 10/11 21/4 120/1 120/7
who's [3] 119/1 152/16 195/12
whoever [1] 134/13 whole [5] 27/20 66/12 121/9 165/5 206/18
whom [1] 202/13 whose [1] 12/23 why [36] $8 / 25 \quad 19 / 16$ 20/12 22/2 23/7 25/2 27/18 28/4 28/16 30/10 30/10 53/13 68/19 100/18 100/19 116/3

117/3 129/14 134/15 150/22 158/15 163/12 163/15 163/22 164/2 165/2 169/18 173/11 176/8 176/8 176/15 177/15 188/4 189/7 206/3 210/23
wider [1] 204/25 wife [9] 22/6 22/12 25/17 28/19 147/25 148/2 148/3 162/16 173/24
WIL [1] 133/11
willful [2] 27/6 28/7 willfully [1] $31 / 15$ wind [1] 191/7 wipe [1] 16/14 wished [1] 175/8 wishes [1] $115 / 10$ wit [1] 132/16
withdraw [1] 104/16 withheld [1] 200/22 withholding [1] 189/2 within [5] 27/25 29/24 43/21 76/14 203/11 without [33] 7/24 8/15 14/6 21/19 23/9 35/17 39/5 44/7 52/18 54/4 55/24 75/8 81/8 88/23 91/13 93/3 94/15 95/23 101/17 109/10 118/8 122/4 123/9 124/19 128/21 129/11 130/21 138/19 148/16 149/14 156/13 160/6 166/20 witness [44] 4/5 4/12 4/21 5/3 6/17 6/19 7/25 17/6 32/23 34/6 43/15 44/17 77/7 77/7 91/3 91/7 92/6 94/10 95/15 99/18 103/19 110/11 117/21 119/1 121/18 130/14 150/3 150/12 150/17 151/19 151/24 152/16 152/21 175/17 177/19 197/10 197/16 201/2 201/4 201/6
206/17 206/18 207/19 207/22
witnesses [16] 4/9 151/1 151/2 151/10 151/11 151/20 152/16 152/17
152/19 175/23 175/24 201/5 206/10 206/16 207/11 209/23 won't [4] 22/11 108/20 182/10 193/22 words [4] 26/12 30/9 30/10 70/10
work [25] 5/19 5/21
5/25 16/18 24/9 25/7 27/22 28/25 29/1 108/20 113/7 114/22 120/13 172/19 173/3 180/11 181/20 183/8 186/16 188/11 198/15 198/17

203/14 209/11 211/1 worked [4] 17/5 17/7 23/15 172/16
working [15] 14/18
15/24 16/1 27/10 33/22
80/3 80/4 80/17 132/11
132/22 134/16 172/18
173/9 173/24 180/12
works [4] 13/9 14/6
84/10 117/24
world [5] 8/11 11/15
23/2 27/24 174/10
world's [1] 15/17
worried [1] 25/17
worry [1] 28/21
worse [1] 16/5
worst [1] 27/13
worth [6] 22/9 30/17
31/8 76/3 109/18 115/24
wouldn't [10] 23/1
23/25 50/14 68/23 70/24
81/10 141/3 173/14
177/21 198/17
wrap [2] 160/24 176/12
wrapper [1] $12 / 20$
WRIGHT [343]
Wright's [4] 84/7 165/1
166/11 189/18
writing [1] 90/5
written [2] 108/1 109/4
wrong [3] 37/21 108/20
121/7
wrote [2] 7/3 7/6
X
X-sp [1] 66/15
X-spam-score [1] 66/12
XBT [1] 157/2
Y
y'all [3] 150/16 182/15
186/10
yacht [1] 116/9
yeah [16] 10/18 80/10
84/24 91/2 98/24 104/3
128/1 154/15 177/10
183/22 187/18 187/25
197/6 206/12 206/22
211/1
year [7] 24/1 58/5 97/1
127/9 127/11 127/13 188/9
years [8] 36/13 36/19 36/23 37/13 121/3
143/16 200/18 200/19
Yep [1] 102/23
yes [266]
yet [2] 182/24 197/12
York [3] 93/19 93/21
152/18
you'd [8] 5/1 30/17
69/10 70/23 124/20
127/2 130/3 134/23
you'll [9] 41/5 41/7
94/19 96/2 96/24 133/23
153/18 160/13 188/6
you're [85] 5/1 5/9 9/1


